

BIRTHS

updated: September 16, 2013 – Link Added: November 27, 2016

The document “Baby's with no birth certificates nor social security numbers” has been on this web page (<http://mhkeehn.tripod.com/>) for a considerable length of time, and in my view has not gotten the attention it deserves. To provide some interest in its content and merit I have decided to provide the following background information. Following the background information is the original “Baby's with no birth certificates nor social security numbers” document. We often see people whining about the actions taken by government with regards to ‘their’ children, but these same people will choose to ignore the information available when the opportunity presents itself. Additionally, be certain to read the warning at the end. Additional reading benefit: [Birth Certificates](#)

Background

Acting on false assumptions instead of on facts assures that our actions will lead us into unintended obstacles. You cannot reach desired goals by taking the wrong paths. In no case is this more apparent than in the matter of *dates of birth*. Make the wrong assumption, and you will grovel in inescapable slavery. Act on facts, and you will set yourself free. Here are some facts that are relevant to the subject of *dates of birth*:

Like many, many other words, "birth" and "date" have precise legal meanings which if not fully comprehended will lead us into great trouble.

Through long training, habit and repetition we come to associate our *date of birth* with that day, month and year on which we squeezed, kicking and screaming from our mother's womb. It's been a bad habit with terrible consequences for most of us. That day of our entrance into this world is not the birth date of the person named on the birth certificate! We have been suffering under a false assumption. It's high time we corrected the error.

BOUVIER'S LAW DICTIONARY (1867) defines "birth" as "the act of being brought wholly into the world." In expanding on this succinct definition, Bouvier's remarks restrict the legal meaning to the subject of actual, physical childbirth.

It goes without saying that a lot of water has passed under the bridge since Bouvier penned his authoritative definition of "birth". The forms of government have been radically altered, and in many instances words have been assigned different meanings. Sometimes the differences are subtle; sometimes extreme.

Today, Black's Law Dictionary (Fifth Edition) defines "birth" to appear deceptively similar to the definition given by Bouvier. Black's defines "birth" as "The act of being born OR wholly

brought into separate existence." The careless observer will discern no significant differences between the definitions given by Bouvier and Black. But the differences are VERY SIGNIFICANT!

Bouvier does not provide a definition for "born". But if he had, we can discern from his definition of "birth" that he would define "born" as describing a human birth.

Black's does provide its definition of "born" to mean: – "Act of being delivered or expelled from mother's body."

Black's definition for "born" is essentially identical to Bouvier's definition of "birth." And like Bouvier's, Black's first definition of "birth" describes a human birth.

But Black's continues in his definitions of "birth", providing a different, alternate definition, "...OR (the act of being) wholly brought into separate existence."

There is a subtle but significant difference between Bouvier's "brought wholly" and Black's "wholly brought".

In the case of Bouvier's definition, "wholly" describes the total extent to which one comes forth and separates from the womb; that not just parts of the new creature have exited and taken on separate existence, but all of him. This refers only to a natural child/being.

Black's uses "wholly" to describe the extent to which a generic entity, not a human being, is deemed to have experienced "birth." "Birth" by Black's second definition requires that the subject be completely and totally brought into existence. The difference between "wholly brought" and "brought wholly" is something of a brain-teaser, but meditate on it and the difference will come to you.

Bouvier refers to the child being brought into the WORLD, the connotation being that something real and substantial, observable by the senses has arrived on the planet.

Black's "brought into separate existence" can easily include a legal fiction or other artificial entity, such as a name/person.

So far our inquiry has established that in modern legal jargon "birth" can mean the delivery of a human child, OR the act of bringing into full and complete existence an artificial entity.

We find similar games played with the word, "DATE!"

Bouvier defines "date" to mean, "The designation or indication in an instrument of writing of the time AND PLACE when and WHERE it was made." More: "Written instruments generally take effect FROM THE DAY OF THEIR DATE, but the actual day of execution may be shown,

though different from that which the instrument bears."

Black's convenient definition of "date" does not include THE PLACE WHERE THE INSTRUMENT IS EXECUTED: "The specification or mention, in a written instrument, of the time (day, month and year) when it was made (EXECUTED)." (Parentheses in original)

Black's defines "executed" as "COMPLETED: CARRIED INTO FULL EFFECT; already done or performed; SIGNED; taking effect immediately; NOW IN EXISTENCE or in possession; CONVEYING AN IMMEDIATE RIGHT OR POSSESSION. Act or course of conduct carried to completion. Term imports idea that NOTHING REMAINS TO BE DONE."

