Destination

I recently made the comment that I view the two major political parties (Republicans & Democrats) as trains on different tracks, headed to the same destination. One of the more alert listeners I've known asked, "what is that destination?" I guess in my mind that it had been so obvious that I had not given thought as to how to explain this concept and so his question has provided the motivation to do so. This is for you Chuck.

Where should I begin? Let's begin with the creation of the Federal Reserve in its current form. It was 1913, that Congress passed the Federal Reserve Act, creating a private banking corporation as the central bank of the United States. It was set up so that it was never to be audited.

Let us now jump ahead to 1933. Specifically, the *Banking Relief Act of March 9*, 1933. On March 9, 1933 (five days after the inauguration of Franklin Roosevelt), the 'Banking Relief Act was passed. This act contained language of the *Trading with the Enemy Act* of October 6, 1917. In 1917, the united States of America was preparing for World War I. It was recognized that there were enemies of this country doing business within our borders and so the *Trading With the Enemy Act* gave the president total authoritarian control over the commercial activities of an *identified enemy of the United States*. This Act is an Act of War or a *War Powers Act*. How do we know this? We know it because of a Supreme Court ruling.

In Store vs. Wallace, 1921 the Supreme Court said:

"The *TRADING WITH THE ENEMY ACT* originally *and as amended* is strictly a war measure and finds its sanctions in the provisions empowering congress to declare war, grant letters of marque and reprisal and make rules regarding captures on the land and the water." Constitution, Article I, Section 8, Clause 11

But the act of October 6, 1917 contained some 'exclusionary' language that excluded some commercial activities and some people from the operation of this law. One piece of exclusionary language reads:

"Transfers of credit in any form (other than credits relating solely to transactions to be executed wholly within the United States);"

The fact that transactions executed wholly within the United States was exempted from the operation of the law was recognition that when you go to the hardware store and buy a lawn mower (a transaction executed wholly within the United States), this is obviously not an *enemy transaction* and not subject to the operation of the law. Another piece of exclusionary language is found at Section 2, Subdivision (c):

"other than citizens of the United States."

This exclusionary language recognizes that a citizen of the United States is not an enemy of the United States and not subject to the law set forth in the Trading with the Enemy Act.

But when the language of the Trading with the Enemy Act was incorporated into the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933, the exclusionary language was amended and became:

"by any person within the United States or any place subject to the jurisdiction thereof."

This language obviously applies to citizens of the United States, effectively making the United States citizen no different than (indistinguishable from) any other enemy of the United States, providing for the application of this law to the U.S. citizen. If this law recognizes no difference between the United States citizen and any other enemy of the United States, it logically follows that the United States citizen is an *identified enemy* of the United States. Unfortunately, the *mainstream misleadia* fails to do its job and inform the masses.

Now what has been the result of our change in status to identified enemy of the United States? Remembering that the Act was passed in March of 1933, we find at least a glimpse of that answer in Senate report 93-549, written in 1973:

"The majority of American Citizens have lived all their lives under *Emergency Rule*. For forty years, freedoms and governmental procedures guaranteed by the constitution have in varying degrees been abridged by laws brought into FORCE by states of National Emergency. And in the United States action taken by the government in times of great crises have, from at least the civil war, in important ways shaped the present phenomenon of a permanent state of <u>national</u> emergency."

So, we have a permanent condition of RULING BY DECLARATION OF EMERGENCY! Which limits and/or removes freedom and prosperity. A *Declaration of Emergency* gives Government Leadership carte-blanche¹ to operate un-constitutionally.

Let us look at some of the actual language of the act.

TITLE I

"Section 1. The actions, regulations, rules, licenses, orders and proclamations heretofore or hereafter taken, promulgated, made, or issued by the President of the United States or the Secretary of the Treasury since March 4, 1933 pursuant to the authority conferred by subdivision (b) of section 5 of the Act of October 6, 1917,

¹ Carte Blanche. A blank paper given to any one on which to write his own conditions.

as amended, are hereby approved and confirmed." - Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933

In Title I we see congress giving retroactive approval to all the executive orders and/or proclamations issued by the President since March 4, 1933, the day of his inauguration, but more important, Congress is giving approval to any future proclamations that the President of the United States or the Secretary of the Treasury may issue hereafter without knowing what those Proclamations or Executive Orders will be. By virtue of this language, Congress has just destroyed the *separation of powers* and empowered the President to function as a dictator. Any rule he wishes to place into effect has already been approved. The president may now rule the nation by Proclamation or Executive Order, and, of course, awake Americans have been observing this taking place. Anything the President Proclaims, any law he wishes to make, has already been approved. And, this same power is being conferred on the Secretary of the Treasury. So much for *separation of powers*.

