Do we have a clue? First in a series: © 2010 by Michael Keehn mhkeehn@gmail.com

Our economy is failing, we can barely breathe without acquiring a license, government takes money from us at every turn, businesses are constantly sending money to one government agency or another, many of us are no longer able to survive on our own and have moved in with relatives or friends to share expenses, after the patriot acts, I & II, we have virtually no private life... what the heck is going on? Do we have a clue?

Well, in order to understand where we are, we need to know where we've been, and that is the substance of this series. The train is leaving and we're going to take a trip, examining where we Americans have been and what has really taken place. Everyone's invited, but especially our educators. Everyone is encouraged to research this material and confirm for themselves. Our trip will start off smooth enough, relating things that we know or can easily see, but as the series progresses the ride is such that it can make one feel like they are on a "bullet train" and about to fall off in the curves. So fasten your seatbelt, and pull it down real tight. American's, here we go… "all aboard."

I remember well as a youngster, studying the constitution in grade school. As a youngster I wondered how government could be doing the things that even I could see were unconstitutional. Well, as knowledge expands, insight & understanding improves. Consequently, this series of articles is for highschool young and oldsters alike. It will likely reveal matters never covered in public schools or traditional books on history.

Even before the thirteen colonies, very bright elite European families and bankers conceived the idea of "America" as an *investment opportunity*. Perhaps the greatest *investment* ever undertaken. English residents, as well as residents of other countries, were encouraged to go to the newly discovered lands. Many people did go in hope of a new and better life. The idea was to bring a thriving life to this new land and along with it, investment returns through the labor of the brave settlers.

For this privilege and to pay their cost of transport across the Atlantic, the migrants agreed to pay taxes to the Bank of England which acted as a central bank for all investors. It was a relationship of the creditor (the monied elite) and the debtor (the people), the same as exists today. In such a relationship we are all educated well enough to know that a debt must be paid, one way or another.

As time went by, taxes increased, and increased, and increased. Many people across America decided they did not want to pay their *contractual obligation* any longer and quit paying. This is, of course, an action which was, and still is, illegal. Under international law this debt is due and payable. However, that does not change the fact that early American's simply became resistive to

increasing tax levies through the King of England's gold-based economic system. Consequently, the American people created their own monetary system, based on a fiat currency which the King could not control. Fiat money is, of course, a promise to pay, in effect, an I.O.U.

The King, angered by the resistance of *his subjects* in America, passed a law requiring them to pay their taxes in only gold. The angered American colonies entered a *plea for legal reform*. The King, in his arrogance, would not hear their plea which then became a major contributor to the cause and start of the Revolutionary War.

Although America was able to prevail over the violence of the King in the Revolutionary War, they still had a debt obligation to the Bank of England. Even though American's had made peace with England, that didn't mean that the Bank of England was going to forget the obligation to the debt owed, banks never do. America was a debtor nation, in effect America was subservient to the sovereign authority of the *truly free* creditors.

However, America now had an opportunity to be free. It began with being free to create their own government. The first such government came into being under the *Articles of Confederation*. Under these articles, Thomas McKean was the first president.

Since gaining freedom and independence was a paramount goal of those fighting in the Revolutionary War, the delegates to the Constitutional Convention wrestled with keeping that goal alive when ratifying the Constitution in 1789. The constitution is a contract intended to act in such a way that it was to prevent America's government from becoming oppressive, and at the same time, preserve the *sovereign rights* of its people. [Those locals who leave unloaded shotguns securely locked up in their vehicle off the highschool campus, and those who receive citations for riding their bicycle in the skate board park, have received their first lessons in oppressive government.]

America, being in debt to *predatory foreign investors* needed to either pay off the debt completely, or continually and forever pay interest on that debt. Thus, the adoption of the Constitution brought with it an almost immediate reintroduction of taxes. This led to "Shay's Rebellion" which Shay lost. Consequently, taxes were levied on Americans just as they were before America gained "*legal*" independence. But, if you are going to be free, you must also be free from debt. Remember that in *true independence* the nation is not in debt to *sovereign foreign creditors*.

These *predatory foreign investors* were wry enough to know that America's Revolutionary War would cause its economy to become unstable, and thus, unable to survive. Consequently, Congress was forced to pass the 1791 Assumption Act which created America's first national bank, known as the *First Bank of America*. This bank was chartered by the Bank of England for a term of 20 years. In creating this bank, America was *forced* to charter it with the same European investors and bankers who held America's debts before the war. In December of 1791, this bank, which now controlled the *American money supply*, opened its doors for business.

Therefore, the same people were in control of America.

Next... We explore: 1) more about this bank; 2) the honor of the Rothschild Banking Dynasty; 3) The meaning of *secured party creditor*; 4) The cause of the war of 1812; 5) the complete payoff of the national debt.

Do we have a clue? Second in a series © 2010 by Michael Keehn mhkeehn@gmail.com

Well, our historic train is rolling down the track. Thus far we learned that after wining the *Revolutionary War* we were still in debt to the same creditors as before the war. And that this debt led to the 20 year charter of the *First National Bank of America*, and that this bank had control over the America's money supply.

Initially, this bank's capitalization was ten million dollars, 80% of which was owned by the *foreign investors*. Only 20% of the bank was owned by America, but that soon changed. The bankers (banksters) led the naive, or easily corruptible, American government officials down a path that quickly robbed America of its 20% ownership. In a little over 5 years, actions of government leadership (congress) would see America indebted to this bank in the amount of 6.2 million dollars, consequently America was forced to sell most of its shares to the *foreign investors* to resolve this debt. By the year 1802, America was forced to sell its remaining shares, leaving America with no stock in its own national bank. Thus, America gave over its currency and economic well being to *foreign investors*. Upon this subject, Thomas Jefferson said this:

"If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks... will deprive the people of all property until their children wake-up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered... The issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the people, to whom it properly belongs."

The creditors of America are known as "secured party creditors." This means that there is some form of security (collateral) for the money owed. And in this debt, the collateral was the property of the Federal Government... Washington, D.C. and anything else the federal government held title to. Thus, one primary purpose of this *First National Bank of America* was to hold the collateral (securities) for America's debt. Amshel Bauer Rothschild, one of the largest investors in this bank, had this to say:

"Let me issue and control a Nation's money and I care not who writes the laws."

As a sidebar in the character of *international banker Rothschild* let it be known that when Napoleon was conquering Europe 20 years later, Prince William left 3 million dollars with Amshel Mayer Rothschild for the purpose of paying off the Hessian troops in the service of Prince William. Upon losing the battle, William fled to the North. Rothschild, instead of paying the troops, put the money in the stock market, evidently making a purchase on an inside tip given to him. From the profit of this stock investment, Amshel Mayer's five sons then created five authoritative banks: Amschel in Frankfort; Jacob in Paris; Nathan in London; Karl in Naples and Solomon in Vienna. And now you have insight into one of the banking families still controlling America, her Congress and her President today.

