

CITIZENSHIP INTRODUCTION
(An **OUTSIDE THE BOX** construction)
Updated May 2, 2014

This document is born out of a series of columns I wrote for the local newspaper. It explains much about citizenship that is not presented in public schools nor by leadership, thus, it will seem a little *far out* to some. Should the gentle reader believe this to be a fictional writing, please feel free to research the issue yourself, you may be surprised (I was).

At this stage of my life, I have become amazed at the important and crucial subjects not covered by public schools. My education was so lacking. I have, of course, discovered that education is up to the individual, not government, and certainly not the public schools, who are simply a Department of government.

In this construction, I have added notes, generally in a *text-box* or as a *footnote*, that did not appear in the original document. These *notes* should help the reader in comprehension.

You may provide feedback to me at:
mhkeehn@gmail.com

CITIZENSHIP, THE INS AN OUTS

© May 2013

First in a series

by Michael Keehn

mhkeehn@gmail.com

mhkeehn.tripod.com

First, allow me to explain why I'm writing about this subject. It has come to my attention that the FBI has targeted a class of citizen in this country that they refer to as a *sovereign citizen*. Now, why is this important to us? It is important because citizenship is one of the main tools government uses to enslave the American people. Citizenship determines what body-of-law we will be subject. For example, do you pay French income taxes? No, of course you don't pay French income taxes because you are not a French citizen and subject to that jurisdiction. Well, here in the United States we have two separate and lawful classes of citizenship, and one class is not subject to income taxes and a whole lot of other commercial laws (actually corporation rules).

For those who are worried about gun control, the *Sovereign Citizen* is not subject to these commercial laws. The *Sovereign Citizen* is not subject to having his *sovereign children* taken by government or being forced to put them in public school. I'm jumping ahead of myself in making this point only to draw the interest of the reader on this subject. We have a tendency to take citizenship for granted, partially because our public school system spends almost zero time explaining it to us. It is not a boring subject.

While I have hinted at this issue in past columns, this series of columns will go to the heart of this subject in order to address the issue of citizenship head-on since the FBI has made *sovereign citizenship* status a target. Knowledge of this subject wouldn't be a problem to government if it were limited to a very tiny percentage of the population, however, more and more Americans are doing their homework, discovering the differences in the two classes of citizenship here in the United States, and applying what they have discovered.

Since government is a *commercial, publically-traded-for-profit entity*¹, *sovereign citizenship* status becomes a threat to government's ability to take the productivity (read money) of such a citizen. When this fact was known only by a tiny fraction of 1% of the population, it wasn't a large financial loss, but as more Americans become knowledgeable, the loss of financial resources AND CONTROL is beginning to escalate and they see a future crisis looming. So much so that the FBI has now been tasked with targeting this class of citizenship even though it is lawful. This would likely mean that leadership or someone high up in the Department of Justice is responsible for this policy.

It's a *mind game* or if you prefer *psychological profiling (brainwashing)* of the American people, being implemented by government leadership, by and through the FBI, to intimidate Americans and direct them away from this wonderful status of citizenship.

The importance of this subject lies in judgment. When an individual of *Sovereign Citizenship* status is tried before a jury, we potential jurors need to know and comprehend the substance of his argument, otherwise we may well rubber-stamp the government's unlawful behavior and become the enemy of freedom that so many Americans have given their life to provide. If the reader is to keep this series of columns, they will have a resource to connect together the dots of this subject, which may well be to the benefit of the reader and/or younger family members. After the gift of *life*, the best gift a parent could give a child is freedom. Enter *sovereign citizenship*.

¹ It is mentioned that government is a commercial, *publically-traded-for-profit-entity*. Should the reader wish to confirm this, he (or she) may do so with an appropriate search on Dun & Bradstreet, an online service listing publically traded companies. Every office, Agency, Bureau and Department is listed as publically traded for profit.

There is a possibility of some confusion. For example, some Sheriff's Departments are listed while others are not. It should be remembered that a Sheriff's Department is part of a County, and the County will be listed as publically traded for profit, and this would include the Sheriff's Department of course.