You might also notice that in the language of Title I, they go out of their way to identify the President as the "President of the United States", but when identifying the treasury secretary the act simply identifies him as the "Secretary of Treasury", not the Secretary of Treasury of the United States. There is a good reason for this... they are not referring to the Secretary of the Treasury of the United States. It is a reference to the Secretary of Treasury of Puerto Rico.

"The *Secretary of the Treasury* of Puerto Rico was appointed as RECEIVER over the bankrupt United States in reorganization plan #26, in 1950. Title 5, section 903, Public Law 94-564: The Secretary of Treasury of Puerto Rico, title 27, code of federal regulations, section 251.11, the title "Secretary of the Treasury" is a euphemistic abbreviation of the actual title "Secretary of the Treasury of Puerto Rico." – Rense.com

The fact that the language of the <u>law</u> left out the phrase "of Puerto Rico" when referencing Secretary of Treasury, was intentional and intended to mislead the people of the United States into believing that the <u>Secretary of Treasury</u> was indeed, the <u>Secretary of Treasury of the United</u> States when that was not the truth. It is deception, one of many, leading to fraud.

What else might the Senate report have to say about the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933 and other laws passed pursuant to, and deriving their authority from this act?

"Under the powers delegated by these statutes the President may seize property, organize and control the means of production, seize commodities, assign military forces abroad, institute martial law, seize and control all transportation and communication, regulate the operation of private enterprise, restrict travel, and in a plethora of particular ways control the lives of all American citizens. " - Senate Report 93-549:

Additionally this Act allowed government to confiscate all the gold of the American people, the real wealth of the nation. All under the guise of *Emergency Legislation*. Here is the language within the Act that allows government to take the wealth from the American people.

"Whenever in the Judgment of the Secretary of the Treasury such action is necessary to protect the currency system of the United States, the Secretary of the Treasury in his discretion, may require any or all individuals, partnerships, associations or corporations to pay and deliver to the Treasurer of the United States any or all Gold Coin, Gold Bullion, and Gold Certificates owned by such individuals, partnerships, associations or corporations. And whoever shall not comply with the provisions of this act shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or if a *natural person* may in addition to such fine be imprisoned for a year not exceeding ten years."

How can anyone of a rational mind not see that this is fraud & extortion? Give me all your gold or I will fine you \$10,000 or put you in prison or both. How could we not see this as a criminal act? And this criminality is what the police of the United States are supposed to <u>Protect and Serve!</u>

This act, that is the *Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933*, authorizes the currency we use today... the Federal Reserve Note. And here is the language.

"Upon the deposit with the Treasurer of the United States; (a) any direct obligation of the United States; (b) any notes, drafts, bills of exchange or bankers acceptances acquired under the provisions of this act, that any Federal Reserve Bank making such deposits in the manner prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury, shall be entitled to receive from the Comptroller of the Currency, circulating notes in blank, duly registered and countersigned." – Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933, Title 4

- (a) public debt OR
- (b) private debt

Here we see the authorization to issue the new currency and how it is to be issued. We see the security backing the currency is debt, both public and private. This legislation was *Emergency Legislation* and read from the clerk's desk since the Congressmen did not have a copy. When you are trying to stampede Congress, you certainly would not want them to have a copy of pending-legislation ahead of time so that they could educate themselves and be prepared for true debate. We might also notice that this language authorizes *currency*, not *money*. There is a difference. To understand the difference we need to know the definition of money.

"Money. In the usual and ordinary acceptation it means coins and paper currency used as circulating medium of exchange, <u>and does not embrace notes</u>, <u>bonds</u>, <u>evidences of debt</u>, or other personal or real estate." - Blacks Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition.

As can be seen, *notes*, as in Federal Reserve *Note*, is not money. Federal Reserve Notes are *Private Commercial Paper*. More specifically, privately owned private commercial paper. Because Federal Reserve Notes are privately owned and issued upon the deposit of *public* and *private debt*, they have a *usury charge* that we call interest, and so the American people must be assessed an *income tax* in order for the Bankers (the *creditors of the United States*) to access the *productivity* of the American people. Their *productivity* has real value. What this does is to create an economic trap from which there is no escape so long as the system remains as it is. And both of the dominant political parties, Republican and Democrat, support this fraud against the people of the United States.