The PLACE at which a written instrument is executed is of extreme significance to its effect. For example, a statute enacted by the California legislature may have great effect on the lives of Californians...but none on Oregonians. By deleting "place" as an element of the definition of "date", Black's, the 'official' lawyers dictionary, minimizes the importance of "place" while diverting attention away from its significance.

THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE

THE SOURCE OF ALL ENSLAVING ADHESION CONTRACTS

The birth certificate 'creates' an artificial entity. In the beginning that entity is merely a name, attached to which are other names of state-certified parents, doctors, informants and places.

The certificate is a written instrument created by a 'registrar' who is an employee/agent of a 'jurisdiction' of the place in which the certificate is created. Neither the registrar nor his principal created the human baby who is merely grist for the certifying process. The only things bureaucrats can create are artificial entities and 'legal' fictions.

A birth certificate is EXECUTED by signing, filing and recording it, which constitutes the act of wholly bringing the certificate (and the artificial entity it creates) into separate (distinct, unique) being. The subject matter of the written instrument (certificate) is the live birth of an infant. But it is not the live birth that is EXECUTED by signing, filing and recording the certificate. That had already been accomplished, usually several days before the filing, by conception and gestation, consummated by a child being born. Neither the state nor its registrar had anything to do with 'executing' the live birth of the child.

The "DATE" (specification in the written instrument of the time when it was executed) is the day, month and year on which the certificate was signed and filed; i.e., the time it executed; when the 'official name'/artificial entity/record, mentioned in the certificate was wholly brought into separate existence. In other words, by Black's SECOND DEFINITION...the DATE of BIRTH of the record/entity.

Natural persons (real, live, flesh and blood people) have birthdays which commemorate anniversaries of their BEING BROUGHT WHOLLY INTO THE WORLD. THEY DO NOT HAVE BIRTHDATES!

Only state-certified NAMES have BIRTH DATES or DATES OF BIRTH! There is nothing about these DATES in the nature of commemoration or memorial; nothing happy, sad, or otherwise emotional about them. Such DATES OF BIRTH are merely cold, dispassionate facts which testify to the time and place of birth of the certificate.

Except when he is applying for a benefit that is made available only to artificial, state-certified persons, an individual is free to go by any name that pleases him. He is under no legal or moral compulsion to use the name attributed to him on a birth certificate. However, if he uses that name, or a similar variation of it, he should be very careful in separating the two; the natural and artificial creatures. He must remember: HE IS NOT THE NAME AND THE NAME IS NOT HIM!

For example...when he is acting 'as attorney' for the artificial being, he should provide its BIRTHDATE when required by business dealings. Alternatively, when he wishes to enjoy the Rights inherent in natural individuals, he will want to distinguish between DATES and days...and never state that his BIRTHDATE is the same as his birthday. The safest course is to avoid situations (such as applying for or using benefits) where the giving of a BIRTHDATE may be 'innocently' construed as intent to obligate the natural person.

Not too many decades ago, birth certificates were generally perceived as innocuous and harmless. Most people believe them to be beneficial in that they established reliable records for genealogies, and assisted in expediting inheritances, probate matters, and the like. Few people were ALARMED by sinister implications surrounding birth certificates...or the potential for abuse inherent in them. It was this very absence of fear or concern that permitted them to eventually be used against us. NOBODY WAS WATCHING! Nobody was warning their children about the dangers of birth certificates. Nobody was educating the people on how they could be used as 'government weapons against the people' ...or how the people could defend themselves from such assaults.

And today, most people still BELIEVE that birth certificates are harmless...and beneficial.

The danger with birth certificates is that, with just a little indoctrination by parents and government schools, most people confuse the two entities involved (natural person and artificial person). They do this primarily as a result of being trained from childhood to believe the DATE of BIRTH is synonymous with the day of birth. After a while most people cannot distinguish between the name and their mortal being. They say, "I am John Smith" more frequently than they will say "My name is 'John Smith'". It is this indoctrinated inability to distinguish between the two that gets people in serious trouble.

They enter into adhesion contracts with 'government' agencies, that strip them of the ability to exercise natural rights, and obligate them to all manner of demeaning servitude.

Black's defines "ADHESION CONTRACT" as a:

"Standardized contract form offered to consumers of goods and SERVICES on essentially 'take it or leave it' basis without affording consumer realistic opportunity to bargain and under such conditions that consumer cannot obtain desired product or SERVICES except by acquiescing in form contract. Distinctive feature of adhesion contract is that weaker party has no realistic choice as to its terms."