As an example I looked up Glenn County Superior Court, here in California. D&B reported *Judicial Council of California* dba [doing business as] Glenn County Superior Court. In becoming publically traded for profit, a conflict is created for the court. On one hand the court has a fiduciary obligation to the administration of justice, but because it is publically traded for profit, it also has an obligation to an investor. And the obligation to the investor trumps the obligation to the administration of justice, creating an irreconcilable conflict of interest. This is why convictions are packaged and sold on a securities and exchange. It's all part of administering the bankruptcy of the United States.

To begin, let's take a look at what the FBI has to say:

“They could be dismissed as a nuisance, a loose network of individuals living in the United States who call themselves ‘sovereign citizens’ and believe that federal, state, and local governments operate illegally. Some of their actions, although quirky, are not crimes. The offenses they do commit seem minor: They do not pay their taxes and regularly create false license plates, drivers licenses, and even currency.” – FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin titled *Sovereign Citizens – A Growing Domestic Threat to Law Enforcement*.

The above quote contains a number of unsubstantiated allegations that the reader is supposed to believe without any evidence or background. In contrast, the case made in this series of columns will be specific, often referenced, and open to the reader to confirm.

Sovereign Citizens – A Growing Domestic Threat to Law Enforcement can be translated into English as follows:

Sovereign Citizens – A Growing Domestic Threat to total enslavement and control of the people by leadership, who is expecting this trend to be curtailed by various police agencies via intimidation and other unconstitutional practices.

First the word “*network*,” which is suggesting that these Sovereign Citizens might be similar to a *gang* or *cult*. And in the title, the phrase *domestic threat* is used. To be clear, the *threat* that government leadership perceives is that associated with the exercise of rights associated with this class of citizenship. To illustrate, it is the same type of *threat* you pose when you exercise the **rights** set forth in the **Bill of Rights**, for example, *your right to bear arms*. These too are *threats* to government leadership and they are working to rescind them, all of them they view as a threat. *Sovereign Citizenship* status and rights legitimately and lawfully deprive government of total control of the sovereign's life as well as depriving government of some of its profit-making commercial fees and levies. Americans, exercising their rights is a *threat* in the view of government leadership.

Who do these Americans think they are, exercising rights that so many other Americans died to provide? We forget that don't we? That many Americans have died for our rights. And if one can be taken away, they all can be taken away.

Government is taking the first step in countering the rights contained in this lawful citizenship status by issuing bulletins like the one referenced above, and by issuing documents such as “*Sovereign Citizens: An Introduction for Law Enforcement*,” published and made available by *Domestic Terrorism Operations Unit II* of the FBI. The idea is to psychologically profile those involved in the administration of justice and the police to view the *sovereign citizen* as a **domestic terrorist and threat**, which is not the case. *Sovereign Citizenship* has nothing to do with terrorism or threatening anyone, that's what government and its leadership does.

To claim such is akin to claiming that a female who is refusing to have babies for *da-father-land* is to be classified as a *domestic terrorist*. One has nothing to do with the other in either case. Oh, the woman might be a *terrorist* and so might a *sovereign citizen*, but in either case it has nothing

to do with a choice not to have babies or citizenship status.

They may both be a murderer as well, but so are some people who drive cars. That does not mean that everyone who drives a car is a murderer. To lump together *sovereign citizens* as *domestic terrorists* is simply a psychological tactic to brainwash the police and the rest of us.

This tactic of *categorizing* people into groups has been going on for some time now. *He's one of those, and we all know what one of those is like.* Categorizing people into groups occurs so that we can hate them as a member of a group and relieve ourselves of having to listen to them as an individual. Waco, Texas and the *Branch Dividians* come to mind. Additionally, some may remember the Missouri Information Analysis Center (MIAC) report "informing" police that people with third [political] party bumper stickers on their cars, such as Ron Paul for President, are subversive members of paramilitary militias and potential terrorists. It's an effort to psychologically control the thinking of the police as well as the general public. Whether the thinking ability of we the American people or the American police are controlled by such manipulative government propaganda may well be the result of our lack of knowledge and of how incapable of thinking and/or analyzing we have become.

Do we want freedom, prosperity, our guns, control of our children's lives? Stay tuned, this subject is where your rights live.

