## Just A Thought #2

by Michael Keehn March 24, 2017



Michael Keehn

I have been aware, since the 1990's, that the *Invisible*Leadership of the United States, and most of the world, otherwise known as the Banking Elite to whom the National Debt is owed, want population reduction, worldwide. It is, after all, the first goal listed on the Georgia Guide Stones. On these monolithic stones, goals for



humanity are set forth in no less than eight languages. The first goal reads as follows:

1. Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature.

To achieve this goal of five-hundred-million inhabitants, worldwide, means that over nine of every ten people must be removed from the living. Now, how is *Invisible Leadership* going to do this? Since the 1990's, I have seen what appears to me to be genetically engineered bio-threats, none of which has worked as well as I imagine the *Invisible Leadership* would want.

I am aware, thanks to former *British Intelligence Officer*, *Doctor John Coleman*, of the attack on the African population in which the general population was ostensibly inoculated to eradicate *smallpox*, with a serum that contained the AIDS virus, and the resulting AIDS outbreak was wholly devastating. But that

too was not as successful as the *Invisible Leadership* would like to see. For those interested in **hearing Dr. John Coleman speak**, you may do so by clicking or downloading the .mp3 audio file in the link here. You will hear Dr. Coleman speak briefly about Africa, but you will also hear him speak on the research being done to *bridge the animal human gap in infectious disease*. It's worth listening to if for no other reason than to know the treacherous character of the people who are running the world.

So, if you are *Invisible Leadership*, the question is *what to do?* Well, it now appears that the method for population reduction is to provoke World War III with Russia, via North Korea, Syria, or by parking a great deal of military hardware and troops on Russia's doorstep and doing whatever provocation is necessary. If that fails, perhaps China can be provoked into war. In either case, World War III will ultimately go nuclear. And let's be clear, Russian nuclear weapons are more advanced and powerful than those of the United States!

One Russian Inter-Continental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) can take out the country of France, or the State of Texas. The nuclear bombs that the United States dropped on Nagasaki and Hiroshima Japan are fire crackers compared to today's nuclear bombs. The reader needs to understand that the ICBM is not <u>one bomb</u>, it has multiple nuclear war-heads, that can be deployed along its trajectory. And that is why *one ICBM* is capable of taking out France or the State of Texas.

So, the **powers that be** are currently doing everything they can to provoke World War III. Since all life on the surface of the Earth will be eradicated, where are they going to go to avoid the <u>death penalty</u>? Well, there are several underground cities that have existed for quite sometime. My recollection is that their construction began back in the 1950's when nuclear arms were under development and it was believed that nuclear war was a real possibility. Well since every war going back to the 1700's at least, has been a <u>Bankers War</u>, they should know.

But, nuclear war using *fission* weapons will make the surface of the Earth so radio active, that life will probably not be possible for many years. And that

poses a problem. BUT, suppose the opposing forces in war were to use *fusion* weapons?

Well, if you're not into Physics, you are probably wondering what the difference might be. Nuclear energy comes from the process of accessing the power of atoms. *Fission* and *Fusion* are both nuclear process in which atoms are altered for the purpose of accessing their energy. The difference between the two processes is that *fission* is the *splitting* or *dividing* of one atom into two, whereas *fusion* is the combining of two separate atoms into one. Although the two processes are opposing, they both result in an enormous release of energy that generally results in tremendous heat. However, the *fusion* process releases several times the amount of energy as does the *fission* process, making it great for killing people.

Fission generally uses Uranium whereas Fusion uses atoms of Tritium and Deuterium (isotopes of hydrogen, Hydrogen-3 and Hydrogen-2). An additional benefit of the Fusion reaction other than the greatly increased energy release, is that its produces a great deal LESS radioactive material than does Fission. But there are a couple of drawbacks or stumbling-blocks if you prefer, to using Fusion. In short, a Fusion chain reaction generally cannot be controlled to make a nuclear reactor for the generation of electricity, and it takes a small Fission reaction to get it started.

But, if your intent is to make a bomb, *Fusion* is much better than *Fission*. You can blow up the surface of the Earth, eradicate all life, leave very little radioactive material behind, and in a relatively short time, be able to move out of your underground cities back onto the surface of the Earth, with a greatly reduced population that you can control!

Like I said, it's just a thought, but perhaps one worthy of consideration... you be the judge.