An intractable system of 'governance' has developed by which it is made difficult for the natural person to exist, much less thrive, except by contract with 'government' agencies. Adhesion contracts seem to be required of him to own and drive a car, own or rent property, acquire food, gasoline...and most critically, to obtain the 'government' federal reserve notes ("cash") necessary to secure these 'benefits'.

Every such 'benefit' is made available only to artificial, state-chartered persons. To prove that one is eligible for the benefits, he must produce a BIRTHDATE and often even the certificate (instrument) that certifies the DATE given is accurate.

Do you see what happens? The contractor provides, not the DATE of BIRTH of the certificate, but his BIRTHDAY. The bureaucrat never argues, even though the face of the certificate proves the applicant is lying. (The consumer is always right)! The bureaucrat merely pushes the adhesion contract across the counter for the applicant's signature. The contract is executed, and the natural person, who by association with the DATE of BIRTH of an artificial entity binds and obligates himself to whatever onerous specific performance will be required of the contractor.

By submitting a BIRTHDATE, the contract ADHERES to the hapless individual. Invariably, one condition of such contracts is that, should any controversies arise, the applicant agrees to let the matter be arbitrated in the "company courts". Neither natural rights nor 'constitutional rights' are issues, nor are they permitted expression in such courts.

STOP BEING A SLAVE! GET YOURSELF FREE! DON'T CONFUSE THE BIRTHDATE WITH THE DAY ON WHICH YOU WERE BORN. THEY ARE NEVER THE SAME. CLEAN UP YOUR ACT. SINCE YOU PROBABLY CANNOT REMEMBER EVERY INSTANCE IN WHICH YOU INNOCENTLY PROVIDED A WRONG BIRTHDATE TO RECEIVE A BENEFIT, PUBLISH A PUBLIC APOLOGY AND RETRACTION. SET THE RECORD STRAIGHT. SEND COPIES OF THE NOTICE TO THE BUSINESSES YOU DO REMEMBER DEALING WITH SUCH AS THE IRS, SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, AND DMVS. AND.....GOOD LUCK!!

End of Background

Original posting of “Baby's with no birth certificates nor social security numbers” begins on the next page...

Babies

without Social Security Numbers & without Birth Certifications (Birth Certificates)

by Anonymous

Making the Decision:

This essay is the result of an encouragement by my dad, who was, to some degree, responsible for the status of my daughter, now over two years old. In conversations with my father since the birth of my daughter, he thought it might be beneficial to others to share my hospital experience with those who may be wondering about such matters. Having been '*talked*' into sharing my experience, I take up pen (now computer) to share those thoughts that led up to birthing a baby girl who holds no Social Security number nor any county (government) issued '*Birth Certification*' (Birth Certificate), and to share the events within the hospital in refusing the allowance of these government identifications.

The decision to do this, although easy in the end, was somewhat of a torturous path to travel. I have two children, a boy of several years and now a daughter. Even before my son was born, my father had spoke with me about various topics dealing with the devious nature of government. Although at that time I was in my early twenties and believed, as I thought most American's believed, that our government was a champion of the under dog, the oppressed and those in need. I did not feel that our government would intentionally take advantage of our fellow countrymen.

When my father talked with me about the transgressions of government at Ruby Ridge and at Waco, Texas, I could not help but think that he had taken a walk off the map. Our government would not murder innocent people, especially children, as he was saying took place at Waco. I did not think he was intentionally lying, dad does not lie, but I did think that he was wholly mistaken. In my mind, I had rationalized that our government had some justified reason to 'go after those people', even if that reason wasn't readily apparent.

My father, despite my beliefs, kept the conversation alive from time to time, sharing information and showing me things he had discovered about the actions and coverup in which government agents & officials were involved. Apparently to maintain the 'status quo' within society.

Examining for myself the evidence that my dad presented to me for my review caused a shift in my perception about our government. This was evidence, not conjecture and not the *opinion* of my father. It was being collected and presented by many rational people, some of them within the law enforcement community.