CITIZENSHIP: What We're Not Taught
© May 2013
Second in a series

This series is being presented because the FBI has targeted the *sovereign citizen*, a legal class of citizenship, claiming that sovereign citizens believe that federal, state, and local governments operate illegally. Well, you don't have to be a *sovereign citizen* to know this, government and its leadership does operate illegally, generally by and through fraud and deception.

More and more of us are beginning to realize this via first hand interaction with government agencies. *Sovereign citizens* have different burdens and obligations than *United States citizens*, and in this series we will continue to contrast the differences between these two classes of citizenship.

"I admit that I look upon the United States as the best, but that does not preclude me, a *sovereign citizen*, from looking the truth in the face and objecting to many things that take place, if I believe them wrong." – Congressman Charles A. Lindbergh, Sr., from his book titled *YOUR COUNTRY AT WAR And what happens to you after a war*. Available on the internet at http://www.jrbooksonline.com/PDF_Books/Your_Country_At_War.pdf.

Here we see Congressman Charles A. Lindbergh, Sr., claiming *sovereign citizen status*, thus we have evidence of the legitimacy of this class of citizenship. In the United States we have two legal classes of citizenship, *State Citizen* which is synonymous with *sovereign citizen*, and United States citizen² which is citizenship in the District of Columbia where it was created in 1868 via the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution. Before 1868 United States citizenship did not exist as a legal status of citizenship. It is this lowercase "c" class of citizenship that has obligation to all the commercial laws of corporate government whereas the *State Citizen* (sovereign citizen) is not.

The laws to which a U.S. citizen would be subject, that the State Citizen is not, would include the income tax laws, the *gun control* laws, public education laws, any law that is commercial law

² **The lowercase "c"**. In the organic Constitution, the word "Citizen" is spelled with an uppercase "C", but in the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution, the word "citizen" is spelled with a lowercase "c". Most people, if they notice this at all, would most likely assign *oversight* to this occurrence. It is no *oversight*, it is intentional.

While the Thirteenth Amendment provided freedom for the slaves, they had no standing in court for lack of citizenship. The courts recognized them as FREE individuals, but without standing, and would not allow the freed slaves to bring an action in court, thus depriving them of *recourse and remedy*.

The Fourteenth Amendment provided the freed slave with citizenship, but it was a ruse, a trick. The freed slave was not given the same citizenship as white people. If you read the Amendment, you will see that the freed slave was made a citizen of the state as well as "THE UNITED STATES." This is the first time UNITED STATES citizenship is mentioned in law (1868). The undisclosed trick is that it is citizenship rests in Washington, District of Columbia [the corporate United States since 1871] which is a jurisdiction outside the republic of these united States of America (the lowercase "u" on "united" is not an oversight). Thus, a new class of citizenship was created, with an obligation to the laws of Washington, District of Columbia (the corporate UNITED STATES). We acquire this status in a couple of ways, one is with a registered (with Department of Commerce) Birth Certification and by declaring ourselves to be a UNITED STATES citizen on our application for Social Security.

(corporation rules) of corporate government, and this is why government considers the *sovereign citizen* a threat. Obligating the people of the United States to un-constitutional commercial laws (corporation rules) is accomplished by and through contract.

When informed of this principle, a public school teacher once said, “I don’t have any contracts with government!” The response was, “Oh, you don’t have a teaching credential, a driver’s license, a social security account, a marriage license?”

If we are born in the republic of these united States of America, then we are born a *sovereign citizen*, not subject to the commercial laws of corporate government. But then, right out of the starting gate, our parents authorize a government issued birth certification which is **registered** with the Department of Commerce, generally through the Bureau of Vital Statistics. Since the United States is bankrupt, and since a human being is one of the few remaining resources to support that government in bankruptcy, the government has a vital interest in converting your new-born child into an asset, a *tax-paying-asset*.

I recently attended a birth, and found out that someone on the hospital staff will fill out a form reporting a live birth to the named parents, even if the parents do not want a birth certificate or record. If one wished to avoid the legal issues this may create, then it may be wise to refrain from naming the child until after it is out of the hospital. Oh, they will try to intimidate you to be certain, so be prepared for it.

