

WHO IS REALLY BRAVE

AND OTHER MATTERS

by Michael H. Keehn

A United States Calvary soldier stands on the American plains with his Winchester repeating rifle, capable of easily killing at 150 yards, and in the hands of a competent shooter, 250 yards and beyond. At a distance of 130 yards stands an American plains Indian with his bow & arrow, intent on defending his home and way of life. Who is the brave one, the soldier or the Indian?

Probably the most compelling reason that we American's hold the position in this world, that we currently occupy, is because we are the most efficient killers the world has ever known. Our weapon technology is far superior to the rest of the world. In so many ways the rest of the world is the Indian brave, sitting out there at 130 yards with a bow & arrow. The question is: "Are we willing to see this?"

Might does not make right. Instinctively we know this. Therefore, it becomes necessary to create a perceived threat that the American people will accept as legitimate and allow their government to go into another country and murder its inhabitants, destroy their infrastructure, destroy their way of life, destroy their culture, and most importantly, take over control of that country's natural resources. Then we American's sit around wondering why it is that so many people of this world hate us and want to cause us harm.

We try to sell ourselves on the idea that they hate us because of what we have and what they do not have. Those who hold such a view are probably just projecting their own character into the perception. That if they were one of the 'others', they would hate American's because American's have nice homes, nice cars and good food. In other words, those people who believe that American's are hated because of what we have, are people who, themselves, would hate others because of what others have that they do not. They think that all the people of the world are as shallow as themselves. Instead, these shallow people should be asking the question, "what are we doing to the people of this world that so many of them want to cause us harm?"

The answer to that question is actually quite simple. It is because we American's are unwilling to be responsible for **OUR** governments use of the military and **OUR** governments use of weapons of mass destruction. Weapons developed, produced and paid for with **OUR** dollars. In other words, we have become much like Germany of the 1930's and have turned a blind eye to the use of the *collective force* that our weapons and military comprise.

The same people who are foolish enough, or ignorant enough, to believe that the people of this world hate us because of what we have, while failing to recognize that much of what we have was taken by force from other countries, also probably fail to recognize that the way our government treats other people in this world is the same way we American's will be treated by that same government when we

become an impediment to its goals and objectives. We certainly had an opportunity to see how we will be treated at WACO, Texas... if we were awake enough to notice. I suspect that if we Americans become an impediment to the many dishonorable goals and objectives of our government, our character will be first assassinated. Through the government controlled media we will be characterized as neo-nazis, white separatists, survivalists, child molesters and all the other things that David Koresh was accused of being. Ultimately a 'Bradley Armored Vehicle', a weapon of mass destruction, may very well be called upon to gas us and finally, we will be incinerated. Yes indeed, for those American's sufficiently awake, WACO, Texas was a snap shot of our future.

Perhaps WACO was a test. A test to see if we have been sufficiently mis-educated to believe governments actions there were legitimate. Or perhaps a test to see if we have been sufficiently intimidated to accept governments actions, whatever they might be, as necessary and appropriate. Or maybe it was a combination of tests. In any event, the gun and ammunition sales sky rocketed throughout America after this event, suggesting that not everyone is mis-educated, intimidated or asleep. Do we all understand that the bullets, guns, chemicals, incendiary devices, sound systems to psychologically torture and military weapons, that were used to kill fellow Americans at WACO, were all bought and paid for with money supplied by us? Do you think we might have some responsibility here?

Are we willing to recognize that we have been involved in politics at the end of a gun barrel around this world for decades? At least since the end of WWII. Grenada, Panama, Nicaragua, Chile, Vietnam, Cambodia, Korea. The Shah of Iran was our man. We financed his operations and provided him with the weapons necessary to repress his own people. We trained his secret police. Castro, down in Cuba, was our man. We brought him to power. Of those countries in which the United States has become politically and/or militarily involved, name those which have become a **Representative Republic** (our form of government).

I know that many American's think that the United States is a Democracy, but that is a lie put forth through government institutions (schools) and government licensed and controlled media (television / radio / news papers / magazines) enough times to corrupt the knowledge of the less educated. Democracy is two wolves and one sheep sitting down to discuss 'what's for dinner'. In a **Representative Republic** the collective does not have any more *lawful power & authority* than the individual. That is because in a **Representative Republic** all power and authority conferred upon government is a *power* or *authority* conferred upon it by individual citizens. In such a system, a citizen can not confer a power or authority that he does not hold. And that, right there ladies and gentlemen, is the reason that the *Constitution for the united States of America* set up a **Representative Republic** as our form of government.

The use of the lower case 'u' on the word 'united' is not an accident. It distinguishes an adjective from a formal name for a corporation. The *United States*, with the capital 'U' is a corporation, municipal in nature, but still a corporation. Not to be confused with the **Republic of the united States of America**. In a **Representative Republic** two wolves (the majority) do not have the *power & authority* to abrogate the rights of one sheep (the minority) and decide that he is their meal ticket. In

the same vein, since I do not have the power and authority to go into your wallet, take out \$100.00, and give it to whomever I feel is needy (including myself), then I can not confer this power or authority upon government... for I do not possess it. As you can see, even if I, and my friends and followers were a majority, we still could not use the minority as a meal ticket, because we do not have the lawful authority to take anyone's property without the property owners consent.

If you understand this principle, then you also understand that we do not have the authority to go into other countries and take them over under some fabricated pretense as a means of stealing their natural resources.

Here's my concern, here's what I see building throughout the world. I see an American people who have, at least thus far, been unwilling to hold their government accountable for its actions around the world. I see the people of this world beginning to draw a line in the sand, not to be like us, but just the opposite. To be a people not under our control and dominion, free to live life they way they think best, not how we think best. I suspect that eventually the people of the world may unite against us, just like they did against Germany in WWII. Remembering that we American's are the most efficient killers the world has ever known, may we ask the question, "how many people are we willing to kill so that the coalition we know as the United States Government, the *military industrial complex*, big business in general, and the international bankers can be in control of all the world?

Question: "If the people of a nation are unarmed, how do they hold their government accountable for its actions?" The ethics, good judgement, and morality of government officials certainly did not stop the murder of 80 plus people at WACO, Texas, twenty-two of which were children.

Another question: "Do you think that it might be possible that our government might cause enough harm to the people of this world that they would unite against us and seek justice?" I ask this question because I think that it may already have begun. If I am right, it will still take some time to manifest itself. So, what do you think... how many people are going to have to die because we American's don't want to be responsible?

Should there be government officials, elected or otherwise, who read this and are a bit offended by the tone of the presentment, may I say "you've earned it." You have went miles out of your way to lie to us, to mislead us, to keep us uninformed, and to be deceptive and dangerous in so many ways. When government agents committed murder upon American Citizens, as was done at Bonner's Ferry and Waco, and were not brought to trial, it was a clear indication that murder, when committed by government agents, is sanctioned by government... period. With that, the responsibility for justice becomes ours.

One final question: Are we brave enough to stand up?