

Prelude

We all have our pet peeves. It may be taxes, fees associated with the use of our property (car, motorcycle, quad runner or boat for example), educational & school issues, requirements surrounding the riding of our bicycles, car seats and seat belting issues, having to acquire a permit to replace your hot-water heater or toilet, having to acquire a permit to put a new roof on your home or repair it in some manner, having to acquire a permit to drill a well for your water, being required (forced) to put in or replace expensive sidewalks when you can not afford such betterments, being prevented from pruning or cutting trees on your property, traffic law application that causes you financial injury when you have put no one at risk, fishing laws, gun laws, etc. And we may be active in one or more of these areas.

We may know that America is not being operated under Constitutional law but rather War & Emergency Powers law. We may know that there is a determined effort to set aside original citizenship (State Citizenship - Citizen of the Republic as opposed to United States Citizenship... citizen of the District of Columbia). We may know that the 16th amendment to the constitution was never properly ratified, it was simply declared ratified.

But all of this is just scattered dissipation of our energy and focus. There is a core problem, a place we need to focus our energy and understanding. A place *where the rubber meets the road*. And that is the thrust of this writing. It follows this *prelude* and begins on the next page.

Quite often we are the product of *psychological profiling of a targeted group*. That is, our perceptions and knowledge is controlled by virtue of releasing certain biased or skewed information and withholding other information. As such, the *conventional wisdom* is a controlled outcome. But every now & then there is the person who goes behind the scenes and takes a look, emerging with a wholly different perspective and knowledge than is held by most people.

Question: Is it the person who notices events which are not held as current knowledge by the masses a *conspiracy theorist* or *radical*, or is it those people who have actually set those events in motion who are *active conspirators* and *radicals*, who wish to remain hidden from the general public at large?

In such events, the problem that a writer faces is that those of whom he is writing are government officials and it is the tendency of the general public to be frightened by the factual information to which they are being exposed in the writing of such a document. Yet, if an individual has knowledge that may negatively affect his fellow countrymen and does not sound the alarm, then has he fulfilled his job as a citizen to those fellow countrymen? Thanks to other writers I have, only recently, came into knowledge that allowed me to complete this document in a manner that fills in a number of gaps in understanding. And so I say thanks to all you writers who continue to dig and to write.

As always, comment and criticisms may be sent to MHKEEHN@LYCOS.COM

Supporting the Credit Structure of the United States

by Michael H. Keehn

The substance of this document impacts the lives of all American's and that can not be overstated. Much of the content is fact that you can verify and some content is observation which you can readily observe yourself. Oh, I know there will be denials. It will have to be denied.

1787 - Constitution; Article One, Section 8: Grants to congress this authority:

“To exercise exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever, over such district (not exceeding ten miles square) as may, by cession of particular States, and the acceptance of Congress, become the seat of the Government of the United States, and to exercise like authority over all places purchased by the consent of the legislature of the State in which the same shall be, for the erection of forts, magazines, arsenals, dockyards, and other needful Buildings...”

This *District* to which this section refers was later to become *Washington, District of Columbia* (Washington D. C.). It is important to note that this *District* is not inclusive to the Republic. That is, it is not inside the Republic, it is outside (an *Exclusive Jurisdiction*). It is by virtue of this that laws for this jurisdiction do not generally meet, nor have any obligation to meet, constitutional restrictions or limitations. In other words, Congress may make any law it wishes for this jurisdiction without regard for constitutionality. And they have done so.

In effect, this gives Congress a dual character. In one capacity, they can make law for the Republic of the united States of America, and in the other capacity, they can make laws for *the District of Columbia* (hereafter referred to as *the District*).

1868 - Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution: This amendment provides citizenship to the freed slave who, up to this time, was being denied standing in court due to a lack of citizenship status. Status (citizenship) is so important and perhaps we'll get into that more a little later. The important point to note here, is that the status of United States citizenship, which is mentioned for the first time here in law and provided by this Amendment, gains its existence in the laws of *the District*, and it is in this jurisdiction that this citizenship rests, not in the Republic. The citizen of the Republic is the *State Citizen* since the several States retained their independent nation status even with the signing of the constitution. That is why the constitution clearly states the relationship of the several states as a *UNION*. Therefore a United States citizen would be subject to the laws of *the District* as well as the Republic.