The MONETARY TRAP and how it works

1. *Private Debt:* In simple form you buy a piece of Real Estate for \$300,000 and put \$20,000 down, then sign a contract for \$180,000. When this contract (private debt - *a sound asset owned by the bank*) is placed on deposit with the Treasurer of the United States, the Federal Reserve agent is authorized to issue \$180,000 in currency (Federal Reserve Bank Notes) formulated from the \$180,000 contractual debt back to the bank who made the loan. In four years you have landscaped, poured a concrete driveway and patio, and installed a couple larger windows. You then sell this property for \$250,000. The buyer puts \$30,000 down and signs a contract for \$220,000. When this contract is placed on deposit with the Treasurer of the United States this retires the currency on the previous loan and authorizes the Federal Reserve to issue \$220,000 in currency.

The total investment that the Federal Reserve Bank has in this whole process is *ink on paper* (the Federal Reserve Note). In the end, the Banksters have confiscated the Gold, the Silver, all the property, and replaced the law of the Republic with the Uniform Commercial Code by virtue of making all relationships commercial.

2. Public Debt: For a time this worked well enough, especially for Congress. Both political parties of Congress have a spending addiction that cannot be satisfied. To feed their addiction they annually sell sufficient Treasury Notes and Treasury Bonds to fund the operation of the Federal Government for another fiscal year, a Federal public debt obligation to pay and making the Notes and Bonds available for anyone to buy. We Americans buy a few of them but we cannot begin to buy enough to provide for the operation of Government for another year, so the National Debt increases and the Interest Due increases. Enter the Federal Reserve Bank who buys as many Treasury Bonds as Congress wishes to sell. Buying them with Federal Reserve Bank Notes of which there is an endless supply... it just takes ink on paper. And then we wonder why we don't own anything.

Reading from the Congressional record we get the big picture from Congressman Patman:

"Under the new law the money is issued to the banks in return for Government obligations, bills of exchange, drafts, notes, trade acceptances, and banker's acceptances. The money will be worth 100 cents on the dollar, because it is backed by the credit of the Nation. It will represent a mortgage on all the homes and other property of all the people in the Nation." — Congressional record, March 9, 1933, House, Congressman Patman, 73rd Congress, Special Session, Volume 77, part 1, page 83.

As you can see... you get to own nothing. Since the Government has in fact seized our property and mortgaged it to the Federal Reserve for *ink on paper* (Federal Reserve *Notes*), we should be able to find evidence of that seizure in further Government documentation. In Senate Document No. 43, Under Contracts Payable in Gold, 1933, we read:

"The ULTIMATE OWNERSHIP OF ALL PROPERTY IS IN THE STATE, individual *so called* ownership is only by virtue of Government. i.e. Law, amounting to mere user. And use must be in accordance with law and subordinate to the necessities of the State.

From former President Franklin Roosevelt's papers we see the next step:

"This conference of fifty farm leaders met on March 10, 1933. They agreed on recommendations for a bill which was presented to me at the White House on March 11, by a committee of the Conference, who requested me to call upon the Congress for the same broad power to meet the **emergency** in agriculture as I had requested in solving the Banking Crises."

But the *Banking Crises* was not solved, witness the financial meltdown of 2008 in which 50% of our retirements disappeared to bail out the Banking Criminals. Here the 'agricultural community' is supposedly so enamored with the [two days] success of the *Banking Relief Act* that they meet with the President and request of him to ask Congress for the *same broad power* to meet the *emergency* in Agriculture. Obviously the 'BROAD POWER' being requested is the War and Emergency Powers that was brought forth from the *Trading with the Enemy Act* and put into the *Banking Relief Act*.

From the Agricultural Adjustment Act of May 12, 1933:

"The present acute economic emergency, being in part the consequence of a severe and increasing disparity between the prices of Agriculture and other commodities, which disparity has largely destroyed the purchasing power of the farmers for industrial products, has broken down the orderly exchange of commodities and has seriously impaired the agricultural assets supporting the NATIONAL CREDIT STRUCTURE. It is hereby declared that these conditions in the basic industry of agriculture has affected transactions in agricultural commodities with a

NATIONAL PUBLIC INTEREST, have burdened and obstructed normal currents of commerce in such commodities and has rendered imperative the immediate enactment of Title 1 of this act."

