

Understanding Your Role

as a peace officer

or

other code enforcer

(Updated January 3, 2009)

by Michael H. Keehn

The Path

To understand the role of a peace officer or other government *enforcement* agent, it is necessary to understand the path traveled to this point in time. The information put forth in this writing may be verified through your own research. This is factual construction and intended to help develop a clear understanding individual roles. I will tend to be short and to the point without an excess of flowery explanation. It is assumed that a *peace officer* or other *code enforcer* has a more expansive background in law and with that, a greater capacity to understand and digest the information than does an average citizen who has no such exposure or training.

Some of what is presented may already be known, but not fully understood. Since our educational processes leave us all with different levels of retention and understanding of history, it is necessary to start at the beginning of *'the path'*. And so we begin...

The birth of the *Republic* began with the signing of the Constitution for the united States of America. The union States, having declared their independence in 1776, all functioned as *independent nations* for eleven years at the signing of the Constitution. At least some states were unwilling to sign any document, including the Constitution, if that document took their *independent nation status* from them. This became an issue at the constitutional convention and those first *congressmen* wrestled with this problem which ultimately resulted in the *Bill of Rights*. Consequently, the construction of the Constitution **does not create a country... it forms a Union**. A *Union* of several *independent nation States*. Therefore, at the birth of the republic, the original citizen of the republic was the State Citizen, since the States were themselves, independent nations.

This has become muddled and unclear in today's world by virtue of the fact that there exists, in law, three **United States**. We confirm this in the definition which follows:

United States defined

"United States. This term has several meanings. It may be merely the name of a sovereign occupying the position analogous to that of other sovereigns in a family of nations, it may designate territory over which sovereignty of United States extends, or it may be collective name of the states which are united by and under the Constitution. *Hooven & Allison Co. v. Evatt*, U.S. Ohio, 324 U.S. 652, 65 S.Ct. 870, 880,89 L.Ed. 1252." [Blacks Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition]

Definition 1: "*It may be merely the name of a sovereign occupying the position analogous to that of other sovereigns in a family of nations*" refers to the union States.

Definition 2: "*It may designate territory over which sovereignty of United States extends*" referring to the **corporate United States**, not the republic of the united States of America.

Definition 3: “It may be collective name of the states which are united by and under the Constitution” referring to the republic of the united States of America.

This means that when any language of any law refers to the United States, (e. g. “anyone living in the United States or subject to the jurisdiction thereunder, shall...”) we then need to question or know to which United States this law refers in order to understand the law in proper context. Confirmation may be found in Title 28: Part V: Chapter 115: § 1746. Unsworn declarations under penalty of per-jury:

Title 28 1746 (§ 1) united States of America

and Section (§ 2) United States

Wherever, under any law of the United States or under any rule, regulation, order, or requirement made pursuant to law, any matter is required or permitted to be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the sworn declaration, verification, certificate, statement, oath, or affidavit, in writing of the person making the same (other than a deposition, or an oath of office, or an oath required to be taken before a specified official other than a notary public), such matter may, with like force and effect, be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the unsworn declaration, certificate, verification, or statement, in writing of such person which is subscribed by him, as true under penalty of perjury, and dated, in substantially the following form:

(1) If executed without the United States: “I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature)”.

(2) If executed within the United States, its territories, possessions, or commonwealths: “I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature)”.

The term “United States,” as used in this law, is reference to definition #2, the corporate United States. If you are a citizen of the republic of the united States of America, then you are without the United States.

The constitution gives Congress *exclusive legislative authority* over an area of ten miles square referred to as **the District** in the constitution. This area has come to be know as *Washington, District of Columbia*. Not clearly put forth in our educational processes is the fact that this jurisdiction, of **the District**, is not *inclusive*, that is within (or inside) the republic of the united States of America. It is *exclusive*, that is without (or outside) the republic of the united States of America. To be clear, **the District** is a jurisdiction foreign to the republic of the united States of America.

This gives Congress a dual character. In one capacity and character they may make law for the republic of the united States of America and that jurisdiction, and in the other character they may also make law for *the District* (the corporate United States) and that jurisdiction. When Congress makes laws for the republic, those laws must meet Constitution muster (that is they must be constitutional in nature) or they are not law. When Congress makes law for *the District*, there are no such constitutional limitations or requirements and by virtue of their *exclusive legislative authority* over this jurisdiction, they can make any law they wish.