1871 - Congress exercised its *exclusive legislative authority* over *the District* and created a government for this jurisdiction. It is corporation, municipal in nature but still a **corporation**. The actual language reads as follows:

“That all that part of the territory of *the United States* included within the limits of the District of Columbia be, and the same is hereby, created into a government by the name of the District of Columbia, by which name it is hereby constituted a body corporate for municipal purposes...” - 16 United States Statutes at Large 419; FORTY FIRST CONGRESS SESSION III, CHAPTER 62, 1871

This corporation has become known to you as *the United States*, as opposed to *these united States of America* (the Republic).

1933 - On March 9, 1933, President Franklin Roosevelt declared *the United States* to be bankrupt in Executive Orders 6073, 6102, 6111 and by Executive Order 6260. (See: Senate Report 93-549, pages 187 & 594)

If there is a point in time that can be pointed to as the nexus of the pending decline and destruction of the United States, it is here. As near as I can determine, this is what occurred. The U.S. was in the midst of a depression, engineered by the Federal Reserve Bank. Americans, having trouble making ends meet were withdrawing some of their deposited savings (gold) from the banks. By all appearances, the Federal Reserve had moved the bulk of the deposited gold out of the country and had no intention returning it. Congress had created the Federal Reserve Bank, by an Act in 1913 and with no gold to return to the depositors there was created a Banking Crisis for both Congress and the Federal Reserve. The crisis in banking and the foundations that indicate that the Federal Reserve Bank had no intention of returning the gold that had been deposited is contained in this response by the Federal Reserve Board of New York, shown in part, to President Herbert Hoover, who had asked what might be done about the crises in banking.

"Whereas in the opinion of the Board of Directors of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, the continued and increasing withdrawal of currency and gold from the banks of the country has now created a national emergency." - Federal Reserve Board of New York

As we all know, when a bank loans money, they don't give the individual gold. They credit some account, or provide some sort of commercial assurance for the funds. Therefore, if the bank doesn't loan gold, then where did it all go? If the Federal Reserve Bank has not done something dishonest with the gold, then it should be available to return to the depositors, thus avoiding a *crises in banking*.

By virtue of its name, most people of that time and many people of today, believe that the *FEDERAL RESERVE* is part of the FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. It is not. It is a privately owned bank, owned originally by 6 Europeans and one American... Rockefeller. The American people had trusted their government and its leadership (read Congress), and that trust was betrayed as it is today. The bank created by Congress had duped the American people into depositing their wealth, and that wealth disappeared from this country.

This *banking crisis* led to the passage of the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933, the same day that bankruptcy was declared. Are we willing to entertain the idea that this whole event might

have been thought out ahead of time? This act, of March 9, 1933, contained the language of the *Trading With the Enemy Act*, of October 6, 1917. The act of October 6, 1917 was a *War Powers Act* and has been declared as such. In 1917, the United States was at work with WWI, and it was recognized that there were probably enemies of this country operating within our borders. So the *Trading With the Enemy Act* was passed, giving the President total authoritarian control over the enemy and his commercial activity in America.

However, this act of 1917 contained some exclusionary language. One piece of exclusionary language reads, “*Credits in any form, except credits for transactions to be executed wholly within the United States.*” Recognizing that when you go to the hardware store and buy a lawn mower, a transaction executed wholly within the United States, this is not an **ENEMY** transaction and is not subject to the operation of the law. It also said at section ‘c’, “*except citizens of the United States.*” **Recognizing that a citizen of the United States is not an enemy of the United States.**

However, when the language of the Act of October 6, 1917 was incorporated into the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933, the *exclusionary language* was amended, and it became “**by any person living within the United States or subject to the jurisdiction thereof.**” The amending of the exclusionary language has the effect of making the American people indistinguishable from any other enemy of the United States in law. Which, in the eyes of government and its officials, gives the President the authority to regulate you, no different than when dealing with any enemy. And to regulate the entire country in the same manner.