In the year 1811, the 20-year charter of the *first national Bank of America* expired and Congress did not renew it. Congress and America was drawing a line in the sand, daring England to cross it. This led to the withdrawal of seven million dollars by European investors, resulting in an economic recession for America. This action also elicited a military response from England, the War of 1812. In August of 1812, England invaded Washington, D.C. and burned down the White House, the Library of Congress, and the President's personal home. The invasion took place because America dishonored its contractual agreement. Thus, according to international law a letter of marque was issued and the British military invaded America, entered the federal courts where the collateral for the debt had been moved, and seized those assets.

Of course, more debt accrued as a result of this war, reaffirming a need for a new bank charter. Thus, the *second Bank of the United States* was founded in 1816 and chartered for another 20 year period. Four years before this charter was due to expire, England came knocking, proposing an early charter renewal. However, President Andrew Jackson denied renewal of this charter. "Old Hickory" (as Jackson was called) had the ethics, bravery and backbone (unlike today's leadership) to assert that the Constitution does not delegate such authority to the federal government.

The union States, at this time, were reluctant to pay taxes to the federal government. For the good of America, Jackson sent federal troops into the states and forced the State leadership to collect taxes. With this tax money, Jackson *completely paid off the National Debt*. This eliminated the creditors' rights and claims over America. The people of America, and the nation itself, went without a central bank for seventy-seven years, until the creation of the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank came into existence in 1913. Jackson is quite possibly the best President the united States has ever known.

In 1835, one Richard Lawrence tried to assassinate Jackson with a pistol which malfunctioned. When the bullet failed to discharge, Jackson was able to defend himself with his cane. Then a second pistol was pointed and the trigger pulled. But this weapon also misfired. This began a sad saga for American presidents. If a president, and sometimes presidential hopeful, does truly try to stand up for their country and all Americans, and not the predatory domestic and foreign creditors of the United States, they often are assassinated, or wounded.

In paying off the 'National Debt,' Andrew Jackson had finally made America a *free country*. At least until shortly before the Civil War. Because the foreign investors of the *Second National Bank* had lost the battle with Andrew Jackson and their stranglehold on America, they were angry. They had lost *power*, *control and considerable future profits* that would have been realized had America remained in debt.

Coming next: England's internal undermining of America; adjournment of congress *sin die*; the illegal actions of Lincoln & the declaration of martial law.

Do We Have A Clue? Third in a series - © 2010 by Michael Keehn mhkeehn@gmail.com

Thus far we learned that: 1) After wining the *Revolutionary War* we were still in debt to the same creditors as before the war; 2) The *First National Bank of America* was chartered for 20 years and held the collateral for our debt obligation (the titles to the property of the Federal Government); 3) Although the United States initially owned 20% of this bank, our inept leadership soon lost that and the United States held no ownership in its own National Bank; 4) Letting the 'charter' expire on the *First National Bank of America* led to the war of 1812 in which the British recovered their collateral (deeds/titles to land of the Federal Government); 5) This debt led to the second 20 year charter of the *National Bank of America*, and that this bank had control over the America's money supply. 6) Upon becoming President, Andrew Jackson completely paid off the foreign creditors, and the United States was without debt.

Because foreign investors, more accurately "swindlers," which include governments such as England, were not happy that the united States had escaped their "controlling clutches," they undertook to regain their control of America and her future. There developed a very close relationship between southern cotton growers and the *cotton manufacturing industry* in England. England, even though they lost the Revolutionary War, remained a key player in this world of finance, power & control, retaining a vengeful eye on former disloyal subjects of the Crown. England sent numerous British agents to the United States posing as representatives of the cotton and other industries, the majority of which were in the southern States. Far and beyond commercial activity and trade, these agents planted considerable political propaganda in the ears of both the North and the South, but more so in the South. These agents were very effective at creating dissension between the North and South, eventually leading to insurrection and the succession of South Carolina. Other southern States soon followed.

In effect, the North was an *industrial based economy* while the south was an *agricultural plantation based economy*. The "*Tariff of Abominations*" act was passed in 1828, giving Northern industry considerable benefit over the southern plantations. Considerable tension existed for the next 32 years over this un-just act. It was South Carolina who was the most effected by the taxes, thus, leading to their succession. The southern States had been trying to find ways to deal with these taxes that was siphoning off their profit.

But it was more than that. While the children of today are taught that the Civil War was about slavery, the truth is that it had almost nothing to do with slavery as we have been taught. The Northern industry needed cheap labor (just as today - NAFTA & GATT), and they found that they could hire black men to work much cheaper than a white man, putting more profit in their pockets. As a consequence, the Northern economy was simply *stealing the blacks* from the southern states to work for peanuts in the Northern factories. This occurring in the boom of the *industrial revolution*. A good argument can be made that working for *dirt cheap wages* is

equivalent to slavery. This whole ordeal being driven by *the foreign & domestic investors* who want more money in their pocket at the expense of the common man... exactly the same as today.

The event that signaled the coming of the Civil War occurred on March 27, 1861, when the Southern delegates walked out of Congress over the matters already set forth. When the Southern delegates walked out, Congress adjourned "sin die," or *without day* to reconvene. This resulted in Congress not having sufficient numbers present to *Constitutionally conduct business*. This, in turn, meant that Congress was now *legally powerless*. As a result, the only constitutionally lawful authority in America, which could declare war, was no longer lawful or present.

Without legal authority, Abraham Lincoln issued the first presidential executive order. Executive Order 1 put America under marital law (which is mob rule, not lawful civil authority). Representatives of those states not succeeding from the union, were militarily forced to assemble once again, as though this would make a *lawful legislative body* under the Constitution. Consequently, Congress was not reconvening under *parliamentary law* or *Constitutional Law*. It is important to note and understand that the institution of martial law has not been revoked, canceled or changed. The martial law **illegally declared** by Lincoln persists to this day. Senate Report 93-549 documents this fact: "A majority of the people of the United States have lived under *emergency rule* [not lawful civil authority]... And in the United States, actions taken by the Government in times of great crises have – from at least the Civil War – in important ways, shaped the present phenomenon of a *permanent state of national emergency*." Brining with it a government exercising *emergency powers*.

Lincoln, an attorney, knew his Executive Order was unconstitutional. Consequently, he followed up by issuing *General Order 100*. This *special code* was intended to 'govern' his illegal actions while the United States remained under Martial Law, thus, giving the illusion that his actions were justified and lawful (legal).

The language of *this code* also provided the illusion that the provisions of Article I, Section 8, Clauses 17 & 18 of the Constitution were applicable in the States of the Union. This section of the constitution applies only to Congress, not to the several States. But the illusion this presented, presumably to the military as well as the American people, was that the *several States* would be under the same laws of war and those *private commercial laws* which had, heretofore, applied only to, and in, federal territories (zones). It was a neat illegal deception that worked.

What we are facing in America today should now be coming into focus, but there is more to come.