Side Bar:

When congress passes a law, it typically contains an identifier. For the House of Representatives it might be HR1234 and for the Senate SR5678. The “R” in the identifier stands for “Resolution.” If congress is passing law for the republic of the united States of America, there is no “R” in the identifier. For the House of Representatives it would be H1234 and for the Senate S5678. Many of the acts of title 18 are such. I’ve not checked the most recent versions of United States Code books, but in times past you could find listed all 50 U. S. Titles on a couple pages in the books of U. S. Code printed by the government printing office. As you viewed them, you would see that some of the titles had an asterisk (*) by their names, and some did not. And when you looked at the bottom of the page to see what the asterisk means, you would read “*This title has been passed as positive law.*” Today, many titles are a mixture of *positive* and *non-positive law*. Positive Law is passed in accordance with the constitution and is constitutional in nature. Non-Positive Law is corporate commercial law. - End of side bar

The *exclusive legislative authority* congress holds over *the District*, also extends to anything owned by *the District*. Guam, Wake, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, military bases, are all examples of areas where Congress may exercise its *exclusive legislative authority*. They may also exercise this authority over anyone who’s *citizenship* is attached to the jurisdiction of *the District*. That is, Congress may pass any law they wish to control a citizen whose citizenship rests in *the District* jurisdiction. The issue here, of course, is that citizenship of *the District* is generally accomplished through fraud and deception. This class of citizenship (United States citizen) is most often the result of joining social security in which the applicant *checks* the box that identifies himself as a UNITED STATES citizen... a corporate citizen of *the District*, not a citizen of the republic of the united States of America. This is most generally the direct result of *the District’s* control of public school curriculum in which we are not taught this simple distinction. And through the fact that there is no full disclosure in this contract, in which we are informed that with our declaration we are subjecting ourselves to the laws of the corporate United States and a jurisdiction foreign to the republic of the united States of America, we make an uninformed choice on our citizenship status.

Beginning in 1929, the United States entered an engineered depression. This is where it really begins to get interesting for an Act was passed that implemented War and Emergency Powers government throughout the United States in which the government of *lawful civil authority* was set aside.

War & Emergency Powers

I will occasionally reference *Senate Report 93-549* throughout this pamphlet. This report was written in 1973, so keep this in mind as you read the references to it. The first sentence in *Senate Report 93-549* reads:

"Since March 9, 1933, the United States has been in a state of declared national emergency."

At the time this was written (1973), that would mean that *the United States* had been in a state of *declared national emergency* for 40 years. If you have read [Connect The Dots, Dot #1 - The Currency](#), you will have noticed a reference to the *Banking Relief Act* which was passed on *March 9, 1933*. The name of this act certainly would not raise any red flags nor cause alarm, but this act contains a core element to our loss of freedom in the united States of America. The Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933 (herein after referred to as *the Banking Relief Act*), contains the language of the *Trading with the Enemy Act of October 6, 1917*.

We may well wonder what *Trading with the Enemy* has to do with *banking relief* and how it ties in. An insight into this may be found in communication between President Herbert Hoover and the *Federal Reserve Board* in New York. Remembering our history of that time, *the United States* was in the midst of an *economic depression*. In a letter to the *Federal Reserve Board*, President Hoover asks what might be done about the current *economic crisis*. The *Federal Reserve Board* responds by saying:

"Whereas in the opinion of the Board of Directors of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, the continued and increasing withdrawal of currency and gold from the banks of the country has now created a ***national emergency***."

Here the *Federal Reserve Board* is saying that the withdrawal of currency, but primarily ***the GOLD***, has created an emergency situation for the bank. It is to be remembered that *gold* was a *medium of exchange* at this time and when circumstances permitted, was deposited by the American people with the ***banks*** of the country, which were *Federal Reserve affiliates*. The *gold* was the property of the individual making the deposit and was deposited in the bank for safe keeping. With this statement, we may well wonder why the withdrawal of one's property (gold), has created an ***Emergency***. The *Federal Reserve Board* goes on to say:

"Whereas it is provided in Section *(5)(b) of the Act of October 6, 1917 as amended*, the President may investigate, regulate, prohibit, under such rule and regulations as he may prescribe, by means of license or otherwise, any transactions in foreign exchange, export or earmarking of gold or silver coin or bullion, or currency, * * * "

The *Act of October 6, 1917* is commonly as *The Trading with the Enemy Act*. In 1917 the United States was dealing with World War I, and it was recognized that there were probably enemies of

the United States doing business within our borders. *The Trading with the Enemy Act* gave the President of the United States total authoritarian control over the commercial activities of an *identified enemy* of the United States.