And now-a-days, the parents acquire a social security account for their new-born child. This particular account is for a Fourteenth Amendment *United States citizen*, insuring that the new-born child is a tax-paying asset of corporate United States by and through contract. We have unalienable rights, and the term “unalienable” means that these are rights that cannot be lost, sold or transferred. However, government, who controls the curriculum in public schools, makes certain that we are not told that we can contract aside our unalienable rights. That’s why they tell us that *ignorance of the law is no excuse*. It’s accomplished through the Social Security account by and through fraud and deception via control of our educational curriculum and by the fact that they do not fully disclose the ramifications of joining Social Security or acquiring a Birth Certification. We also set aside our unalienable rights when we **voluntarily** join the military. And our knights (police) are supposed to believe that we are criminal as we attempt to restore our unalienable rights that so many Americans died to provide.

Most of us hold *dual-citizenship*, being both a *State Citizen* and a *United States citizen*, having an obligation to both jurisdictions. But, there is a growing number of *sovereign citizens* who do not hold United States citizenship. However, we white people have the choice of being a United States citizen or NOT. The Fourteenth Amendment, which provided citizenship for the freed slave and his ancestors, did not give the *Negro race* a choice. The Fourteenth Amendment conferred both classes of citizenship on the Negro race without any choice. I remain uncertain about the Negro race on this issue in today’s world, we probably need a gutsy individual in this race to test government leadership’s resolve on this issue. If he (or she) could prevail, then they would have true freedom rather than just another form of enslavement, currently *economic*

enslavement which is just as effective as old Southern plantation enslavement.

If we white people don't want to be a United States citizen, and subject to all the commercial laws of corporate government, then we don't contract with government. It's that simple. Or we conclude all contracts with government, and that is more complicated. [Information has recently come to light that makes clear the criminal conversion of our citizenship. For an expanded and more comprehensive view on the intentional corruption of your citizenship by United States Leadership, read:

[Shinofa 101.](#)]

The problem for the *sovereign citizen* is that he doesn't have a social security account number, which employers use for withholding income tax. Unfortunately, most employers today are not sufficiently knowledgeable to know that a *sovereign citizen* can work for them without a social security account number and that there is no income tax withholding. However, the *sovereign citizen* needs to fill out and file an [IRS W8](#) form with the employer. This is the document the employer needs to show the IRS why he is not withholding income tax on the *sovereign citizen*.

Application of law ties to jurisdiction. To be subject to the laws of a jurisdiction, one would generally have to be a citizen of that jurisdiction with some exceptions. For example, murder. Murder is a crime that would generally cross all jurisdictional boundaries. But commercial laws do not, that's why you don't pay French income taxes.

What our public school system goes out of its way not to teach us is that the District of Columbia, more commonly known as "THE UNITED STATES" (corporate), is a jurisdiction outside the republic of these united States of America. "THE UNITED STATES" is a foreign jurisdiction to the republic.

And we will explore this in greater detail in the next column. Even without full knowledge the reader should be getting glimpses of the extraordinary possibilities open to the sovereign citizen that is not open to the United States citizen. With more knowledge, it will become clear why so many people have renounced their District of Columbia United States citizenship.

CITIZENSHIP: What can a Sovereign Citizen Do?

© May 2013

Third in a series

by Michael Keehn

mhkeehn@gmail.com

mhkeehn.tripod.com

This series is being presented because the FBI has been tasked by leadership, via the Department of Justice, to target the *Sovereign Citizen* as a growing *domestic threat*. Currently we know that the *tea party* is also a target. In the past, antiwar demonstrators were targeted, the peace marchers were targeted, anti-discrimination rallies of Martin Luther King were targets. Rallies of Malcolm X were targeted. The 1960's student demonstrations were targeted.

Many groups, including the tea party, are engaged in attempting to effect social change or change in government policy, which amounts to the same thing. But for leadership, anything that upsets their apple-cart is a *growing domestic threat*. That's because they do not represent the people. And now we know, of course, that government agencies have placed *agent provocateurs* into the ranks of numerous groups, for example the 1999 Seattle demonstration, to do something violent in order to give police cause and reason to respond with violence. It's all a set up to silence you and I, and others like us. Further, to demonize the protestors, a divide and conquer strategy.