Whether or not it is intentional, the lack of education in our public school system taught

me well to believe in the goodness and humanity of our government. The dilemma in which I was caught, was a knowledge that 1) my father would not intentionally mislead me; 2) that my father is not a stupid man who is easily swayed, either by self serving patriots nor by government; 3) that my father and my government were in direct conflict on a number of critical matters and; 4) that if my father was sufficiently concerned to keep bringing up government actions, it was time for me to conduct my own independent investigation. Primarily to solidify in my own mind which story to believe. The difference between what I wanted to believe and the evidence being presented to me could not co-exist in my mind. In determining the actual truth I could lay to rest the conflict occurring in my mind ***and maybe make my dad eat crow.***

And so I began doing some research of my own into some of the premises dad had been putting forth. Premises that went far and beyond the events of Ruby Ridge and Waco, Texas. I read books, surfed the Internet, investigated our country's founding documents, examined the interpretation of our founding documents in court decisions, paying special attention to the burdens of citizenship of both the State Citizen, and the United States Citizen. I must admit, that as I began this trek, I was not even aware that there was a clear difference between citizens of a State, and citizens of the United States. However, I was to learn that, as put forth in the Slaughterhouse Cases (a supreme court decision), Americans do not necessarily hold both citizenship classes, and there is considerable difference between the burdens of each. I examined old history and law books and compared them to current ones.

To my surprise, dad had been correct in each and every assertion he had made. The 'commercial burdens' of the United States citizens were the means by which corporate government was taking the earnings and property from this class of citizenship. Yet, it is not the purpose of this document to explain those matters in detail, only to provide sufficient background that the reader will understand my decision of citizenship for my daughter.

After engaging in considerable reading and research I had come to understand that those of us holding a social security number, driver's license, county issued birth certificate, marriage license, or any other permit or license were being duped by our government. Duped into entering into a contract which we have no obligation to enter. A contract which gives corporate government power and control over our lives. We are being tricked into believing we are a free-people when we are anything but free. All of the above mentioned documents bind us to a jurisdiction that has expanded beyond its constitutionally granted ten mile square allotment in order to enslave the American people and hold us as surety for the national debt.

The research provided a realization that the county issued birth certificate and federal social security number were a contractual means for the government to make living souls into corporate entities (what we call a *legal fiction*) in order to gain control of our lives and property. These contractual obligations make us subject to the jurisdiction of the corporate United States and the Uniform Commercial Code, of which almost all of our current law is based on. Taking note that there is a difference between these united States (this refers to the sovereign States of the republic that joined together to form a Union) and the United States (a corporation created

by an act of Congress: 16 United States Statutes at Large 419; FORTY FIRST CONGRESS, SESSION III; CHAPTER 62, 1871). This act created a corporate UNITED STATES, a completely separate entity from the Republic of these united States of America. In short, it forms a means by which the American people may be tricked.

And believe me, I understand more than you know the reaction you may have to such statements. I had them when my father was sharing this information with me. As I have previously stated, I did not believe it possible for our ‘grand’ and ‘great’ government to be capable of such devious actions. It was very hard for me to fathom so many government officials, military personnel (to some extent), and police officers (to some extent) were either being bought off through employment and benefits, or intimidated (frightened) so badly they would sell out their own people as well as their own children and families. I had believed in the checks and balances put in place by our founding fathers and designed into our government structure in a way that would never allow for such mass deceit of the people. Or so I thought.

Although it was my father who was the catalyst for research, it was the research itself that brought me to understanding and then to feel at least some responsibility for the ignorance of others in my life. ***How could I, or for that matter, how could anyone turn their backs from the truth of what is occurring right now in our country?*** While in the beginning I thought that there was probably only a 10% chance my father was correct, I had learned he was 100% correct, and knowing this would not allow me to continue as I always had, believing that government would do the honorable thing. I could no longer take that chance with those I love. My father had apologized to me and my brother, stating that if he knew as a young man what he knows now, neither of us would have had government issued birth certificates nor social security numbers. **Now, as a parent with knowledge and understanding, it is my turn to step up to the plate.**

Thus, the decision to birth my daughter without acquiring a government issued birth certification or social security number was an easy one. Even when my choice was based upon the partial and incomplete facts that were available to me at the time I made this decision. In my heart and mind there just really wasn’t any other choice because I wanted my daughter to enjoy the freedom that so many Americans died to provide at the beginning of our country. To enjoy a freedom that has been lost through ignorance by so many Americans. I did not want to sell my daughter into slavery just to save a few dollars on my income taxes. I realize that these statements may be inflammatory to some, but I feel confident that if you do your homework and research in this matter, you will change your perspective and agree that I have made the best possible decision on behalf of my daughter.

