

Sunday, November 28, 2004
Courtesy Letter
Equality before the Law is Paramount
To Whom It May Concern,

Hello and good day! I am Robert Arthur Menard and this letter is presented as a courtesy in the hopes of avoiding all conflict. As you know, I have decided for now to exist without representation, and thus government. Although I do not desire conflict, my revulsion of subjugation and rejection of deception is far stronger than my desire to avoid conflict. I will not be subjugated. This courtesy letter is preceding a Claim of Right, which will be served upon you by a process server in the next few days.

I have spent many hours in the last four years in extensive study of the Law and I am now using that information to benefit my fellow man and help create a freer and more just society. Those of you who know me already are likely aware that I am motivated by my love for one Elizabeth Anne Elaine who four years ago was abducted under the color of Law by the MCFCD. I am also guided for my compassion for my fellow man and realize that I have a duty to treat all with dignity and respect. I love this Nation and the concepts upon which it is founded and believe that with my actions I am strengthening the best parts of it, while pruning the worst.

The 'Rule of Law' is what this is really all about. It states in part, that conflict is avoidable, unnecessary and undesirable (except to the insane) and that when it raises its head we are to deal with it using discussion, negotiation and then if necessary, adjudication in a court of competent jurisdiction. I am offering discussion prior to engaging in a certain course of action. If you fail to accept that offer, you are in dishonour. What ever you do next is your response to my lawful offer. If you fail to do the right thing and discuss these issues with me, choosing instead to ignore the offer and come in with guns and masks, then that is your response to an offer to discuss and it is an unlawful response which disregards the rule of law. The simple fact is, failing to discuss when offered and then dragging me into court is crazy, as the Court will clearly lack the proper jurisdiction to hear the matter, and you will be the ones in dishonour.

The words government agents use to claim authority all have meanings and most agents fail to understand what the words in their mandates actually mean. They rely upon their own ignorance to expand their power and then use that ignorance as an excuse for breaking the Law. Having deconstructed many Acts, I now realize that many Legalese words do not mean what they do in actual English. When I have in the past attempted to raise these issues with agents, they almost always refused to look instead choosing to remain ignorant. I have thus come to the conclusion that those who benefit from any societal mechanism rarely wish to understand that mechanism, especially if it appears to grant them power or authority and understanding it would restrict, diminish or destroy the power apparently granted.

I have had Peace Officers tell me 'take it up with the Judge' after refusing to discuss. Do they believe I am in conflict with the Judge? Are they not aware that by telling me to 'take it up with the Judge' they are in fact expressing the belief that the Judge and I have a problem, or that the

Judge is their defender? If the Judge is their defender, then clearly there is no impartial objectivity. Every time a court officer tells me 'take it up with the Judge' I know that in their hearts they do not believe we have a fair and impartial Justice system, otherwise they would not want me taking up anything with the Judge. Court is a place for people with conflict, and if I try to avoid it by offering discussion and all you can respond with is 'take it up with the Judge', then clearly you do desire conflict! Furthermore, it is my belief that being invited to 'take it up with the Judge' after extending an offer to discuss, is in fact an invitation to seek an ex-parte Court order.

There is no doubt people are awakening to the deception employed by the government. The question is will they awaken in anger, or love and peace? Although I extend much effort in awakening my fellow man, I also try to ensure they awake with love and compassion even for those who have or would deceive them either purposely or through willful ignorance. Will we as a Nation achieve a change without bloodshed, violence or fear? I believe we have that opportunity, as I am convinced that most all are of good will, and the path of peace is always available to those who share good will.

Most if not all government agents and Peace Officers are bonded. Having recently deconstructed the Bonding Act and researching the entire issue, I have come to the conclusion that their purpose is to ensure the bonded individual acts lawfully. They must 'operate upon their bond' and the moment they are acting unlawfully, they are not 'on their bond' and that bond has been abandoned and may be seized as salvage. Furthermore, if they are on their bond but acting improperly, money is there to be sued against.