Reading from the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933:

"Whenever in the Judgement of the Secretary of the Treasury such action is necessary **to protect the currency system of the United States**, the Secretary of the Treasury in his discretion, may require any or all individuals, partnerships, associations or corporations to pay and deliver to the Treasurer of the United States any or all Gold Coin, Gold Bullion, and Gold Certificates owned by such individuals, partnerships, associations or corporations. And whoever shall not comply with the provisions of this act shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or if a **natural person** may in addition to such fine be imprisoned for a year not exceeding ten years." - Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933

The first item of which to take note is that the **Secretary of the Treasury** is not the *Secretary of the Treasury of the United States*. This language refers to the **Secretary of the Treasury of the International Monetary Fund**. This become evident is another section of the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933.

“Section 1. The actions, regulations, rules, licenses, orders and proclamations heretofore or hereafter taken, promulgated, made, or issued by the President of the United States or the Secretary of the Treasury since March 4, 1933 pursuant to the authority conferred by subdivision (b) of section 5 of the Act of October 6, 1917, as amended, are hereby approved and confirmed.” - Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933

Why do you suppose they would go out of their way to identify the President as *the President of the United States* and then identify the Treasury Secretary as only *the Secretary of the Treasury* and not *the Secretary of the Treasury of the United States*? Are ignorant enough to believe that this was an accident or oversight just after going out of our way to properly identify the President? It is a message to the American people if we are awake enough to see it. Well, that's item one. Now for item two.

The second item is to recognize that in this language Congress is saying that if the American people are unwilling to surrender their gold, surrender their money, surrender their property, then they can be sent to prison for a period of ten years of their life. Here is a situation where Congress has mismanaged this country into bankruptcy and is now demanding that you give up your earnings and savings or be sent to jail. Are we willing to see that this is extortion? Are we sufficiently mature to see this action for what it truly is? This bankruptcy and all the policies associated with it is what our American police are operating to protect although the majority of them probably don't realize it! I would have to assume that some federal police (agents) are fully aware of what is going on.

Reading from the *Agricultural Adjustment Act* of May 12, 1933, just three days after the passage of the Banking Relief Act:

"The present acute economic emergency, being in part the consequence of a severe and increasing disparity between the prices of Agriculture and other commodities, which disparity has largely destroyed the purchasing power of the farmers for industrial products, has broken down the orderly exchange of commodities and has seriously impaired the agricultural assets *supporting the NATIONAL CREDIT STRUCTURE*. It is hereby declared that these conditions in the basic industry of agriculture has affected transactions in agricultural commodities with a NATIONAL PUBLIC INTEREST, have burdened and obstructed normal currents of commerce in such commodities and has rendered imperative the immediate enactment of Title 1 of this act."

There goes the farm property. With this language the farm property was just confiscated to support the credit structure of the United States. Are we willing to see that there are no limits on what they are willing to do to **SUPPORT THE CREDIT STRUCTURE OF THE UNITED STATES** ?

Reading from the congressional record in the debate / discussion of the Banking Relief Act.

"Under the new law the money is issued to the banks in return for Government obligations, bills of exchange, drafts, notes, trade acceptances, and banker's acceptances. The money will be worth 100 cents on the dollar, because it is backed by the credit of the Nation. **IT WILL REPRESENT A MORTGAGE ON ALL THE HOMES AND OTHER PROPERTY OF ALL THE PEOPLE IN THE NATION.**"

Feel free to go look it up. I encourage you to look it up, and learn what has been done to our country, to the sacrifices of the founding Americans who died to provide freedom and justice, for our children and all Americans. Yes, look it up.