Unfortunately, I had not been as well informed when my son was born. Oh, I had the opportunity to be informed, I chose not to be informed, not to believe. As I once read:

**“The mark of a stupid man is not that he does not know,
it is that he does not want to know!”**

As such I will be changing my son's status as soon as possible, given what I now know. My husband and I will avoid asking the government for any kind of assistance, be it medical, income, retirement, or housing. These are the ways the government traps individuals in the claws of its corporate jurisdiction, by getting you to contract with them. Government engineers the crisis and then provides a mediocre solution, if you will just contract with them, and give them jurisdiction over your life. My children will learn to be responsible for themselves and if they need help, to lean on those who truly care about them, rather than depend on the government to take care of them. The government is not the parent nor part of my family and should not be responsible for me or my children. It is not right for me or my children to expect other hard working people, trying to raise their family, to support mine. A lesson learned from my father.

Determining the consequences:

Now, that the decision had been made to avoid contracting with the government on my daughter's behalf, I needed to check into aspects of her life that will be of immediate concern upon her birth. Both of my children would be taking advantage of public education. I had to check with the school to find out if a social security number was required for enrollment into school - it was not. I also checked to see if the hospital birth certificate was adequate documentation to enroll a child into school, and I found that the hospital issued birth certificate is adequate documentation for the school.

The hospital and birth day – (never allow the use of the term “Birth Date or Date of Birth”):

After determining this, I was ready to proceed with my plans concerning my daughter's citizenship status. After having a baby it is standard policy for hospital staff to bring in paperwork to be filled out and completed by the parents, to get the newborn a social security number. I simply refused to fill it out or sign anything to do with social security, being polite but firm.

The person in charge of getting this paperwork filled out and turned in, did attempt to pressure me by stating that when grown my daughter will not be able to work or conduct any personal business without that number. I politely responded that she was misinformed and that I was certain that my daughter needed no permission from the government to carry out the things she will do in her life.

In one last attempt on the Social Security number I was told that a social security card would be much more difficult to obtain later. I informed her that didn't matter to me and she went on to the next thing, the birth certificate. Again I refused to sign or authorize anything to do with a government birth record. This lady and I went through a similar discussion as with the social security number. She told me that I would have difficulty proving my child's identity and enrolling her in school. I informed her that I had checked into that matter and that neither a social security number or the county birth certificate is required to enroll in school. If necessary, I could sign an affidavit as to my daughter's identity and status until she was old enough to sign one of her own.

With this, the lady folded and indicated that she didn't want to argue with me. The important thing to realize here is that both of these documents are contracts which create a disability (a liability or obligation) upon your child. Not to put too fine a point on it, but these contracts with government lack full disclosure and/or equal consideration. (Necessary elements to a legally binding agreement.) Additionally, they are accomplished under fraud and deception by virtue of the lack of full disclosure.

These contractual obligations, if entered into, give the government control of your child, making government the parent and you the babysitter. In short, this is the legal process: 1) The *Birth Certification* is registered with the Department of Commerce, generally through the bureau of *vital statistics*; 2) Whenever you "register" (not record) anything in any "public registry" you have placed the object identified (in this case your child) into international commerce and transferred "**legal title**" to the government, retaining only "**equitable title**" to yourself. You may 'use' the registered item, but is not your property, you have transferred it to the government. Thus, when you *register* your children, as is done with the issuance of a 'birth certification', you have given the government legal title, retaining equitable title to yourself. This is why the government may now take the children and place them wherever they wish. They are protecting **their legal property**. Check it out for yourself. Mary's book is a good place to begin. Available at <http://mhkeehn.tripod.com/>.

The benefits:

At the end of my hospital stay I walked out with a citizen of the Republic of California, instead of a United States citizen. As an individual outside corporate jurisdiction, she will have no taxable income, this 'benefit[???]' being reserved for those citizens inside corporate jurisdiction. She will not pay social security, another 'benefit' for those citizens inside a corporate jurisdiction. She will not have a drivers license, nor vehicle registration for her car, both are requirements for those under corporate [commercial] jurisdiction, as such they do not apply to her. She will be completely and totally responsible for herself, *the first requirement of freedom*. No social security, no disability compensation, no workman's compensation, etc. It does not mean that she cannot have these benefits, it just means that she will have to purchase them privately, but not from government.

As her parents, we cannot claim her on our income taxes. This is because government has no responsibility for her, as such they cannot claim a need for collection *or deduction* on her behalf. As stated, she is outside the control and jurisdiction of corporate government. It is important to note here that she is not outside the law (of the Republic), but she has no connection (contractual nexus) to *corporate commercial law* of the corporate United States. She is not in that jurisdiction.

Obviously, there is much I will need to teach her in order to prepare her to protect the freedom and status of citizenship she will enjoy. But after all, is that not what parenting is all about? It will be a long road and I suspect it will require my husband and I to keep up with the

changes government may institute to trap the ignorant and unwary. There is a quote from an author that I admire that is very applicable here...