But of course, back in the 1960's we didn't have a clue about *Sovereign Citizenship* and what this status entailed. Now we're learning so let's continue.

Already made clear is the fact that the obligations of *Sovereign Citizen* and United States citizen are different, and that these two classes of citizen are subject to different bodies of law. Let's expand on this a little so that we have better comprehension. While the *Sovereign Citizen* is not subject to commercial law, neither is he *lawless*. He is subject to the laws of the republic of these united States of America.

Recalling these united States of America...

A note for teachers... I well remember Mrs. Osnis (not certain I spelled her name correctly), my fifth grade teacher, talking to me one day and telling me that the "u" on "united" as in *united States of America*, was not capitalized because it is an adjective. That was in 1955, and it wouldn't be until the 1990's that this information would make sense. It seemed important to her and perhaps that's why I was able to remember for so long. It is a tribute to you teachers who take the time to share information not in the text book.

Back to the subject at hand. The United States citizen is, by contract, subject to both the laws of the republic of these united States of America and to the commercial laws (rules) of "THE UNITED STATES" corporate.

Here's the deal. The Social Security contract makes corporate government responsible for the individual from cradle to grave. Since the government is responsible, in other words, *the parent*,

then *the parent* is going to make the rules, enter commercial law. If you are a United States citizen and being abused by government, well, you've contracted for it, I have experience in this.

However, the *Sovereign Citizen*, which means he (or she) is an individual who does not hold *United States citizenship* status, is not subject to commercial laws (rules) of corporate government. Now, what does this mean?

It means that if a *Sovereign Citizen* buys a bare piece of property and wants to drill a well, he has no *contractual obligation* to obtain a permit. He has the same freedom as all citizens before the passage of the Fourteenth Amendment. If the *Sovereign Citizen* wants to build a dwelling on his bare piece of property, he has no obligation to obtain a permit, as all citizens before the passage of the Fourteenth Amendment. He is free to build as his pocket book allows and at any point he stops, what's standing is his. And since the dwelling was built without permits, there is no tax since there is no evidence that it is an *improvement*. Further, he doesn't need government to give permission to *occupy* the dwelling.

If the *Sovereign Citizen* wants to open a sandwich shop, he need not acquire a business license to do so. And being free of commercial government may well give him the ability to sell his sandwiches for less money than a government-licensed-sandwich-shop. We should remember that this is the way we did business before the passage of the Fourteenth Amendment and the creation of United States citizenship. It's what freedom is about. [Not included in the original series at this point, is the functionality of the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933. This will be covered in detail in a footnote on page 11.]

Essentially the same would be true for all occupations unless the occupation is the direct result of the character and nature of commercial government, for example, Astronaut. But, if we think about it, almost all occupations are not the result of the character or nature of commercial government. The opportunities and potential for prosperity are much greater for the *Sovereign Citizen* than for the United States citizen if not molested by corporate government.

We might also remember that we pay horrendous taxes to have our freedoms protected and enhanced, not to have our freedoms taken away at the occurrence of crime. Crime, whether it's the events of nine-eleven, or the Boston Marathon bombing, are not cause and reason to implement more laws taking and/or restricting our freedom. There are already sufficient laws to punish the criminals of these so-called terrorist events. And for those with internet service and wanting an excellent analysis of the Boston Marathon bombing as a false flag event, you might access:

<http://www.veteranstoday.com/2013/05/11/false-flag-theater-boston-bombing-involves-clearly-staged-carnage/> [This link now (May 2, 2014) inoperative, but same column by Sheila Casey may be viewed by clicking [HERE!](#)]

The only problem is that true terrorists take responsibility for their mayhem so that the population feels terrorized and unprotected. But no one is taking responsibility, for either the Boston Marathon or Nine-Eleven. We just have leadership pointing fingers at some invisible or fabricated terrorist to frighten and manipulate us. And behind the scenes, agencies are directed to manufacture events or evidence to support the manipulative words of leadership, as they have in the past. If the government agenda is to implement freedom-taking laws, then people must die, or considerable damage must be done.