With that understand we can now see what the *Federal Reserve Board* is suggesting... that the President may take control of the *transactions* of the *republic* (“foreign exchange”- foreign to the jurisdiction of *the District*) and the ‘*export or earmarking of gold and silver*’ in that foreign (republic) jurisdiction. President Hoover declines to do so however, stating that it is neither necessary nor appropriate.

But on March 4, 1933, Franklin Delano Roosevelt is sworn into the post of President of *the United States*. And 5 days later, the *Banking Relief Act* is passed. As stated previously, this act contained the language of the *Trading with the Enemy Act*, giving the President total authoritarian control over the *commercial transactions of an identified enemy of the United States* as well as the authority to seize an *enemy’s gold*.

It is necessary to know that the act of October 6, 1917 had two critical elements of exclusionary language that is crucial to our understanding and these elements relate to *exclusionary language*. In one case, the act set forth that *transactions executed wholly within the united States* are exempted (*excluded*) from the operation of the law, recognizing that when American’s go to the hardware store and buy a lawn mower (a *transaction executed wholly within the United States*), this is not an *enemy* transaction, and not subject to the operation of this law. A second case of *exclusionary language* is found at section (c) which excluded *citizens of the united States* from the operation of the law, recognizing that a citizen of the united States is not an enemy of the United States.

But when the language of the *Trading with the Enemy Act* was incorporated into the *Banking Relief Act*, the exclusionary language was amended and became:

“by any person within the United States or any place subject to the jurisdiction thereof”

The question that never gets asked, by ordinary citizen or *code enforcer* alike, is “which United States is being referenced in this language?” In this *ambiguous* form it is left to the ordinary citizen or code enforcer to apply their own understanding. And since it is highly unlikely that either know that more than one “United States” exists, their understanding is most likely flawed and in error.

With this *amended language* the status of the American people became indistinguishable from any other enemy of *the United States* in law. In effect, the American people became an *identified enemy* of the United States and had a *war powers act* (the *Trading with the Enemy Act*) applied against them. With this, the President, or Secretary of Treasury (of the International Monetary Fund), has total authoritarian control over every commercial activity in which this enemy (the American people) engage.

From this time forward it was only necessary to convert all of our regular and normal activities into a commercial activity in order to regulate (control) us in everything we do!

Thus, building a home became a commercial activity, traveling in our carriage (car) became a commercial activity, roofing our home became a commercial activity, changing our hot water heater (permit required) became a commercial activity, the same for our toilet, riding our bicycle is a commercial activity, working to feed ourselves and our family became a commercial activity, military service is a commercial activity, school is a commercial activity, marriage is a commercial activity (licensed), you can't even occupy your house unless some government bureaucrat determines that it can be occupied.... **all of our normal and regular activities have been converted into commercial activities in order to regulate us in everything we do.** And so the law of the republic of the united States of America (the Common Law) has been set aside and replaced with the Uniform Commercial Code. With this Act, the law of the republic of the united States of America has been set aside and the *common law courts* have disappeared.

It is probably necessary to understand that the *Buck Act* paved the way for the creation of *corporate states* that overlay the union States. These *corporate states* gain their existence in the laws of *the District of Columbia* making them a entity of *the Districts* jurisdiction. Thus we have a corporate STATE OF CALIFORNIA that is not the California Republic, a STATE OF YOUNAMEIT that is not a State Republic. Following behind this, the county's, for the most part, also incorporated under the laws of the Corporate State, making them also an entity of the jurisdiction of *the District*. And following behind that, many of the cities also incorporate under the laws of the corporate state, again making them also an entity of the jurisdiction of *the District*. All of this accomplished through fraud and deception perpetrated by control of education, the *controlled free and independent press*, and the help of churches who have opted to become corporations under the laws of *the District* in which they are very careful not to teach any of this to their flock since they would loose their *tax exempt status* in so doing. And if they were to loose their *tax exempt status*, they would loose much of that which they worship... the Almighty Dollar... the reason they became incorporated to begin with.

Enter the role of the *code enforcer*. The *code enforcer's* role is to subject the uninformed and uneducated American people to the *commercial laws* of the *corporate United States and its franchises* (the corporate States, Counties, and Cities), and divest the people of their property (money and earnings), and strip the people of their heritage and freedom that so many American's died to provide. In saying this I know that probably most *code enforcers* do not know or understand that this is their true job, and that is why I'm taking the time to write this instrument.