“Freedom is easily lost. All it takes is willful indifference.” - Terry Goodkind

For myself, I have determined that I am not going to be one of the willfully indifferent Americans that watches freedom slip away just because the changes taking place do not seem to affect the everyday affairs of my life. I am wise enough to understand that although it is not my ox that is gored today, if I allow my neighbors ox to be gored, it is only a matter of time until it is mine.

In a free society, all we have to protect us, from the power of corrupt government, is each other. The noose is tightening around our necks, and because of our own ignorance and our own indifference, our rights have been usurped, because there is an entity out there that is willing to wait the amount of time it takes for those who knew of the dangers of a strong central government to forget, or give up or disappear through age and death.

I think, that there has been a general lack of concern by most Americans that exists because of a general belief that those who founded America had finally put something in place to protect those who would come after. Unfortunately, I think we have become much too complacent, I know that I was... for a while. We have lost our ability to see what absolute power is and what it looks like. It is a patient, sneaky, devious, and evil foe, and it waits for the moment when there is no true Citizens of the Republic left for our Constitution to protect, at which time the Constitution dies for lack of representation. My daughter is one of those who stands in the way of that occurring, because she is a true citizen of the Republic of these united States of America.

I have opened my private life and share this information with you for whatever value it may have. For now, I wish to remain anonymous, simply because I do not trust the government. Citizens, like my daughter, represent the greatest threat to the total take over of our country and lives. And, remembering Waco, I do not wish to place her (and us as a family) at undue risk.

End of Original Document

WARNING: It has come to my attention that Hospitals in California (and possibly other States) will report a live birth to a Government Agency if the parents refuse the acquisition or assignment of a birth certificate and/or the acquisition or assignment of a Social Security Account. These are contractual offers and you cannot [legally] be compelled to contract. Although hospital staff will probably try to make you feel that you have obligation. YOU DO NOT! Therefore, I believe it wise not to name the Child while in the hospital, and certainly not to mention the child's name while in the hospital.

The *family Bible* is a legal record of Births, Deaths, Marriages, and they actually make Bibles for this purpose. Use the Bible for recording the Birth and the ***Birthday***, NOT ***DATE of BIRTH***. Only artificial persons have a ***Date of Birth***. Make the entry, whatever that entry might be, have someone sign as a witness, and you sign as the individual making the entry. Traditionally, the entries are signed by the man of the house.

Questions: Email mhkeehn@gmail.com

Frequently Asked Questions:

Well, I have gotten some questions, and at least a couple of them tend to repeat so I'm going to share a couple of questions, and my responses. Perhaps the answers will be helpful to others with similar questions.

The names have been changed in the following emails to protect the privacy of the individual, but the content is as received, although I may have corrected spelling.

Inquiry #1...

How can we help our children WITH social security numbers and birth certificates? I wish I knew this information years ago. My children would not have either. Please let me know what I can do to help my children now. They are 16 and 14.

Best Regards,
Susan

Response:

Dear Susan

First, I'm not the author of the document on Social Security Accounts and Birth Certifications, however, I know the author and I felt their construction was of significant value to many people, thus it has been placed on my webpage.

Second, your inquiry has the earmarks of asking for legal advice. And since I do not have the ability to know if an inquiry is being made by a government agent, looking for the next Randy Weaver to kill or put in prison, I'm very cautious about what I say. I do not give legal advice because it is illegal for me to do so, therefore, nothing in this communication is to be considered legal advice.

That said, if it were me, and knowing that the Social Security Card/Account and Birth Certification are both commercial activities, I might consider canceling, rescinding, or revoking these commercial instruments since they were acquired under fraud via

deception. The fraud comes about by controlling your educational curriculum which conspicuously excludes education about such instruments/commercial contracts and by not providing full disclosure, a necessary element in a valid commercial agreement. I hope this has been of help.

Now, if you are not a government agent looking to cause injury to an honorable American, I wish you the very best... mike

Inquiry Continued:

Hi Mike,

I'm just a worried mother of 2 great kids that finally sees the real truth of our government. Where can I find more info on the steps and how to guide them if I can get everything rescinded?

I thank you soooooo much for what you are doing! I am beyond tired of the lies and deception and want my children to truly be free. Thank you again.

Susan

Response:

Hi Susan

Nothing shared in this communication is legal advice, it is just conversation.