If we recall our Declaration of Independence, a lawful document in which we are endowed by our Creator with certain *unalienable rights* [not civil rights], that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. For those who do not know, *pursuit of happiness* has long been regarded as the right to labor and enjoy the fruits of that labor. In other words, pursuing what makes you happy through any means that does not cause injury to another or infringe on the rights of another. And you, opening a sandwich shop, does not infringe on the rights of another. United States citizens are a *corporate-franchise*, and as a *corporate franchise*, do not have unalienable rights, they've contracted them aside. That's why their rights are established in the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution and not in the Organic Constitution which includes the first ten amendments known as the Bill of Rights.

By now the reader should be able to see the *growing domestic threat* that this class of citizenship entails for corporate government. As more and more Americans begin to comprehend these matters, there is the risk that this knowledge will seep into the minds of the police and military whom corporate government intends to use to subject us to the corporate-will. More to come so stay tuned.

This page reserved for some explanation of the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933

Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933³. United States bankruptcy was declared in 1933.

³ The **Banking Relief Act** of March 9, 1933 contains the language of the **Trading with the Enemy Act** of October 6, 1917. **The importance of this act in our enslavement cannot be overstated.** In 1917 the United States was dealing with World War I, and it was recognized that enemies of the United States were doing business within its borders. Thus, the **Trading with the Enemy Act** was passed, giving government total authoritarian control over the commercial activities of an *identified enemy*.

But that original act contained *exclusionary language*. One piece of exclusionary language reads: *Credits in any form, except credits for transactions to be executed wholly within the United States.* This language excluded domestic transactions and was recognition that when you went to the hardware store, and bought a lawn-mower, a transaction executed wholly within the United States, this was not an enemy transaction, and not subject to the operation of the law.

It also said at section (c), except citizens of the United States. Recognizing that a citizen of the United States is not an enemy of the United States, and not subject to the operation of the law.

But when the language of this act was made part of the **Banking Relief Act**, the exclusionary language was amended, and became: *by any person within the United States or subject to the jurisdiction thereof.* With this amended language, the United States citizen, who is a "person", became an identified enemy of the United States, giving government leadership control of his every commercial activity. From 1933 on, it has only been necessary to convert all of the normal and regular activities of the United States citizen into a commercial activity in order to regulate (control) him (or her) in everything he (or she) does.

This act is the source of the unconstitutional commercial law applied to United States citizens, and ignorant State (Sovereign) Citizens. It was passed because the United States had, once again, defaulted on the payment of the national debt, owed to private individuals, by and through the bank(s) they own. This act is what has made the government commercial, and *publically traded for profit*. This act authorized the currency (Federal Reserve Note) that we use today. From the congressional record over debate about the **Banking Relief Act** and continued on the next page, we read:

"Under the new law the money [Federal Reserve Notes] is issued to the [Federal reserve] banks in return for Government obligations, bills of exchange, drafts, notes, trade acceptances, and banker's acceptances. The money will be worth 100 cents on the dollar, because it is backed by the credit of the Nation. It will represent a mortgage on all the homes and other property of all the people in the Nation." – Congressional Record, March 9, 1933, House, Congressman Patman, 73rd Congress, Special Session, 1st Session, Volume 77, Part 1, p. 83

There you have it ENEMY, everything you think you own is mortgaged. Reading "The Core Problem" at <http://mhkeehn.tripod.com/120222TheCoreProblem.pdf> may be of help on this subject.

CITIZENSHIP: Bumps in the road

© May 2013

Fourth in a series

by Michael Keehn

mhkeehn@gmail.com

mhkeehn.tripod.com

We left off identifying the fact that the *Sovereign Citizen* need not get a permit to build a home, drill a well, nor get a license to open a sandwich shop, because he (or she) is not subject to commercial corporate law. Although the *Sovereign Citizen* is not subject to corporate commercial law, it does not mean that the *Sovereign Citizen* is lawless or without responsibility.

