

A HEADS UP (Thinking about declining oil production)

© 2010

Third in a series

by Michael Keehn

mhkeehn@gmail.com

In the first column of this series we learned that PEAK OIL PRODUCTION has been reached and oil production is in decline. I made the point that this is a difficult topic because the consequences are vague and difficult to foresee, and so this column is a continuation of that discussion and the possible, maybe even likely, changes our future may hold.

We also learned that it would be wise to reduce our dependence on oil. In this we envisioned a possible return to an earlier life style, perhaps a life style similar to the 1850's.

In the last column we learned that today's Federal Reserve Note has about 2.38 cents (\$0.0238) the purchasing power it did when I was in highschool in 1962. This dollar devaluation scam has made us an economic slave. In the late 1940's and early 1950's when my mother and father were building a home outside the city, there were no government fees for out of town construction. We lived on a small five-acre-ranch, which my father paid \$75 an acre to buy, \$15 an acre. If we could afford \$10 worth of boards this month, we put up \$10 worth of boards, and when we stopped building, what was standing, was ours. There were no time constraints as exist under the building permits of today. I suspect we will eventually need to be free of a system that holds us hostage like the Chicago Mob of the 1930's where big Al Capone was constantly extracting money from the Chicago businessman and thus, the people, for the privilege of *doing business on his street*. Today's government believe that "all streets" are theirs to divide as they will. It will be up to us to elect local leadership who has the ability to see what needs to be done and to pave the way for a return to "freedom" by getting commercial government and commercial law off our backs. Someone needs to say NO to the mob behavior that currently calls itself government. And let me absolutely clear here, I'm not against government, I'm against criminal behavior calling itself government.

Maybe now we have a better understanding of a "cash-less" society in which we will continue to be held economic slaves, all of us, teachers, administrators, police, judges, farmers, workers... everyone. And then someone else will be in total control of our lives. Our "plastic cards" become our doom.

If we conclude that peak oil has occurred and that oil production is in decline, will, if analyzed properly, allow many dots to become connected and allow us to see what is driving many political events throughout the world as well as the United States. Some of us may remember when Bush took office in 2001, the "National Energy Policy Development Group" was formed with Dick Cheney at the head. There was, and is, no congressional, senate or private oversight and monitoring of this group's activities. The records of this group, as well as its minutes, were all kept secret. It was only after a law suit that some information was released. Released documents revealed that the group was looking at what oil was available, where the oil was located and who owned the oil. Since this was back in 2001, it should be obvious to the reader that government

leadership has known that oil production was or soon would be in decline.

In the case of Iraq, the oil is fairly accessible and close to the Persian Gulf, making it economical to access. Private enterprise (read Banking Elite) used their control over the main stream media to psychologically the American people into accepting a military intrusion into Iraq for the pirating and control of this resource. While “we the people” of the United States may well be honorable and ethical, those that control our government, and many of the leadership within that government, are not.

Eleven days after the events of September 11, 2001, the plans were undertaken to invade Iraq even though it was known that Saddam Hussein had nothing to do with the events of nine-eleven. Was this a case of “*never letting a good crisis go to waste*”, or was it a case of creating a crisis as a reason and cause to invade Iraq and secure its oil reserves?

Now we have the tough question to answer. Are we Americans supposed to *support our troops* in the murder of Iraqi’s and the pirating of their oil resource? Or should we support our troops by working to bring them home where they are not required to murder the population of another country and engage in resource piracy? Which action is truly supporting our troops?

The military is not really fighting for democracy and freedom although they, along with us, may be told that very thing. Because of the control the creditor of the United States exercises over this government during the current and ongoing bankruptcy, the military is the military of that creditor and does his bidding. I know this is difficult to accept, it was for me as well.

Because of the devaluation of the dollar, Saddam Hussein had been considering selling Iraq’s oil in Euro’s. Once the United States invaded, that was no longer an option. After the “successful” invasion of Iraq, the United States installed a “friendly government.” Saddam Hussein was eventually caught and tried by that “friendly government”, then hanged. Some might remember that a previous leader of Iraq wanted more of the profits from Iraq’s oil to go to benefit its people and the United States sent in an assassin to kill that Iraqi leader. The assassin was wounded and had to flee the country. The CIA then went into the country and executed the Iraqi leader on television. Our assassin who was wounded was Saddam Hussein.

The United States currently has no intention of leaving Iraq, at least so long as there is oil. Thus far, we, the United States, have built three of the largest permanent military bases in the world in Iraq. We’ve built an embassy that is larger than Vatican City. I believe it is the largest anywhere in the world. This is not a temporary undertaking.

I think that most of us know that the population of the world took off like a sky rocket with the discovery and exploitation of oil. Commonsense would suggest that a “population correction or adjustment” is in our future.

Tune in next week for a continuation of discussion.