Do We Have A Clue? Fourth in a series - © 2010 by Michael Keehn mhkeehn@gmail.com

We began this trip because we are barely able to breath without being licensed and controlled, and with the carbon tax on our horizon, we may soon be paying to breath since we emit carbon in the breathing process. Thus far we learned that: 1) After wining the *Revolutionary War* we were still in debt to the same creditors as before the war; 2) The *First National Bank of America* held the collateral for our debt obligation (the titles to the property of the Federal Government); 3) That our inept leadership soon lost our 20% ownership of this bank; 4) Letting the 'bank charter' expire led to the war of 1812; 5) The war of 1812 led to the second 20 year charter of the *bank*; 6) Upon becoming President, Andrew Jackson completely paid off the foreign creditors, and the United States was without debt; 7) That Lincoln illegally implemented martial law; 8) That congress was illegally brought back into session under martial law and is not setting as a constitutional legislative body, as such congress is acting without constitutional authority; 9) That Lincoln's martial law has never been declared over and is still in progress, thus the country is not operating under *lawful civil authority*.

Remember that Andrew Jackson had broken the stranglehold the foreign investors had on America by paying off the National Debt. Now, with the southern delegates absent from congress it provided an opportunity for those former foreign investment bankers to corrupt the Nation for their financial benefit. They were ultimately very instrumental in helping the passage of the "NEW" 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment and the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment. Unknown to most American's and not taught in public schools, is the fact that a 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment to the constitution had been passed prior to the one holding that position today. The original 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment is known as the "Titles of Nobility" Amendment. This was enacted shortly after America came into being in order to prevent exactly what has happened. This amendment, ratified on December 9, 1812, read: "If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain any title of nobility or honor, or shall without consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the united States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them." "Attorney" is one *title of nobility*.

When the southern delegates walked out, those with *titles of nobility* walked into Congress in considerable number. The existing 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment (Titles of Nobility) created a visible road block that needed to be removed. These newly arrived "nobles" needed to replace any remembrance of the 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment so they worked until it was replaced with a new one. Today's government officials will still sometimes deny the existence of this original 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment to hide the *true American history* from the American people. But the "Titles of Nobility" Amendment has been found in fact and it has been verified. Therefore, with Lincoln's illegal declaration of *martial law*, and with the deceptive and fraudulent replacement of the 13<sup>th</sup> amendment, it is now becoming clear who is breaking the law. But we will find the deception,

fraud and lawbreaking, to be much more profound as we continue.

Because Congress was, and is, no longer a [constitutional] 'lawful governing body,' this then resulted in the illegal passage of the current 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment, which paved the way for the passage of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment. The 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment would be the most important legislation in American Law. In the Dred Scott case, the Supreme Court ruled that Scott, nor any person of African ancestry, could claim citizenship in the United States. The 14<sup>th</sup> amendment was supposed to cure this, and make it possible for people of African ancestry to become citizens of the United States.

In the arena of trickery, fraud and deception, this is where the rubber begins to meet the road. American law can be very difficult to understand because the words used often have multiple and hidden meanings. These multiple meanings are what powers the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment. To illustrate, there is a legal difference between the term "United States" and the term "united States." Yes, the capitalization changes the meaning. But we think it was just an oversight or printing error. It is not, it is intended to trick you into thinking something that isn't true. This occurs when you give the same connotation or meaning to both terms. In a strict "legal sense" the term "united States" identifies the *unity* of the sovereign *several States of America*. The term "United States" actually refers to a corporation under the control of [mostly foreign] *investors* with figure-head-government-officials at the helm. This corporation is also known as "The United States of America." The term "united States" is an abbreviated form of "united States for America," which is not "The United States of America." The term "United States of America" is the name used in the Constitution to describe the federal government, not the *several States united*. And the government is betting that we are too stupid to comprehend this, even if it is explained.

"United States. This term has several meanings. It may be merely the name of a sovereign occupying the position analogous to that of other sovereigns in a family of nations [Union States], it may designate territory over which sovereignty of United States extends [corporate], or it may be collective name of the states which are united by and under the Constitution [Republic]. Hooven & Allison Co. v. Evatt, U.S.Ohio, 324 U.S. 652, 65 S.Ct. 870, 880,89 L.Ed. 1252." [Blacks Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition].

It's all intended to trick you, how's it working?

The original 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment was intended to keep those with titles of Nobility (such as "attorney") out of government by not allowing them citizenship. Section I of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment was an attempt to tie every sovereign American of the united States to the corporate United States federal government. More to come.

Do We Have A Clue? Fifth in a series © 2010 by Michael Keehn mhkeehn@gmail.com

We boarded this 'historic train' so that we can understand why we have to be licensed and controlled in virtually everything we do while calling ourselves FREE. Thus far we learned that:

1) After the *Revolutionary War* we were still in debt to the same creditors as before the war; 2) The *First National Bank of America* held the collateral for our debt obligation (the titles to the property of the Federal Government); 3) Our inept leadership lost our 20% ownership of the First National Bank; 4) The expiration without renewal of the 'bank charter' led to the war of 1812; 5) The war of 1812 led to the second 20 year charter of the *bank*; 6) President Jackson paid the National Debt to the foreign creditors, and the United States was without debt; 7) Lincoln illegally implemented martial law; 8) Congress was illegally brought back into session under martial law and is not setting as a constitutional legislative body, as such congress is acting without constitutional authority; 9) Lincoln was assassinated just days after the end of the civil war and his implementation of martial law has never been declared over and is still in progress, thus the country is not operating under *lawful civil authority*; 10) The original and ratified 13<sup>th</sup> amendment was stealthily set aside and illegally replaced with a new 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment followed by an illegally passed 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment.

I say "illegally passed" because congress is setting under an illegal "martial law" declaration, not under constitutional authority. And this 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment is pivotal in our enslavement. In the last article of this series I stated that the *multiple legal meanings of words* is what powers the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment, and I gave examples of the multiple meanings of terms and phrases that mislead us in our understanding. But those examples did not refer directly to the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment. Now we will examine some of the deception, and thus fraud, that exists within the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment that is the catalyst for our enslavement.

In the Dred Scott decision, the Supreme Court ruled that neither Dred Scott, nor anyone of African descent could be a "Citizen" of the [Republic of the] united States. The 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment is billed as an Amendment to remedy this disparity and provide the freed African Slave population and their descendants, citizenship in this great country. It did that, but it was a trick. It was a trick not only upon those of African descent, but ultimately a trick upon the rest of us as well.

Being diligent, and doing our research we will notice that the American "Citizen" as referenced in the organic constitution was written with an uppercase "C." In the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment, this was changed to a lowercase "c" and for good reason. They are not the same Citizen and do not hold the same status. The very first line of this Amendment reads:

"All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside."

Those who read the last article in this series will immediately notice the capital "U" on "United States" and know that is a reference to the corporate federal government, not to the Republic of the united States. Therefore, the Dred Scott decision is still valid, and those of African descent are not a Citizen of the [Republic of the] united States, they are, instead, a citizen of the United States [corporate] and subject to its corporate laws.

Most of the rest of us have fallen for the same trick. When we join Social Security, we have entered into a contractual relationship with the [corporate] Federal Government, what we call "The United States." In this contract our status is declared to be "citizen of the United States." In my lifetime, we generally filled out the application for Social Security when we were a teenager, and because we were educated in public schools where none of this is taught, we checked the little box titled "U. S. citizen," and then signed the document under penalty of perjury. Nowadays, our parents ignorantly apply for our Social Security at birth, changing our citizenship status and obligating us to corporate laws of "the United States." Those who do not hold a government issued birth certification nor Social Security number are Citizens of the Republic of the united States and not subject to corporate law if they challenge jurisdiction.