Notice that the *emergency* has broadened and expanded to now include agriculture. The language above is paving the way to place this nations agricultural property into assets that can be included in the declared bankruptcy. All those agricultural assets necessary to support the *national credit structure* of **the District** of Columbia (otherwise known as the corporate UNITED STATES). All those millions of acres of farm lands which provide the necessary backing (security) for the issuance of Government Bonds in order for the Government to continue to operate in bankruptcy. Also note that Agriculture now has a *national public interest* and as such it was *placed into public service*. With this act Congress mortgaged virtually every square inch of our country to the world bankers. From the congressional record we read, in debate over this bill, a quotation by Congressman Beck:

"But the Constitution of the United States, as a restraining influence in keeping the United States Government within the carefully prescribed channels of power, is moribund, if not dead. We are witnessing its death agonies, for when this bill becomes a law, if unhappily it becomes a law, there is no longer any workable Constitution to keep the Federal Government within the limits of its constitutional powers."

And with appropriate support from both the Democrats and Republicans it did pass. What did the supreme court have to say about this act?

THE SUPREME COURT

ON THE AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT ACT:

"A tax in the general understanding and in the strict constitutional sense is an exaction for the support of government. This term does not connote the expropriation of money from one group to be expended for another as a necessary means in a plan of regulation, such as the plan for regulating agricultural production set up in the Agricultural Adjustment Act ... The regulation of the Farmers Activities under the statute, though in form subject to his own will is in fact coercion through economic pressure. His right of choice is illusory. Even if the farmers consent were purely voluntary, the Act would stand no better. At best it is a scheme for purchasing, with Federal Funds, submission to Federal Regulation of the subject reserved to the States....

If the novel view of the *general welfare clause*, now advanced in support of the tax, were accepted, that clause would not only allow congress to supplant the states in the regulation of agriculture and all other industries as well, but would furnish the means whereby all the other provisions of the constitution, sedulously framed to limit the powers of the United States and preserve the powers of the

States, could be broken down. The independence of the individual States obliterated and the United States converted into a central government exercising uncontrolled police power throughout the Union, superseding all local controls over local concerns."

Using extraordinarily good judgment, the Supreme Court struck down the Emergency Powers of the Agricultural Act. But if we examine our history we see that President Roosevelt went to work to STACK THE COURT. Through DEATH and RESIGNATION, by 1937, the court was stacked! And shortly thereafter these cases were reversed and *emergency powers* was again reestablished in Agriculture.

The Court now says:

"The existence of war and the restoration of peace are to be determined by the political department of the Government, and such determination is binding and conclusive upon the courts, and deprives the courts of the power of hearing proof and determining, as a question of fact, either that war exists or has ceased to exist."

There you have it. The supreme court say saying 'its not our problem.' So far we have not discussed the *EMERGENCY* under which the Banking Relief Act was passed. The declaration of an *EMERGENCY* is contained in the act itself. Here's the language...

An ACT

To provide relief in the existing national emergency in banking, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Congress hereby declares that a serious **emergency** exists and that it is imperatively necessary speedily to put into effect remedies of uniform national application."

Here we have a hint as to the source of the emergency. We have another clue when President Roosevelt issued proclamation 2039 which said in part;

"Whereas there has been a heavy and unwarranted withdrawal of gold and currency from our banking institutions for the purpose of hoarding ..."

Gold and Silver coin is real money and it is the money used by the people in 1933 and before. Did you know...?

No State shall... make anything but gold and silver coin a tender in payment of debts; – Constitution for the United States, Article I, Section 10, Clause 5

It appears that the Leadership of every State of the Union has broke the Supreme Law of the

Land, the Constitution. But that is not true. They solved this problem with another deception. House Joint Resolution 192 [HJR192] takes notice of this and provides that debts may be <u>discharged</u>, not paid. Interesting, isn't it? If you cannot <u>pay</u> for anything, then you cannot own any thing. Are we beginning to comprehend why I assert that Republicans and Democrats are trains on different tracks, going to the same destination?

Returning to answering the questions as to the source of the **Emergency**, we have found that it was *a heavy and unwarranted withdrawal of gold* from the Nations Banks. Did you know that withdrawing some of your savings to survive a depression is unwarranted? It's a clue!

The actual source of the **emergency** occurred as a result of the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank being unwilling to give back the gold they had received as deposits from the people of the United States, probably because they had moved the gold out of the country with no intention of returning it which left their gold supply a little short of meeting the demands of the depositors. [It's good to be a criminal protected by the Political Parties of the United States! And, of course it follows that it's good to have a Police Force controlled by the Political Parties of the United States to Protect and Serve both the *Banking Criminals* and the *Political Criminals*!] Hopefully, it goes without saying that *the depositors* were the people of the United States. Unfortunately, we do not know the depth of this thievery for the Federal Reserve Bank has never been audited as set up by the Leadership of the United States. That's right, Congress turned over control of our money system to a privately owned bank that can never be audited by virtue of the Act they passed creating the Federal Reserve Bank. That's the way the House Representatives and Senators we elect to represent the interests of the Banking Elite set it up for the American People. How is it that we can know that the Federal Reserve had taken the wealth of this nation and had no intention of returning it? By their declaration to President Hoover.