But the insidious nature of the *Banking Relief Act* does not end here. In other language the act states:

“Section 1. The actions, regulations, rules, licenses, orders and proclamations heretofore or hereafter taken, promulgated, made, or issued by the President of the United States or the Secretary of the Treasury since March 4, 1933 pursuant to the

authority conferred by subdivision (b) of section 5 of the Act of October 6, 1917, as amended, are hereby approved and confirmed.”

This language gives *retroactive approval* to any *proclamations or executive orders* issued by the President going back to March 4, the day of his inauguration, which is standard practice. The insidious and unethical element is **giving approval to any future proclamations or executive orders** (*heretofore or hereafter taken*) without ever knowing what they will be. With this language, Congress has given the President the power and authority to do anything he wishes without any **oversight** whatsoever. Congress just destroyed the *separation of powers* and made a **dictator** of the President, whomever he may be. And we have been seeing the result of that for over 70 years now. America is a much more dangerous place to be than it ever was before.

The manner in which the *Banking Relief Act* was enacted into law, provided that the law remain in effect until the President declares that the **Emergency** is over. Since 1933 we have had numerous *Republican & Democratic* presidents, and none have seen fit to terminate the **Emergency** and return the country to **lawful civil authority**. We have become a country ruled and controlled by the creation of one emergency after another as a means of ruling under War & Emergency powers rather than rule under lawful civil authority. From Senate Report 93-549, written in 1973, we read:

"The majority of American Citizens have lived all their lives under Emergency Rule. For forty years, freedoms and governmental procedures guaranteed by the constitution have in varying degrees been abridged by laws brought into FORCE by states of National Emergency."

It has now been 76 years. On and on it goes. Wars provide the **emergencies** to keep the public in fear and willing to give up their freedom for safety. It is certainly a means of control but it also provides **high profits** for someone. The question here is: "How long are the American people going to be manipulated by these **engineered threats and Emergencies?** It's called *psychological profiling of a targeted group...* us! Let's take a look at the 'wars':

- A war on poverty - President Lyndon B. Johnson; January 9, 1964
- A war on drugs
- September 2, 2005: President Bush announces a **war on the weather**
- Korean war; 1950 - 1953
- Vietnam war; 1964-1975 but actually began earlier than this official date
- Gulf war; 1990 - 1991
- Afghanistan war - still in progress
- Iraq war - still in progress
- War on terror - still in progress (this one, by the way, is easy to solve. If you do not want terrorism, then don't participate in it.)
- World War III; were working on it!

The result is that virtually all law is commercial. The only law you can break today is impeding commerce. All laws, on one form or another, break down to impeding commerce. That's why *common law* courts have disappeared, leaving only commercial (equity) courts. You may have noticed that there are numerous United States District Courts, but there is only two or three District Courts of the United States. The United States District Courts are an *inferior commercial court* and deal only with commercial law. If you don't think they are commercial, look them up on Dun & Bradstreet. You will even find the FBI, your local court and your local police and sheriff's departments listed as *commercially traded*. **It's all commercial!** And it is the job of the code enforcer to burden (and injure) the American people with commercial laws as a means of control and as a means of taking their money.

Evidence of Commercial Status: The scanned image below is that of an envelope from a United States District Court, not a District Court of the United States (there is a difference and that is part of the deception). If this court were not a commercial entity it would send mail under a "*franking privilege*." As can be seen, the United States District Court are not qualified to use the "*franking privilege*" and sends its mail under standard postage making it a *commercially traded entity*. It gives a new meaning to the phrase the judge uses when he says to the court clerk, "*What is the business of the court today?*"

UNITED STATES COURTS
OFFICE OF THE CLERK, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 501 I ST., STE 4-200
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814-2322
OFFICIAL BUSINESS



049J82023891
\$00.420
01/22/2009
Mailed From 95814
US POSTAGE

95814-2322



Part of the ZIP code and BAR code have been removed to protect recipient's identity.

The result?

The number of adult men and women in the United States who were being supervised on probation or parole at the end of 2006 reached 5,035,225. - United States Department of Justice statistics.

2,293,157 prisoners were held in federal or state prisons or in local jails at the end of 2007 - United States Department of Justice statistics.

With 305 million people in the United States, this means that 1 in 42 are either in prison, or under State parole/probation control. The United States has become the largest prison country per capita the world has ever known. Boy, are we free. Thank you code enforcer. See also: [*Connect The Dots, Dot #2 - War & Emergency Powers.*](#)