This fraud and deception upon the American people was necessary because there was previously no tie, attachment or obligation of a "Citizen of a State" to the federal government. In this scheme the "Citizen" referenced in the early parts of the constitution became a 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment "citizen" by virtue of tricking the man, or woman, into declaring themselves to be a U.S. "citizen" on their Social Security application. This, of course, was deception and fraud because of the lack of *full disclosure* in this contractual arrangement. The government never informed anyone that they would be changing their citizenship status and further, subjecting themselves to the corporate laws of the foreign [to the Republic] jurisdiction of the District of Columbia. This fraudulent and deceptive change of citizenship status becomes the means of controlling the American people while giving the appearance of *legal authority*. All accomplished under a Congress not setting lawfully under constitutional authority.

It is to be remembered that the constitution forms a "Union" of *several independent nation States*, and the federal government functioned as a mediator between this union of independent nation States. The ultimate deceptive purpose of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment was to make the American people a "citizen" of the "United States" (corporate), which subjects this citizen to this jurisdiction.

"The validity of the public debt of the United States... shall not be questioned." - Fourteenth Amendment, Section 4.

Therefore, anyone holding a Social Security number, which is evidence that this person is a 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment citizen, is not authorized by law to *question the public debt*, or if you prefer, the national debt, they are just authorized to pay for it. And now we are getting very close to understanding the role of the police, judges, code enforcers, and the control being exercised over every aspect of our lives. Stay tuned, more to come and it gets more interesting.

Do We Have A Clue? Sixth in a series - © 2010 by Michael Keehn mhkeehn@gmail.com

Thus far we learned that: 1) After the *Revolutionary War* we were still in debt to the same creditors as before the war; 2) The First National Bank of America held the collateral for our debt obligation (the titles to the property of the Federal Government); 3) Our inept leadership lost our 20% ownership of the First National Bank; 4) The expiration without renewal of the 'bank charter' led to the war of 1812; 5) The war of 1812 led to the second 20 year charter of the bank; 6) President Jackson paid the National Debt to the foreign creditors, and the United States was without debt; 7) Lincoln illegally implemented martial law; 8) Congress was illegally brought back into session under the illegally declared martial law and since then, has not setting as a constitutional legislative body, as such congress is acting without constitutional authority; 9) Lincoln was assassinated just days after the end of the civil war and his implementation of martial law has never been declared over and is still in progress, thus the country is not operating under lawful civil authority; 10) The original and ratified 13th amendment was stealthily set aside and illegally replaced with a new 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment followed by an illegally passed 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment; 11) The capital "C" Citizen in the organic constitution has no attachment or obligation to the laws of the *corporate* United States, whereas the lower case "c" citizen of the 14<sup>th</sup> amendment does have such attachment and obligation.

Continuing with the deceptions, and thus fraud, of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment, we find another key term used in the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment is "person" or "persons." Because of our education, we believe this is a reference to "us". But the truth is that this term is distinguishable and different than the term "people." The term "person" has three legal meanings. It is the third definition of "person" that leads us into misunderstanding.

person. 3. May be an entity (such as a corporation) that is recognized by law as having the rights and duties of a human being.

In this definition you will immediately notice that a "person" is NOT a <u>human being</u> because a "person" is defined as having the rights and duties of a <u>human being</u>. If a "person" were a <u>human being</u> it would not be necessary to make this assignment.

Further, an entity includes partnerships and other associations, whether incorporated or unincorporated. In light of this newly acquired understanding of "person" we may examine the first line of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment with a different perspective. Whereas the first line of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment reads, "All *persons* born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside," we can now see that what this is really saying is, "All *corporations* born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of 'the United States' and of the State wherein they reside." A 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment "citizen" commonly refers to a *corporation*, an

artificial person. Therefore, is it wise to claim the legal status of "person?" To do so, might subject us to something, or some law, to which we really do not want an obligation.

The rights of the "Citizen" were not rewritten by the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment, but the rights of the (corporate) "citizen" were identified, and the two are altogether different. It is common that the school systems teach that the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment made everyone "equal." While this is essentially the truth for those who opt to become a 14<sup>th</sup> amendment "citizen", what is not taught is that this 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment "citizen" is not equal with the "Citizen" of the organic constitution, and equality does not come with the element or condition that anyone in this status is free.

The 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment is a deliberate attempt to <u>lower</u> everyone's status to "<u>citizen</u>," which means <u>subservient to the federal government</u>. And this explains why the government treats the American people as though they are <u>owned</u>. Even if one is not a 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment "citizen," the government (courts, police and other code enforcers) will <u>presume</u> that we are <u>owned</u> by government and that they have a right to abuse us. At least until we rebut this presumption by placing them "on notice".

The popular belief that the 13<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendments made everyone free is not accurate at all. In fact it is quite the opposite, these two Amendments served to make everyone a subject of the federal government and its jurisdiction. Washington, District of Columbia, is the seat of the federal government and this jurisdiction is outside the republic of the united States. It is a jurisdiction where Congress can make any law it wishes, without regard for constitutional limitations or restriction.

At the time of the passage of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment, virtually no one took notice because there was initially no effect on the "people." Most people did not recognize the significance and meaning of the deceptive wording and accepted the deceptive propaganda that its purpose was to make the former slaves equal. Uneducated Americans still believe this today. The 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment was a first step in a *gradual plan* being implemented by America's former investors/creditors, which would come to fruition in the "New Deal" of President Franklin D. Roosevelt in the 1930's. The 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment became the platform from which new federal laws could, and would, be written, eventually ending up in the rewriting of all of American Law, *including the Constitution*.

President Lincoln, an attorney, made numerous moves that were not in the interest of the American people, nor wholly constitutional. In Lincoln's case, he accepted *war loans* from the same *predatory investors* that Jackson broke away from, putting Americans back into debt with the same piranhas who understood to how siphon off America's wealth and productivity through "debt obligation."

As is becoming clear, it is our "debt obligation" that has a grip on our jugular. More to come, and it still continues to be more enlightening. Those who have read this entire series should now have a picture of some clarity coming into view.

Do We Have A Clue? Seventh in a series - © 2009 by Michael Keehn mhkeehn@gmail.com

Partial list of what we've learned: 7)Lincoln illegally implemented martial law; 8) Congress was illegally brought back into session under the illegally declared martial law and since then, has not setting as a constitutional legislative body, as such congress is acting without constitutional authority; 9) Lincoln was assassinated just days after the end of the civil war and his implementation of martial law has never been declared over and is still in progress, thus the country is not operating under *lawful civil authority*; 10) The original and ratified 13<sup>th</sup> amendment was stealthily set aside and illegally replaced with a new 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment followed by an illegally passed 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment; 11) The 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment provided a new class the class of "citizen" with attachment and obligation to the laws and jurisdiction of the (corporate) Federal Government. An attachment and obligation that does not exist with the class of "Citizen" in the organic constitution; 12) "Person" is not a *human being* as used in the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment, it is a corporation.

