

What Are The Motivations

by Michael Herbert Keehn

April 3, 2007

If you wanted to reduce the worlds population, how might you go about it?

I was thinking about Iran today. It appears that we may be going to attack this country in the near future. Not because they represent a threat to us in the conventional sense, although I certain someone has probably already made that case. It's not much different than the case that was made against the Chinese when it was set forth that they have nuclear capability. Yes they do, about 7 or 8 war heads. We have thousands... oh wow, are they a threat – ha ha.

Iran is even less of a military threat or terrorist threat. The world has not forgotten what we did to Kadaffi. The threat that Iran poses is much greater than military objective or terrorism. To fully understand the threat the Iranians pose, we need to take a little trek through history of this past century. It's short and enlightening.

1945 - Bretton Woods Agreement: This agreement established the dollar as the world's reserve currency. A policy was produced by this agreement whereby the U.S. dollar was fully convertible into gold by foreign governments who had the legal right to demand gold as payment for their goods and services sold to America. However, dollars were not eligible for conversion into gold by private citizens at this time.

In approximately 1971 a dollar crisis erupted when several foreign banks began to demand reimbursement for their dollars in gold as had been agreed in Bretton Woods. As matters would have it, the (corporate) U.S. had printed so many dollars and borrowed so much from foreign banks that the U.S. gold reserves were rendered insufficient, making full payment in gold an impossibility. This crisis required an immediate solution to save America from default and bankruptcy, and the destruction of the *credit structure of the United States*. The solution came when America announced it would immediately sever the link between the dollar and gold, making it abundantly clear to all its creditors that America would never repay with physical gold any of the billions of dollars it had borrowed, but rather it would pay with depreciating paper dollars backed by nothing but the "reputation" of the U.S. Government (a corporation).

With the severing of the link between the dollar and gold, the United States (a corporation) was declaring itself to be an empire, exempt from its obligations to the world community. The action of severing the link between the dollar and gold on the world market, was open admission to the world that *the United States* was bankrupt. Because of the powers wielded by the United States in economics, politics and military, no other government on earth could oppose this criminal action, simply because these other governments had no alternative. They were literally reduced to being forced to accept depreciating dollars for their goods and services sold to America.

America then entered into an agreement with Saudi Arabia in which the Saudi's would accept only U.S. dollars in payment for their oil. This made the U. S. dollar backed by the one

commodity that every nation needed to survive – OIL. Supporting *the credit structure of the United States* at the expense of everyone else. Do we wonder why so many people of this world don't like us very much?

To continue our facade of wealth and stability, at least for our own population, we have engineered events as necessary to justify going into one country after another to gain control of their natural resources. We care nothing of freedom. Of all the countries we have gone into since the close of WWII, how many have freedom and how many have some form of dictatorship or other form of totalitarian government? Do we wonder why so many people of this world don't like us very much?

We go into countries, like Iraq, under whatever pretense. In the Iraqi case "*weapons of mass destruction*", and we find none. We destroy their culture, destroy their countries infrastructure, undermine their way of life and religion, torture their men we have imprisoned, kill hundreds of thousands of their population, and for what? So that we can maintain *the credit structure of the United States* as a means of continuing its deception of its own population. This is why we send our young men (and women) over there to fight. And what will their psyche be when they discover what they have participated in? How will they feel and behave when they discover that the killing they participated in was to *support the credit structure of the United States*? Where is our honor?

Well, Iran has strayed from the path of *New World Order / One World Domination* and they have began the selling of their oil, not for worthless *American Dollars*, but for other currencies. In this case the *Euro*. This will have the effect of greatly accelerating our own bankruptcy, poverty, despair, and undoing.

Consequently, to avoid taking responsibility for our own demise, we are going to make the people of Iran pay for our own corruption, for our own apathy, for our own unwillingness to be responsible for ourselves.

I hear rumors that the *invasion / war* is to last 12 to 15 hours. That is not possible with conventional military weaponry. It is not possible to fight and win a war this quickly with conventional bombs, artillery shells and soldier intervention. I suspect that we are going to use nuclear weapons against the Iranian people.

If we do this, we will undoubtedly win the war, but we will most likely turn the rest of the world against us. We will open the door for the rest of the world to justifiably do the same thing to us. With the door to nuclear weaponry justifiably open, the world may quickly enter into chaos, fueled by those who wish to reduce the worlds population. Feelings could quickly evolve into blowing up your neighbor before he has an opportunity to blow you up. But in actuality, it will not be the neighbor who is the enemy. The enemy is those people who opened the door.

We Americans seem to have become so detached, so unconcerned, that we are unwilling to be responsible for our own creation... our government. Is that who we are. Are we willing to just stand by, out in the field like sheep, while murder is committed in our name, solely for the purpose

of avoiding the responsibility for our own lives and our own country? Are we so arrogant that we feel justified in such an action, should it take place, that we will quietly accept it solely to avoid the consequences of our own actions and failures?

Even if we are to enter into conventional warfare, our actions stand no better. I suspect that America may no longer be able to look itself in the mirror after Iran. I hope I'm wrong about the whole thing... God, I hope I'm wrong.

If I'm not, the world's population may well be reduced.

March 5, 2007:

I heard on the news this morning (0500 PST), that the 15 (or so) military personnel that Iran was holding, have landed at London. The detention of these military men being the stated reason that we were preparing military response against Iran, and so, with the release of these military operatives, we are now without that event as a reason to attack Iran. Iran has wisely defused the situation.

Iran states that these western military personnel had entered *protected Iranian waters*. Of course our government deny's it. The problem our government faces in its statements is that it has repeatedly lied to the American people, consequently their credibility has suffered greatly in the eyes of us who remember or know about the lies. For example, the *Gulf of Tonkin* incident, where our government told us that Vietnamese gun boats had attacked one of our military vessels, and this was the reason used to escalate the war in Vietnam. It never happened... period!

If we do a little homework and research, we will find that Washing D.C. knew about the impending attack on Pearl Harbor on December 7, 1941, but did not notify the Pacific Commanders. President Roosevelt had placed economic sanctions on Japan, knowing that they would not survive economically, knowing that they would be forced to attack the United States. And by not notifying the Pacific Commanders and allowing this event to take place, it was possible to *psychologically profile* the minds of the American people to enter the war.

And then there is 9-11.

The lies are many and a long list is not needed, just a reminder. My belief rests with Iran. I do believe that western military personnel had entered their protected waters, probably knowing that Iran would pick them up and this then could become a reason for a military response. But with that reason now evaporated, what will be the next engineered reason to attack Iran? How far will we go? Would we detonate a nuclear device here in America and then blame it on someone else? Iran in this case? The question needs to be asked because I suspect we are not done with Iran. We will have to engineer (invent) a reason to attack them that the American population will accept and support.

It might be worth your time to watch the video *Manufacturing Consent* with Noam Chomsky.

This video deals, in some depth, with the psychological profiling of the American people to accept any action taken by Government. And, to some degree, how that consent is manufactured.

Well, my fellow Americans, it is food for thought and perhaps we should give it some thought.