INTERNET CENSORSHIP - The coming debate and vote TOO LATE, IT'S ALREADY TAKEN PLACE by michael-herbert:keehn – mhkeehn@gmail.com

September 18, 2016 October 2, 2016

While this document was originally intended to be a forewarning of a coming event, the event has now taken place as of yesterday, October 1, 2016. A group of unelected individuals will now decide what is appropriate and accessible to the people of the United States. The original post warning of this event is below. GOOD LUCK AMERICA, A NEW HI-TECH FORM OF BOOK BURNING HAS JUST ARRIVED.

Hello All,

It has came to my attention some time back, that there was a push to turn over *internet censorship* to an *international consortium of foreign nations*. It is interesting to me that the President/CEO of "The United States, Inc." is of the opinion that the People of the United States should be limited. I can't speak for every American, but I feel certain that I pay taxes to have my *freedom* protected and enhanced, not given away by incompetent Leadership. If Leadership feels that there is a general lack of intelligence and morality in the American People, preventing them from making appropriate decisions regarding the internet, then they should look at themselves and the Leadership they exhibit, they should look at the public schools they control, the Churches over which they exercise domination, and the mainstream misleadia that parrots everything Leadership says without any investigation. They should look at the monumental lies of Leadership that they use to go into other countries and murder the people there while stealing their natural resources.

This scenario reminds me of a discussion I, once upon a time, had with my mother. It was her position that people who were determined to be RETARDED should not be allowed to reproduce. My position was the opposite.

She had a long list of the reasons that <u>retarded people</u> should not be allowed to reproduce, and they all sounded very logical and substantive until one gives serious thought to the consequences. I said, "Those are all very good and logical reasons, but, I still don't agree." "O-K", she said, "tell me why you don't agree and I will neutralize your disagreement logic."

I explained that the problem is this. Life will go on normally for most people, until one of them has a position, solid as a rock, in opposition to Government Leadership, like Martin Luther King. And as soon as that <u>rock solid position</u> becomes known to Government Leadership, the individual espousing that <u>rock solid position</u> is going to be determined to be **RETARDED**.

This effort to control information available on the internet is akin to <u>book burning</u>. Anyone who is awake is already aware of the efforts of the United States to control every country on Earth, and this is just a back door extension of that effort set forth in a deceptive manner that Leadership

thinks will get the support of the <u>diversity crowd</u>. While <u>diversity</u>, in my opinion, is generally a good thing, it is not a good thing when we stupidly give up our freedom and access to information to falsely embrace it. In the end, it's our choice if we want our <u>books to be burned</u> by <u>censoring</u> <u>the information available to us on the internet</u>. When the United States is flushed down the toilet, we will have no one but ourselves to blame!

p.s. – As an after-thought, I thought that I might mention that I track my web-page activity, and beginning on August 9, 2016, I noticed a significant change. Prior to August 9th at 1135 hours, the *internet addresses* (example: 173.161.90.241) were variable throughout the day. But beginning on August 9, 2016, at the time noted, virtually all addresses began with 54, 52, and an occasional 107.

To see a "normal" *daily activity report*, click the link.

To see a "current" *unusual activity report*, click the link.

I strongly suspect this is already taking place for the purpose of CENSORSHIP. It's just a thought.