After the North prevailed in the civil war, the *creditors* of the United States argued that, due to the chaos in America at the time, the *American beneficiaries* (the people) of future generations, could not be trusted with *Constitutional powers*, nor could we be trusted with the *political / monetary system of free enterprise* created by the founding fathers and embodied in the Constitution. The *creditors of the United States* (*banksters*), using their influence as America's creditor, attempted to implement their plan to *take over* by persuading Lincoln to assist them.

Lincoln's resolve in this matter was quite admirable. He said, "the Government should create, issue, and circulate all the currency and credits needed to satisfy the spending power of the government and the buying power of the consumers. By the adoption of these principles, the taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest. Money will cease to be the master and become the servant of humanity... The privilege of creating and issuing the money is not only the supreme prerogative of government, but it is the government's greatest opportunity." As can be seen, the overt goal to *privately* issue the currency of the United States existed even before the civil war.

"Give me control of a nation's money and I care not who makes the laws." - International banker - Mayer Amschel Rothschild.

Currency, known as the *Lincoln Greenbacks*, began to be issued by government, not private banks. This currency had no usury charge (interest) upon its issuance saving the American people considerable sums. Lincoln was assassinated by John Wilkes Booth. Booth was reported to have been tracked down by "Union Soldiers" and killed 12 days after the assassination of Lincoln. However, there are other reports and assertions regarding Booth.

"For this patriotic act, he [Lincoln] was assassinated by Booth, a Rothschilds agent. and contract

killer, who was later spirited away to England where he lived out his life comfortably on a pension provided by the Rothschild bankers." - JOHN E. KOVACS.

It's certainly possible that "Union Solders" could be bribed by someone as wealthy as Rothschild. Who knows?

## The Private Law of Washington, District of Columbia:

In 1868 the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment was alleged to be ratified. I say "alleged" because current evidence appears to prove that it was not lawfully ratified. Three years later, in 1871, the federal government defaulted [failed to pay] on its debts, resulting in bankruptcy of the united States of America. What came out of this, for those who understand such circumstances, was a <u>near</u> <u>deathblow to the Republic of the united States of America</u>.

On February 21, 1871, England laid claim to the property of the Federal Government, held as collateral for the national debt and England became the owners of the land mass we know as *Washington, District of Columbia* and any other property to which *the District* held title. **It was at this time, England, as the owner, forced incorporation of Washington, District of Columbia and names for this new corporation.** The corporate names include "THE UNITED STATES", "THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA", "U.S.", "U.S.A." and "USA", as well as other titles declared by the *District of Columbia Organic Act of 1871*. Where were our *free and independent main stream journalists and newspapers* when this occurred? And where is this information in current education?

It is to be noted that many of these copyrighted names carry the implementation of the article "THE"in their names. America was known as a union of the "united States of America," not a union of "the united States" before this time. The article "THE" does not exist when we refer to other countries, for example, Germany, or Canada, or Mexico. *It is the British controlled corporation*, "THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA", who exclusively uses the article "THE" in the name. And this makes the corporation distinct from the "united States", or the "united States of America."

A significant change in American law occurred when our united States became a bankrupt nation. It then only had the power to settle civil disputes, not criminal matters. England, now the owner and sovereign to the former property of the *constitutionally authorized* Federal Government, provided that England's ever changing criminal law would now dominate American criminal disputes which include actions under *commercial law*. Since then, England has been attempting to fill the "law" gap created by the bankruptcy without alerting, **or alarming**, the American people. Thus, we have been mostly deceived into believing that everything is continuing as usual. But it is not continuing as usual. Since this time the united States of America (or more correctly THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) has been exclusively governed by foreign, private, corporate law and Washington, District of Columbia has been under British control.

Now we are beginning to understand our situation. More to come... stay tuned.

Do We Have A Clue? Eighth in a series - © 2009 by Michael Keehn mhkeehn@gmail.com

Partial list of what we've learned: 13) Because our leadership failed to pay the national debt, England (our creditor) took title to the land mass we know as *Washington*, *District of Columbia* and any other property owned by the Federal Government 14) England then incorporated *the District* along with corporation names which include "the United States of America", "the United States", "USA", "U.S.A.", and others. This *British Controlled Corporation* is now in control of America; 15) the "united States of America" could now only adjudicate civil matters and not criminal matters. England's ever changing criminal law became the law governing criminal matters.

Interestingly and because of incorporation, certain people need permission to use Britain's private copyrighted law(s). The people who use this British legal system of law, for, but most generally against the American people, are referred to as *attorneys*. The term "attorney" comes from "attorn," which means to *turn over* to another, to transfer *to another*. In old England's customs, the title of "attorney" was a reference to one who "attorned," which meant to *transfer money, or goods*. "Attorney's" served the King, or Queen (ruling elite), in the handling of disputes regarding *money or goods* with their peasants. In today's world, attorneys transfer things of monetary value through court procedures to new owners, which are either persons (corporations) or government, which is also a corporation. Ever wonder why there is a bond attached to every criminal case?

Because this "private" law of England is copyrighted, its use by attorneys requires that the attorney be licensed. Licensing for attorneys is provided by the BAR (British Accreditation Regency). The private copyrighted law now implemented in "the United States" is the *rulers law* and is intended to benefit the *ruler* of "the United States", not the people of the United States. This law is intended to provide an ever increasing obligation of the American people to transfer more and more of their productivity (money) to *the ruler*.

In upholding British copyrighted law, attorneys have limited legal power. Under the law of the republic of the "united States of America" the <u>lawyer</u> is king, not needing a license to practice law. Most Americans believe that one needs a license in order to practice law, this is not exactly true. One needs a BAR license to avoid copyright violations and infringement. The path to this license is the BAR examination and membership in the American BAR Association. The American BAR Association is simply an *appendage* of the BAR Council, which is the English BAR association.

The year 1871 began a significant change in America's legal history. Congress, now sitting as the legislative body of a *municipal corporation* was now obligated to pass laws consistent with the objectives, goals and desires of Britain. Thus, any so-called national laws that came into effect

were implemented under the private law of Britain and not constitutional.

However, there were, and are, still *sovereign Citizens* in America, which are NOT 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment "citizens." Any *sovereign Citizen* is exempt from these private British laws, which is almost all law today, it's all commercial. It's all *commercial* and intended to ring the government cash register, taking more of our productivity.

On the other side of the coin, anyone who <u>does not</u> dispute being a 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment "citizen" is presumed to be such and is subjected to all this un-constitutional commercial law. At the time (back in the 1800's) it was only necessary for the people to claim "sovereign Citizen" status to avoid being subject to Britain's private corporate law. The question facing Britain was how to get the American people to agree (volunteer) to make themselves subject to Britain's private laws. The plan and its implementation is found in President Franklin Roosevelt's New Deal. Enter Social Security, a contract with a Federal Municipal Corporation controlled by Britain.