I am reminded of an essay written by Thoreau who spoke of healthy societies needing both protectors and the critics. Stagnation results when the protectors have too much power. If the critics have too much power, change is so rapid that stability is lost and again the society dies. When the protectors rely upon deception to gain the authority to protect, the belief that they are acting for the good of the protected becomes very difficult to accept. When Peace Officers across the country are completing bills of exchange in the form of Violation Tickets without ever realizing what they are, the system is clearly eroding. Over the next week I will be seeking a path of peace with various government agencies. I will use honourable offers of discussion and the time tested tool of a Claim of Right. If unheeded, the Claim of Right will be used to secure a Peace Bond against the Vancouver Police and other government agents. I have a fundamental human right to peace regardless of whether I am represented. The peace will be mine if Peace Officers would merely accept some basic truths and learn about the source, nature and limits of their authority.

The following are some of the more important concepts for true Peace Officers to understand and grasp. If they cannot or will not comprehend then they simply cannot properly fulfill their duties.

1. Canada is a Common Law jurisdiction.
2. The only form of government recognized as lawful is a representative one.
3. Representation requires mutual consent.
4. Consent must be freely given and not a result of coercion or duress.
5. A 'statute' is defined as 'a legislated rule of society given the force of law.'

6. A 'society' is defined as 'a number of people joined by mutual consent to deliberate, determine and act for a common goal.'
7. The Corporation of the City of Vancouver is in fact a corporation; a fictional legal entity. It is not a geographical area but it is a person.
8. A human being cannot exist within a fiction. (The truth dispels fiction)
9. The Rule of Law states conflict is avoidable, unnecessary and undesirable and when it raises its head we are to deal with it using discussion, negotiation and then if necessary adjudication in a court of competent jurisdiction.
10. The court of competent jurisdiction is a result of two parties attempting and failing to resolve a potential dispute using discussion and negotiation in Good Faith.
11. If a party refuses to discuss or negotiate, they abandon the right to seek adjudication in the issue at hand, as they abandoned the rule of law and it is impossible for a court of competent jurisdiction to be raised.
12. According to the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Canada, courts in this land have no authority to adjudicate unless both parties consent to the adjudication.
13. I have no obligation to consent to adjudication if the opposing party has abandoned the rule of law by refusing to avoid conflict by engaging in discussion.
14. According to a unanimous ruling from the SCC, since Canada is a common law jurisdiction and the only form of government recognized as lawful is a representational model, the power to govern is a direct result of the consent of the governed. They did not say 'consent of the majority of the governed' either. Individuals must consent individually, as no one may consent to representation on behalf of another. (I cannot hire someone to represent you without your consent and knowledge, nor can you hire a representative for me. Just because others consent to be represented does not mean I am obliged to as well.)
15. The right to elect our government necessarily implies the right to elect to have a government. If the only option we have is to choose who our masters will be, then we are nothing better than slaves who can choose their masters. Free men do not have masters. I am a free man and I do not have a master.
16. The statute against sedition necessarily implies that we have an option to escape government control which is peaceful. If we did not have an out, violence would be lawfully and morally justified to escape tyranny. The fact that we may not employ said violence indicates the existence of an out which does not require violence.
17. This out is our right to deny consent to be governed.
18. Neither corrections officers nor Sheriffs and their Deputies can lawfully claim authority over those who deny consent to be governed.

There are of course many more, however these key ones must be immediately taught in order for the Law and Justice to be served.

You may look forward to hearing from a process server in the next week. You will then have three days of grace to reply to my Claim or it will be deemed that the Claim is perfected and the rights mentioned are lawfully seized. I will also be laying a foundation to bring legal action against the bonds and other assets of any Officers or agents who interfere with my rights once claimed and perfected. Fact is I intend to open up a little café/bar/pub and if left alone will be too busy to be as active as I would like. However any interference with my free time and will cause me to

bring actions to address various issues, from Violation Tickets, which are bills of exchange, to the fact the Natural Persons can ride the SkyTrain absolutely freely, to the fact that student loans can be set-off with the bond tracking number found on the back of a Birth Certificate.

If left in peace I will raise millions for detoxification programs. If interfered with, I will cost you much more.

Govern yourself accordingly. Sincerely and without malice aforethought, ill will, vexation or frivolity,

Robert Arthur Menard
Director,
The Elizabeth Anne Elaine Society
Justice is Truth in Action