Susan asks: "Where can I find more info on the steps and how to guide them [the children] if I can get everything rescinded."

That's a tough question Susan. My own research began when someone suggested to me that there were two classes of citizenship in the United States and further, that these two citizens were subject to different law. The time was 1993. Having never heard of such a thing, my initial reaction was to wonder what wagon load of pumpkins this fellow fell from. But, rather than simply dismiss him, I said, "Tell me about it." And so he told me what he knew and as he spoke I was looking for holes in his presentation. And although the presentation was not complete, I didn't find any holes. As he concluded his presentation he gave me a legal cite to look at. And as he walked off, I can remember thinking, "Turkey, next time you see me you had better be ready because I'm going to look at your legal cite"

I looked at the legal cite and it suggested he was absolutely correct, however, it too didn't provide the whole story so that it made sense. If you have ever researched a legal cite (an adjudication), you will find that it is predicated in, or based in previous adjudications, and so you get this thread going. I spent the spare time of two and one-half years of my life pursuing this citizenship issue. In the end, I confirmed that he was correct. And the

answer had always been right in front of me! The Thirteenth Amendment to the constitution freed the slaves, but the freed slave was being denied standing in court due to lack of citizenship. This meant that a freed slave could be defrauded of everything he had worked for all his life, but he lacked standing to bring a case in court and get recourse and remedy. Thus, the Fourteenth Amendment to the constitution provided citizenship for the freed slave. But, and this is where it gets fraudulently deceptive, leadership two classes of citizenship to the freed slave, making him both a slave of the State where he lived, and also of the United States. This is the first time that United States citizenship is mentioned in law. The trick is that this class of citizenship rests in the jurisdiction in which it was created, Washington, District of Columbia, which is a jurisdiction outside the republic of these united States of America [the lowercase “u” on “united” is not oversight, it is proper case in reference to the republic].

The Constitution gives Congress exclusive legislative authority over an area of ten miles square that the Constitution refers to as the District, later to become Washington, District of Columbia. This gives Congress a dual character. In one character they can make constitutional law for the republic of these united States of America, and in the other character they can make any law they wish, Constitutional or Un-Constitutional for the District of Columbia and any thing owned by the United States – Guam, Wake, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, et al (and others).

In the organic Constitution, the term “Citizen” is spelled with a capital “C”, whereas in the Fourteenth Amendment, “citizen” is spelled with a lowercase “c”. This is because Citizen does not equal citizen. They are two separate and entirely different classes of citizenship. The original citizen was the State Citizen. That was because, at the signing of the Constitution, the States were independent nations.

Now, however, the whole citizenship issue is moot, because there are no citizens. If you research citizenship, you will find that a citizen has a duty of obligation/loyalty in return for a duty of protection (provided by the Government). These are reciprocal obligations, one dependent on the other. However, the United States Supreme Court has ruled more than once that the government has no duty of protection. Therefore, since these two duties and obligations are reciprocal and dependent on the other, there are no citizens. It’s all one big scam.

In general, here is my understanding. The government gets to *presume* your status, and they will *presume* that you are a legal fiction. All legal fictions are a dead person, like a corporation or trust. And no dead person has the protections afforded by the Constitution and/or Bill of Rights. But government doesn’t get to DECLARE your status, you get to do that. Therefore, you must properly declare your status. The problem with this whole thing is that you cannot predict how criminal they (government courts) are going to be. After all, they are central to administering the bankruptcy of the United States.

"The privilege against self-incrimination is neither accorded to the passive resistant, nor to the person who is ignorant of his rights, nor to one indifferent thereto. It is a FIGHTING clause. It's benefits can be retained only by sustained COMBAT. It cannot be claimed by attorney or solicitor. It is valid only when insisted upon by a BELLIGERENT claimant in person. McAlister vs. Henkel, 201 U.S. 90, 26 S.Ct. 385, 50 L. Ed. 671; Commonwealth vs. Shaw, 4 Cush. 594, 50 Am.Dec. 813; Orum vs. State, 38 Ohio App. 171, 175 N.E. 876. The one who is persuaded by honeyed words or moral suasion to testify or produce documents rather than make a last ditch stand, simply loses the protection. . . . He must refuse to answer or produce, and test the matter in contempt proceedings, or by habeas corpus." – U.S. vs. JOHNSON (76 Fed, Supp. 538), Federal District Court Judge James Alger Fee

Perhaps the words of Judge Fee above will be of support. In short Judge Fee is saying you cannot be stupid and free at the same time, you have to be knowledgeable and stand your ground. So, DECLARE your status and become belligerent, not caustic, stay polite, but don't be pushed around. To help you comprehend a bit better, you might consider reading "[SHINOLA 101](#)" and "[Citizenship Examined](#)". They are both a great source of information.