President Franklin Roosevelt said, "In politics, nothing happens by accident. If it happens, you can bet it was planned that way." When Britain took over Washington, District of Columbia, back in 1871, one of the conditions of takeover was that Britain would bail Washington, D.C. out of its debt... for a while. That "while" ended in 1909 and America went back to Britain for an extension on its debt. While Britain did agree to bail out America for another 20 years, there were conditions. The first condition was that America would create another *national bank*, which we know as the Federal Reserve Bank. It is NOT a bank of the Federal Government as many Americans have believed over the years, it is a privately owned bank under control of Britain. The second and third conditions were that Britain's proposed 16<sup>th</sup> & 17<sup>th</sup> Amendments would be ratified.

The Federal Reserve Bank was operational in 1913. And also in 1913, the proposed 16<sup>th</sup> Amendment was declared ratified, this is the one we know as the *income tax amendment*.

Twenty years after Britain's 1909 agreement to *help the United States* with its debt obligation for 20 years, economic default and despair loomed heavily on our horizon with the *engineered* stock market crash of 1929. Knowing what was planned and coming for the United States, J. P. Morgan and *Kuhn & Loeb* illegally sent advanced warnings to their *investment insiders* regarding the coming economic collapse. These investors then pulled out of the stock market. The problem which effectively initiated the economic crash and following depression was created by "Warburg's" (an international bankster) Federal Reserve System.

It should now be clear that failure to pay our national debt is what is subjecting us to unconstitutional law, loss of freedom, financial collapses, obligating us to pay ever increasing taxes and levies, and subjecting us to Britain's law. It should also be clear why our leadership endeavors to control curriculum in public schools. But there is still more to come in order for us to get the big picture of our current status and plight.

Do We Have A Clue? Ninth in a series - © 2009 by Michael Keehn mhkeehn@gmail.com

Partial list of what we've learned: 13) Because our leadership failed to pay the national debt, England (our creditor) took title to the land mass we know as *Washington, District of Columbia* and any other property owned by the Federal Government 14) England then incorporated *the District* along with corporation names which include "the United States of America", "the United States", "USA", "U.S.A.", and others. This *British Controlled Corporation* is now in control of America; 15) the "united States of America" could now only adjudicate civil matters and not criminal matters. England's ever changing criminal law became the law governing criminal matters; 16) Attorneys are licensed by the British Accreditation Regency to avoid copyright infringement; 17) There are still *sovereign Citizens* in the united States; 18) Virtually all law is commercial; 19) The privately owned Federal Reserve Bank came into existence in 1913; 20) In 1909 the United States was given another 20 years to pay the debt which it failed to pay, leading to the stock market crash of 1929 when the debt obligation became due and payable.

Since the united States was unable to pay its debt back in 1871, England took title to the property being held as collateral, all the property owned by the Federal Government, beginning with Washington, District of Columbia which then became the *incorporated United States*, or "the United States", or "the United States of America", or "U.S.", or "USA", or "U.S.A." The "debt obligation" then became the burden of the States by virtue of the *security instrument* which you know as the *Constitution for the United States of America*.

Our *historic train* has now arrived in the year 1929 and the economy is crashing. Sound familiar? The national debt is due and payable, but the States are unable to pay the debt. What happened? On March 9, 1933, five days after Franklin D. Roosevelt was inaugurated, the Banking Relief Act was passed. So relief was going to be granted the [private] banks, more specifically, the privately owned Federal Reserve Banks.

In short this act contained the language of the *Trading with the Enemy Act* of October 6 1917. Where the act of 1917 excluded domestic transactions because a domestic transaction is not an enemy transaction, and excluded citizens of the United States since a citizen of the United States was not considered to be an enemy of the United States, the Banking Relief Act amended this exclusionary language. The exclusionary language was amended to read "by any person within the United States or any place subject to the jurisdiction thereof." There's that term "person" again.

Whereas the Trading with the Enemy Act gave the President authority to regulate the commercial activity of an identified enemy, the Banking Relief Act identified the people of the United States as an enemy, giving the President the authority regulate them in everything they do that is commercial. So, we have seen all our normal and regular activities converted into a commercial

activity in order to regulate us in everything we do. One of the consequences of being an ENEMY of the United States.

This act also provided for the confiscation of our money, and our gold was confiscated to provide relief for the privately owned banks and bankers. Therefore, we lost <u>our law</u> in 1871 and now we lose <u>our money</u>. We lost our sovereignty.

But in taking the money, the bankster controlled government had to replace it with something. They did, Federal Reserve Notes. These notes are issued upon the deposit of <u>**DEBT**</u> with the treasurer of the United States. Here is the language from the act:

"Upon the deposit with the Treasurer of the United States; (a) any direct obligation of the United States; (b) any notes, drafts, bills of exchange or bankers acceptances acquired under the provisions of this act, that any Federal Reserve Bank making such deposits in the manner prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury, shall be entitled to receive from the Comptroller of the Currency, circulating notes in blank, duly registered and countersigned."

(a) is public debt and (b) is private debt. Therefore, the notes are not money, they are a <u>debt</u> <u>currency</u>. They are evidence of <u>debt</u>. Now, does anyone think they can pay a debt with a debt? I hope not, because it is not possible to pay a debt with a debt. House Joint Resolution 192 [HJR192] takes notice of this and provides that debts may be <u>discharged</u>, not paid. Therefore, we Americans cannot own anything, because we do not <u>pay</u> for anything. And I hope you are not laughing at this because it's as serious as a heart attack. It's a real mistake to laugh.

So what is backing the currency? It's issued upon the deposit of debt, but debt cannot be the backing. During debate over this bill, one congressman asked what is backing the currency. Here it is:

"Under the new law the money [currency] is issued to the banks in return for Government obligations, bills of exchange, drafts, notes, trade acceptances, and banker's acceptances. The money will be worth 100 cents on the dollar, because it is backed by the credit of the Nation. It will represent a mortgage on all the homes and other property of all the people in the Nation." - Congressional record, March 9, 1933, House, Congressman Patman, 73rd Congress, Special Session, Volume 77, part 1, page 83

There you have it, short and to the point. Everything you think you own, you do not own. It has all been mortgaged to the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank and its owners in return for <u>ink</u> <u>on paper</u> [Federal Reserve Notes]. This is further confirmed in Senate Document 43, under contracts payable in gold: "The ULTIMATE OWNERSHIP OF ALL PROPERTY IS IN THE STATE, individual so-called ownership is only by virtue of Government. i.e. Law, amounting to mere user. And use must be in accordance with law and subordinate to the necessities of the State."

And so now our historic train arrives in the present. We now understand the status of our country as well as our individual status. We own nothing and cannot own anything. Our productivity is the property of international rich and predatory bankers and we are saddled with an ever increasing burden of payment (taxes). We'll discuss this and other related issues a little more in the next article.

Do We Have A Clue? Tenth in a series - © 2010 by Michael Keehn mhkeehn@gmail.com

Those who've read this series of articles now understand that our national debt owed to private creditors is the source our country's destruction. The failure of our elected leadership to pay the bill is what's behind all our political/social problems. Our elected leadership has continually borrowed money from the international creditors, thus, increasing *our debt obligation* while they pocket our productivity. The United States has now entered receivership, where it, the property, and the "the United States" *persons*, along with their productivity, are being transferred over to the new owners.