This declaration of bankruptcy altered our entire legal system. This was necessary to *support the credit structure of the United States*. It includes instruction to the United States **District** Court (Article I Tribunal) Judges, who are instructed to take "silent judicial notice" that America is a bankrupt nation. America is no longer operating under Constitutional Law but is now operating under certain "*public bankruptcy policies*," the very existence of which is not to be made general public knowledge. [see 5 USCA 903, etc.]

U.S. District Court Judges, who act on behalf of **The District**, are immune from civil torts, which are wrongs or breaches of duty imposed by law, because under the bankruptcy policies and laws they are members of an "independent judiciary," as distinguished from a true Article I, Section 8 Judge. These same Judges are paid by the Treasury Department which is controlled by the International Monetary Fund (remember, *DEPARTMENTS* are a corporate structure). And to top it off, these same Judges no longer take the Civil Service Commission Loyalty Oath, which would obligate them to support of the Constitution and the American Republic.

I would assume that if you are going to mismanage your countries financial affairs to the level of bankrupting that country and you are going to engage in whatever practices are necessary to sustain the credit structure of that country by hook or crook, then you will need a system of justice (or *injustice*) that will support your criminal activity.

"The ULTIMATE OWNERSHIP OF ALL PROPERTY IS IN THE STATE, individual *so called* ownership is only by virtue of Government. i.e. Law, amounting to mere user. And use must be in accordance with law and subordinate to the necessities of the State." - Senate Document No. 43, Under Contracts Payable in Gold, 1933

The language of this document means that Congress has mortgage all our property to the bankers to *support the credit structure of the United States*. By virtue of this language, everything we think we own is really an asset applied to the *credit structure of the United States*.

1945 - Bretton Woods Agreement: This agreement established the dollar as the world's reserve currency. A policy was produced by this agreement whereby the U.S. dollar was fully convertible into gold by foreign governments who had the legal right to demand gold as payment for their goods and services sold to America. However, dollars were not eligible for conversion into gold by private citizens at this time.

In approximately 1971 a dollar crisis erupted when several foreign banks began to demand reimbursement for their dollars in gold as had been agreed in Bretton Woods. As matters would have it, the (corporate) U.S. had printed so many dollars and borrowed so much from foreign banks that the U.S. gold reserves were rendered insufficient, making full payment in gold an impossibility. This crisis required an immediate solution to save America from default and bankruptcy, and the destruction of the *credit structure of the United States*. The solution came when America announced it would immediately sever the link between the dollar and gold, making it abundantly clear to all its creditors that America would never repay any of the billions of dollars it had borrowed with physical gold, but rather with depreciating paper dollars backed by nothing but the "reputation" of the U.S. Government (a corporation).

With the severing of the link between the dollar and gold, the United States (a corporation) was declaring itself to be an empire. The action of severing the link between the dollar and gold on the world market, was open admission to the world that *the United States* was bankrupt. Because of the powers wielded by the United States in economics, politics and military, no other government on earth could oppose this criminal action, simply because these other governments had no alternative. They were literally reduced to being forced to accept depreciating dollars for their goods and services sold to America.

America then entered into an agreement with Saudi Arabia in which the Saudi's would accept only U.S. dollars in payment for their oil. This made the U. S. dollar backed by the one commodity that every nation needed to survive... OIL. Supporting *the credit structure of the United States* at the expense of everyone else. I wonder why so many people of this world don't like us very much?

To continue our facade of wealth and stability, we have engineered events as necessary to justify going into one country after another to gain control of their natural resources. We care nothing of freedom. Of all the countries we have gone into since the close of WWII (excluding Japan), how many have freedom and how many have some form of dictatorship or other form of totalitarian government? I wonder why so many people of this world don't like us very much?

We go into countries, like Iraq, under whatever pretense. In the Iraqi case "*weapons of mass destruction*", and we find none. We destroy their culture, destroy their countries infrastructure, undermine their way of life and religion, torture their men we have imprisoned, kill hundreds of thousands of their men, and for what? So that we can maintain *the credit structure of the United States*. This is why we send our young men (and women) over there to fight. And what will their psyche be when they discover what they have participated in? How will they feel and behave when they discover that the killing they participated in was to *support the credit structure of the United States*? Where is our honor?