In the end, I suspect you have to do your research, become knowledgeable, and believe in the knowledge you've acquired.

All the best Susan... mike

OK, that's one inquiry, here's another.

Inquiry #2:

In this one I will be presenting only the response since it has the inquirer's email embedded within. Again, the name of the individual has been changed to protect her privacy.

Hello Tracy

First, the disclaimer. Nothing in this communiqué is to be considered legal advice. What I share in *conversation* is simply my comprehension of the matters in question. That said, we begin...

I have pasted your e-mail inquiry into this document between the two horizontal lines and have highlighted, in red, pertinent issues.

Hello!

I just read your pdf on birth certificates and social security numbers.

I gave birth to a beautiful baby boy last month and do NOT wish to make him a ward of the state by getting him a birth certificate or ssn. Fortunately, since I didn't know the sex of my baby before he was born and didn't have names picked out, we never told the hospital staff his name.

However, **I recently applied for medicaid for him and his name is on the application**. I have about 27 days or so to get him a ssn and birth certificate or else he will lose benefits. So far I have been very hesitant to proceed. **My baby's father and I both do not want our child to be registered but my main concern is how he can still receive medical care.**

I refused all vaccinations for my child and wouldn't be accepting any drugs or unnecessary interventions for him any way... but I still want him to be able to receive check ups and emergency care. I'm a first time mom so being able to have my son seen by a pediatrician is reassuring.

So, my question for you is... **how does your daughter receive medical care without these documents? Do you simply pay higher bills because she can't receive insurance? Or can she? Can she still see a pediatrician?**

Thanks for your time,
Tracy

I begin by stating, for the record, that although the document to which you refer is on my web page, I am not the author of it. However, I will still answer your questions to the best of my knowledge and ability.

Let me lay the ground work or basis of your issue. You cannot be on both sides of the fence at the same time! In other words, you cannot make government responsible for your child and then retain your child's freedom. Either you have to be responsible for your child, or you must make the government responsible for your child, you cannot have it both ways. The individual whom you read about in the on-line document carries medical insurance on her daughter for which she pays, thus, she has not made the government responsible for the child, she has retained responsibility for her daughter and her well-being! She does not claim her daughter as a dependent on her income taxes!

Since the daughter has no Social Security account nor government issued birth certification, she does not **legally exist**. This means that, if she is intelligent enough to challenge jurisdiction, the government has no authority to force her to pay *income taxes* nor to have a *drivers license* to travel in her car (notice I said *travel*, not *drive*). *Drive* is a legal term. As a matter of fact, her daughter is not subject to any *corporation rule*, remembering that all of government, today, is corporate. Therefore, they really have only *corporate law* or, if you prefer, *corporation rules*. "LAW" is found in the republic for these united States of America.

When a parent acquires a Social Security account for their child, they are publically declaring themselves incompetent, inept, **and incapable** of being responsible for the child, and they are petitioning the government to take care of the child from cradle to grave. The government gladly accepts this responsibility because the child has a value, in dollars, to the government. In short, the child becomes a government asset and the natural parents become the babysitter. This is why, when there is a dispute between the babysitters over the child, the government will place its asset where it wants it's asset, with no input to be considered from the babysitters!!!

To make matters clear, the United States government has been bankrupt since 1933. In order to continue to operate in bankruptcy, the government must have the means to do so. One of those means is to expand their assets. In short, to increase the number of people obligated to paying the national debt through income taxes. The method to do this is to make them citizens (lowercase "c") of the United States (corporate), which is to say Fourteenth Amendment citizens of Washington, District of Columbia. Not generally taught in public schools is the fact that this jurisdiction is not in the republic of these united States of America. And further, the Constitution gives Congress exclusive legislative authority over this jurisdiction. This means that Congress can pass any un-constitutional law it wishes for this jurisdiction and subject its citizens to these un-constitutional laws. Thus, the corporate United States, through fraud by deception, creates economic slaves.

In the end, you cannot make the government responsible for you or your family and be free. If you want freedom for your child, then you cannot avail that child of benefits from the government, you, as parent, must be responsible for the child!

I hope this clarifies matters for you. If you have any further questions, feel free to ask them. If I have not made something clear, please feel free to question it.

... mike

This ends the *Frequently Asked Questions* section for now. If more repetitive questions should surface, I may return to include them.