"Whereas in the opinion of the Board of Directors of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, the continued and increasing withdrawal of currency and gold from the banks of the country *has now created a national emergency*."

If the Federal Reserve had not stolen the wealth of this nation, with the approval of Leadership, the Federal Reserve would not have had to declare that "the continued and increasing withdrawal of currency and gold from the banks of the country has now created a national emergency."

Even with all the song and dance of those involved, it does not take a rocket scientist to conclude that the Federal Reserve has engaged in fraud, deception and conspiracy to deprive the American people of their true wealth and ultimately enslave them.

Let's read the first sentence from Senate Report 93-549:

"Since March 9, 1933, the United States has been in a state of **declared national emergency**."

Since Senate report 93-549 was written in 1973, one might wonder if we are still under *EMERGENCY RULE*. If so, we should be able to find evidence of this in current law. To those who need this proof I refer you to 48 Statute 1, the current law today. Of particular interest is section 95b. At Title 12 U.S.C., 48 Statute 1, Sec 95b, we read:

"The actions, regulations, rules, license, orders or proclamations heretofore or hereafter taken, promulgate, made or issued by the President of the United States or the Secretary of the Treasury since March 4, 1933 pursuant to the authority of section '95a' of this title, are hereby approved and confirmed." Chapter 1, Title 1, 48 Statute 1, March 9, 1933

Finally we arrive at the nexus which explains why I am of the opinion that Republicans as well as Democrats are trains on different tracks headed for the same destination... **CONTROL!** The answer lies in the method by which this *EMERGENCY RULE* is terminated. We find one method contained in the language of the Agricultural Act:

"This Title shall cease to be in effect whenever the President finds and proclaims that the NATIONAL ECONOMIC EMERGENCY in relation to Agriculture has been ended."

And as we go through these acts which confer *EMERGENCY RULE* we find that they terminate by Presidential Proclamation. Congress is fully aware of this in Senate Report 93-549.

"Furthermore it [terminating the Emergency] would be a largely futile task unless we have the President's active corroboration and support. Having delegated this authority to the President in ways that permit him to determine how long it [the Emergency] should continue, simply through the device of keeping emergency declarations alive, we now find ourselves in a position where we [Congress] cannot reclaim the power without the Presidents' acquiescence. We are unable to terminate these declarations without the Presidents' signature, and we need a large measure of Presidential cooperation."

Here we see many things. First is that congress, both Republicans and Democrats dissolved the separation of powers and made the President a dictator. Secondly they did so in a way that, in their interpretation, prevents them from reclaiming the power. And thirdly, we have had how many Republican Presidents and Democratic Presidents since 1933, none of whom have seen fit to terminate an *EMERGENCY* that never existed. The **Emergency** was engineered through fraud, deceit and a host of other criminal activity by the very leaders we trust. And in doing so, the wealth of the American people has been taken from them. And on-going, Leadership and the Bankers continue to take the productivity of the people of the United States. The people of the United States have been placed in an economic trap that enslaves them in a way that scarcely one in a thousand can see, and by having converted all of their normal and regular activities into a *commercial activity*, they are controlled in virtually everything they do. They are constantly told

how free they are and in reality have virtually no freedom to do anything without permission from government.

Senator Church

Senator Church speaking with reference to the War & Emergency Powers:

"These powers, if exercised, would confer upon the President, total authority to do anything he pleases ... Like a loaded gun lying around the house, the plethora of delegated authority, in institutions, to meet almost every kind of conceivable crises stand ready for use for purposes other than their original intention... Nor is it sufficient that this power be conferred upon good men, for men are frail and easily corrupted, and in a short time, he that is absolute may easily corrupt the people."

"To meet almost every kind of conceivable crises", and so it is only necessary for government and its leaders to create one crisis [Emergency] after another as a means of keeping War & Emergency power alive. By virtue of this, they do not have to operate America under "Lawful Civil Authority," they can operate under War & Emergency Powers Authority which means they can operate UN-CONSTITUTIONALLY and pretty much do anything they want. And the whole time the Police stand ready to Protect and Serve this criminal cabal.

This is why I say that Republicans and Democrats are trains on different tracks headed to the same destination.

Michael Herbert Keehn American