The failure to pay our debt caused the loss of property owned by the Federal Government, including Washington, District of Columbia and Federal Territories, caused the loss of our criminal law to be replaced by England's criminal law, caused the loss of our gold, caused the loss of our silver coin, caused the loss of our property, caused the loss of our freedom, caused the loss of good education and provided for the ignorant giving over of our children to control of the creditor(s) of "the United States." And then we wail and whine when our children are taken from us by one or another government agency under control of the international creditors. We are paying a horrible price for our ignorance.

The process in this is a little involved. Let's see if we can make sense of it. The Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933 identified the citizens of the United States as an enemy, giving the President of the United States and Secretary of Treasure the authority to regulate us in our every commercial activity. But the Banking Relief Act does much more, and in a very sneaky way. Let's take a look and see if we can detect the sneaky wording.

"The actions, regulations, rules, license, orders or proclamations heretofore or hereafter taken, promulgate, made or issued by the President of the United States or the Secretary of the Treasury since March 4, 1933 pursuant to the authority of section '95a' of this title, are hereby approved and confirmed." Chapter 1, Title 1, 48 Statute 1, March 9, 1933

Of whom are we talking? When we read laws, we need to pay very close attention to the subtlety of the wording. In the language above there is a subtle reference made of which we should all take note. They go out of their way to identify the *President* as the *President of the United States*, but then use the phrase *Secretary of the Treasury* and NOT *Secretary of the Treasury of the United States*. There is a reason for this of course. It is because they are referring to the *Secretary of the Treasury of the International Monetary Fund*, a representative of the creditor(s) of the United States. Because Congress has failed to pay our debt, it is empowering an un-elected private individual with obligations to foreign powers, equal to the *President of the United States*. And this is why our military men are dying around this world.

Congress has just given approval to any future executive orders or proclamation issued by either the President of the United States or the Secretary of the Treasury of the International Monetary fund. Both offices have just been given dictatorial powers. The <u>separation of powers</u> has just been destroyed and congress has lost its oversight capacity on <u>executive orders</u>. Does this sound like something that should be reported in the main stream press or taught in school, or should we continue down the road of ignorant indoctrination?

The conferring of Presidential powers on an un-elected private individual is taking place in addition to the taking of our money and all our property within the provisions of the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933. Virtually every political/social problem and misgivings being experienced by the people of "the United States" today are the result of our leadership's failure to pay the debt. "The United States" has entered into <u>receivership</u> due to bankruptcy and the new owners are setting forth their policies. The "Banking Relief Act" being one of them.

In this process, the courts administer the bankruptcy and enforce the policies of the new owners. It is my understanding that Judges take *silent judicial notice that the United States is bankrupt*.

Let's see if we can make this short and sweet. In order for the Federal Government to tax a "Citizen" of one of the several states, it is necessary to have a "contractual nexus". Enter Social Security in 1935, a federal municipal corporate insurance entity. The Social Security Board then created ten *Social Security Districts* (ZONES) which overlays the several union States.

In 1939, the federal government instituted the "Public Salary Tax Act of 1939", which is a municipal law of "the United States", taxing all Federal and State government employees and those who live and work in any "Federal area." To bring all of the 14<sup>th</sup> amendment "citizens" into the fold, the Buck Act was passed to provide for the imposition of the Public Salary Tax Act. The Buck Act made possible the creation of the corporation we know as the "STATE OF CALIFORNIA" which is not California, republic of, or "THE STATE OF YOUNAMEIT." All are corporations, municipal in nature, created under the laws of and subject to "the [corporate] United States" making them all an entity of that corporation.

Then counties incorporated under the laws of the corporate STATE OF CALIFORNIA and so they too became an entity whose existence is traceable and subject to "the United States" corporation. And so you have the "COUNTY OF GLENN" which is not Glenn County, or the "COUNTY OF YOUNAMEIT." The same is true with most cities, and so you have a "CITY OF WILLOWS" which is not Willows or the CITY OF YUBA CITY, which is not Yuba City.

Are we all aware of the ZIP code? ZIP = Zone Improvement Plan, as in Federal Zone Improvement Plan. The first digit of the ZIP code identifies which Federal Zone you are in. If we are in a Federal Zone, would we not be subject to Federal Jurisdiction? Ever wonder why the Ninth Circuit Court is in San Francisco? Do we think it might have something to do with being in the Ninth Federal Zone, like (9)5988?

There's more to come, but this is enough for now.

Do We Have A Clue? Eleventh in a series - © 2010 by Michael Keehn mhkeehn@gmail.com

Well, where are we at. The failure to pay our (national) debt and continued borrowing has put the United States into receivership. The creditors of the United States are taking title to everything that supports the national debt, and the debt is money (currency) borrowed. Now what was said in relation to money (currency) in the debate over the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933?

"The money will be worth 100 cents on the dollar, because it is backed by the credit of the Nation. It will represent a mortgage on all the homes and other property of all the people in the Nation." - Congressional record, March 9, 1933, House, Congressman Patman, 73rd Congress, Special Session, Volume 77, part 1, page 83

And that mortgage has not been paid. The "public property" had already been transferred to the national debt creditors, and now the private property is committed as well. In the Banking Relief Act, our creditors are taking title to the collateral held for payment of the national debt, "all the homes and other property of all the people in the Nation." This also includes our productivity, which is why we are saddled with an ever increasing tax load, fines and penalties. Now, let's ask ourselves something... "Does ink on paper have value?" And we answer, "No, not really." Substance is what has value, like gold and silver. Ink on paper money really has no value, we are only able to use it because we have been condition to believe it has value in exchange. And as long as we believe that, the deception works.

So *ink on paper* really doesn't have value. Does your productivity have value? You bet it does, great value. Through *commercial law* our United States leadership has made possible transference of our productivity to our creditor. The idea is to transfer as much of your productivity as possible. This is accomplished by many means. Since the Banking Relief Act has identified us as enemies of the United States, then one way is to turn all of our normal activities into a commercial activity which requires license or permit with accompanying fees. Permits to *roof your home, put in a sidewalk, put in a patio, change your hot water heater, change your toilet, put new siding on your home, install a "sliding door", drill a well for your water, to remove and replace your trees, to have a dog. If you don't have "their permission", then government will attack and [financially] injure you.* 

Another access to our productivity is to create laws of prohibition so that we can be fined or otherwise financially injured. Such included activities might include *riding your bicycle in an empty skate board park, riding a bicycle without an approved helmet, not wearing a seat belt, not having a child of certain age or weight in a government approved child seat* (it is their child after all), *making a "U-turn" on an empty street where "U-turns" are not permitted, collecting gravel on your own property, engaging in "pursuit of happiness" without permission* (for those

who do not know, pursuit of happiness has long been recognized as laboring {working} and then enjoying the fruits of that labor), have an un-permitted church bake sale, building a home, building a tree house, expelling you from school for exercising responsible cognizance and prudence by locking up your shotguns in your pick-up off campus, expelling you from school for using the term "jewed" in a country that embraces freedom of speech in the first amendment of its constitution. Even prevent you from property improvement because there are "vernal pools" on your property. Property you have paid for, own, and pay the taxes upon, thus rendering your property of much less value. However, the government will let you improve your property through mitigating the "vernal pools" to the tune of \$180,000 per acre. Injury, injury. What we used to call "racketeering" when mob boss Al Capone did it in Chicago back in the 1930's. It's the same scheme, being carried out by government, made possible by our neighbors, the clerks and other agents of government that live among us.