Here at home we acquire a government issued birth certification when a baby is born. This certification is *registered* with the Department of Vital Statistics. This *registration* process is no different than registering your car. Registering your car gives government legal title to the car and leaves you with *equitable title*. Equitable title allows you the use of the vehicle and makes you responsible for all the fees and other requirements associated with that vehicle. In the case of the child, the *registration of the Birth Certification* places the child into International Commerce and with that there is issued a government bond in the amount of \$630,000 which is sold on a securities and exchange. It is always purchased by the Federal Reserve Bank. The child then becomes the surety (or guarantee) that the \$630,000 bond will be paid back. It's just part of how we support *the credit structure of the United States*. Why do you think *baby makers*, of whatever race, are so welcome here? Why do you think women are so coveted by government... they make the babies that provide \$630,000 bonds. One male can impregnate hundreds of women, who cares about them?

If you receive a citation for making an 'illegal' U-Turn or failure to wear a seat belt, the idea is only to ring the cash register... support *the credit structure of the United States*." It is all one big energy transfer scheme. To produce the money in your wallet, you had to work. You had to

expend energy to produce that money. If government can make a law that allows them to take one third of the money you have earned in income taxes, then government has transferred one third of your work energy (one third of your work life) to their use as they see fit. If government can take more of your earnings in excise tax, sales tax, property tax, luxury tax, etc., then government has just transferred more of your energy, more of your life to their use. There are many ways to plunder (which is a criminal activity) and the best method to plunder is one not seen as plunder by people who suffer the plunder under education controlled by government.

If a crazed drug addict or generally violent criminal enters my home and clearly has the intent to cause me or my family harm, and I should kill this individual, what is likely to happen. Most likely I will be arrested and no longer be able to provide for my family during the time I am incarcerated. But why is government so concerned that I have genuinely protected myself and family. Why do some cities make laws that prevent me from owning a gun to protect my wife and family. Why is government so determined to *get its pound of flesh*? Could it be that a general thief is going to steal a bunch of stuff to support himself or his drug habit and that I'm going to either replace this 'stuff' myself or turn it into my insurance company, creating commerce? Could it be that a drug addict is going to need considerable medical care, creating commerce? Could it be that both the drug addict and general criminal may need psychiatric care, creating commerce? Could it be that there will be considerable court, Judge and attorney money to be made, creating commerce? Could it be that both types of individuals will require imprisonment, creating commerce? Could it be that if I were to justly kill such a person, I have prematurely terminated payoff on the \$630,000 bond, harming the credit structure of the United States? These are only questions, but probably ones deserving of consideration.

The one law that I can break, the one law that they will come after me for with a vengeance, is ***IMPEDING COMMERCE***, which harms *the credit structure of the United States*. Everything you see happening, here and all around the world in which we become involved, all of it, is to avoid the ultimate consequence of being bankrupt. Congress and all of the administration of this country, does not want to answer nor be accountable for that bankruptcy and for deceiving the American people over such a long period of time. Once you get a grip on the seriousness of this event, once you get a grip on how many have already died, once you get a grip on how many more will be allowed to die, then everything comes into question. The *World Trade Center* tragedy, the recent discovery by the British (who are in this with us) of terrorists and plots, the Pentagon in which no one can find a plane after government reported that one hit the Pentagon, the current conflict others are having with Israel which may (and probably will) involve us, the Kuwait incident, which, by the way, was originally a part of Iraq before it was sliced off for Brittan in one of the divisions of conquest, Iraq itself in which no *weapons of mass destruction* were found and even if they were, so what. We have the most weapons of mass destruction. We make a big issue of the Chinese having nuclear capability with their 7 to 10 war heads... we have several thousand. With so much at stake, anything is fair game. The idea is to control your mind and mine. To psychologically profile us to accept the actions of our governments' leadership and do their bidding. How many of your children do you want to send into battle over this facade?