If we fail to follow the racketeering rules then government agents, back by government agencies under control of the nation's creditor's laws and using the money from our productivity, stand ready to pounce on us and ring the government cash registers. And this is why we Americans were made an enemy of the United States in the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933. And this is what our policing agencies are intended to foster and continue, made possible by controlling their education in ways that the knowledge of this series does not reach their intellect.

There is *planning & public works departments, building code inspectors, police, county supervisors, planning commissioners, the courts and many other government agencies* to enforce a mountain of commercial law, the majority of which has *no injured party*. Environmental protection is to control you, not the creditor. If the creditor benefits, then the environment is of no concern. Do the people in government know these facts and intentionally cause the American public injury? I don't know. The question is, "will they continue once the information in this series made available to them?" Will they continue on a path to destroy the country and inure their fellow man? That remains to be seen.

The law of the republic is the "common law." The constitution itself is a "common law document." A very harsh, yet very just body of law. However, one of the tenants of the *common law* is the *injured party*. If no one is injured, then there is no claim or cause for action. But under the current *commercial law* of the British Corporation ("the United States"), everything can be, and mostly is a violation. Thus, government agents find the means to feed their families by causing injury to the American people. And this is not to be interpreted that investigations involving an "injured party", are included. Even though, they too are commercial, at least there is an injured party.

There is a little more to come, so stay tuned.

Do We Have A Clue? Twelfth in a series - © 2010 by Michael Keehn mhkeehn@gmail.com

"Oh, what wicked webs we weave when we practice to deceive." – Sir Walter Scott

The stage has been carefully set for the play to begin, and so the actors are all in place and the audience (people of the united States) are in position to view the spectacle. The purpose of the play is to draw the audience into a new and fraudulent reality. A reality which, in their ignorance and despair, they will embrace and believe. At the READY is the main stream media, television, radio, schools, the churches... all the institutions we typically depend upon to give us the <u>straight</u> <u>story</u>. Yet, they are all just characters in the play, making certain that the whole truth never reaches our intellect. The play continues...

In our historical travels we have learned that the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933 contained the language of the "Trading with the Enemy Act" of October 6, 1917. The "Trading with the Enemy Act" was a *war powers act* and targeted enemies of the United States. With the amended language of the Banking Relief Act the people of the United States were identified as an enemy and this war power's language was applied against them, consistent with the goals and objectives of the *creditor(s)* of the United States.

From these facts, it should be clear that when we elect representation, it is not *representation of* "we the people" we are electing. We are electing the representation of the creditor of the United States, and those elected will be representing the interests of the *creditor(s) of the United States*, not the American people. Whatever the *creditor* wants, the *creditor* gets, whether it is health care, national identity or anything else, all aimed at taking control of our life away from us. Typically, there will be a *scripted play* or *theater show* that is played out for the populous so that they are misled into thinking that the matter will be corrected. But it never is corrected, it's just a *scripted show* with lines to be read to the public at large and reported by the *main stream misleadia*.

In the midst of all this *hanky panky, hocus pocus law*, "Federal States" were created which look exactly like the *sovereign Union States*, occupying the same territory and boundaries, but whose names are capitalized versions of the sovereign Union State. For example, we have the Federal State of "ILLINOIS" which has overlaid the sovereign State Illinois. Further, it is designated by the *two-letter Federal abbreviation* of "IL", instead of the Sovereign State abbreviation of "Ill." So too is Arizona designated "AZ" instead of the lawful abbreviation of "Ariz.," and "CA" instead of "Calif.," etc. In using a two-letter CAPITALIZED abbreviation, you are declaring that the location is in a "federal zone" and under the jurisdiction of the "federal" corporate government instead of the "sovereign" state.

If the President of "the United States" and courts were operating under "lawful civil authority"

they would fly the flag of "lawful civil authority", that is a red-white & blue flag with no gold fringe and no gold ornamentation on the pole. That gold fringe or pole ornamentation is telling us they are not operating under "lawful civil authority." That is, they are not operating under the law of the "republic of the united States of America." But we already knew this from previous columns in this series, this is just evidence of that fact.

All property in the united States was set up to be held in "Allodium." "In this country the title to land is essentially *allodial*..." – Bouvier's Law Dictionary (1839). What does it mean to hold "Allodial title?"

"Allodium. Land held absolutely in one's own right, and not of any lord or superior; land not subject to feudal duties or burdens. An estate held by absolute ownership, without recognizing any superior to who any duty is due on account thereof." – Black's Law Dictionary (Sixth Ed.)

Not subject to "feudal duties" means not subject to "property taxes." It means not subject to police powers, like permits to build your barn or your home or drill your well. But the play has conditioned us to forget this ever existed. Under the law of the republic of the united States of America, law cannot compel a *specific performance*, but under the commercial law of the *creditor* everything becomes a compelled specific performance. Compliance, compliance, compliance. Either you comply, or we (the government) will (financially) injure you, maybe even put you in jail. And NO, we don't care there is "no injured party." Either you comply with the creditors demand or you will suffer the consequences.

Most of us are probably not even aware that "marriage" has been converted into an illegal activity! How is this determined, you ask? By the definition of "license".

"License. The permission by competent authority to do an act which, without such permission, would be illegal, a trespass, a tort or otherwise not allowable." – Black's Law Dictionary (6<sup>th</sup> Ed.)

There you are, permission to do that which is illegal. The marriage, as a criminal partnership consists of three parties, the husband, the wife and the government. And the government shall have jurisdiction over whatever shall issue forth from this illegal activity... the children. As can be seen, it's not necessary to make any of this up, it's right in front of us if we are willing to look.

Beginning with the "Revolutionary War" many Americans have sacrificed everything to give us freedom. In the Revolutionary War, our champions lost their fortunes, property, family and many lost their own lives. Unfortunately, virtually every war and conflict from the beginning of our country has been engineered by this nation's creditor, for his benefit. The military of our country haven't been fighting for freedom as is fed to us, they are fighting for our creditors control of the entire world... NEW WORLD ORDER – ONE WORLD GOVERNMENT. The same "debt trap" we find ourselves in, is being used to take control of virtually every nation on planet Earth, and when that doesn't work, we invent reasons to invade.

In 1932, Congressman Louis T. McFadden, addressing Congress, had this to say:

"We have in this country one of the most corrupt institutions the world has ever known. I refer to the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks, hereinafter called the Fed. They are not government institutions. They are private monopolies which prey upon the people of the United States for the benefit of themselves and their foreign and domestic swindlers; rich and predatory moneylenders."

Only we can answer the question: "Do we want to be free, do we want to think for ourselves, are we willing to be responsible for ourselves, or are we content with being enslaved through debt obligation?" We tell others how much we love our children, but do we intend to continue the deception and leave them hopelessly in debt? If so, then our claims of love are hollow as we participate in the deception of the play.