Because we are so accustomed to being treated like children by government leadership via the alphabet agencies of government, and as a result, herded like sheep, this next freedom will be tough for some of us. The *Sovereign Citizen* need not have a *driver's license* to travel in his carriage or conveyance of the day (car). Notice I said *travel*, not *drive*. "*Drive*" is a legal term. If you were a *Sovereign Citizen* you would not want to claim you are driving when behind the steering wheel of your conveyance, you are traveling, a protected unalienable right. And, of course, your *carriage of the day* is not a vehicle, it is a conveyance, or contraption, chingadero, thingamajig, gadget, or whatever term you like, just not a commercial term. Remember, ignorance of the law is no excuse, and government WILL use this against you, so be careful what you say.

Also, the *Sovereign Citizen* does not have a *passenger seat* in his conveyance (car). *Passengers* pay for their ride so it wouldn't be wise to admit having a *passenger seat*, otherwise you would be admitting that you are on the road for profit and gain which would require license. Instead, the *Sovereign Citizen* would have a *guest seat*. And the *Sovereign* certainly would not appear in court claiming to be a *person*. Nor would he allow any court member or staff to refer to him as a person. Read the definition in Black's Law Dictionary.

In addition to this, his *carriage* (car) will not be registered nor have license plates (actually a corporate government tax identification number). If he is a wise *Sovereign Citizen*, he will post a legal notice where the license plate would normally be mounted. This will make it more difficult for government agents to operate in *claimed ignorance*.

I know that some of us will have problems with this because we are so accustomed to being controlled and told what we can, and cannot do. A *drivers license*, in no way guarantees any competency to drive at all, what it does do is to provide for government income and government control of United States citizens. We need to remember that every cowboy did not gallop his horse into town at full speed, kicking up dust and horse-poop on the town's people. Nor did everyone operating a wagon or buggy do that either. If they did, that's an infringement on the rights of another who may file a complaint, after which the town Marshal or Sheriff would do something about it.

If all traffic laws were taken away today, probably ninety-eight-percent of us would not change our driving habits. We don't drive the way we do to avoid citations, we drive the way we do because we don't want to harm our fellow man. Oh, there will still be some who don't care about the safety of others, and they will have to be dealt with for certain, but we don't need commercial laws to do that, the law of the republic of these united States of America is sufficient.

But when the *Sovereign Citizen* begins exercising his rights, especially his *traveling right*, trouble will most likely begin. He (or she) will almost certainly be molested by agents of the corporate government. Our knights (police) are most likely not knowledgeable about this class of citizenship and the differences that exist between *State Citizenship (Sovereign Citizenship)* and United States Citizenship. For our knights and others who may have interest, the United States Supreme Court went some distance in delineating the differences in these two classes of citizenship in the *Slaughterhouse Cases* (83 U.S. 36), which may be referenced at: <http://www.law.cornell.edu/supremecourt/text/83/36>. For those wanting more knowledge of this status of citizenship, you might read [Sui Juris, pardon me but... #5](http://mhkeehn.tripod.com/sui5juris.pdf) available at <http://mhkeehn.tripod.com/sui5juris.pdf> – or by clicking the above link.

So will a *Sovereign Citizen* get a traffic citation for traveling in his *conveyance of today* (car) without license plates, current registration or drivers-license? Maybe, even likely. Then what? Then he has to appear in court. In court he will likely mount a challenge to the jurisdiction. The proceeding, any proceeding, cannot go forth until the jurisdictional challenge is answered. The court must have jurisdiction to proceed legally. It would be wise to remember that the courts of today are entities of the STATE OF CALIFORNIA⁴ (corporate), and not California, republic of. Therefore, who is really engaging in conspiracy to overrule, wreck and destroy the Constitution for the united States of America? Hint, it is not the *sovereign citizen*.

OK, is this sovereign within the court's jurisdiction? Today's courts are a commercial entity, of the corporate STATE OF CALIFORNIA, which, in turn, is an entity of THE UNITED STATES (corporate), publically traded for profit (creating an irreconcilable conflict of interest), they are not courts of the republic of these united States of America, and do not fly the flag of the republic of these united States of America, a red, white and blue flag with no gold on the flag pole or gold fringe on the flag. To check their commercially traded status, one may go to Dun & Bradstreet on the internet. For Glenn County, one might type in Glenn County Superior Court and select

⁴ The STATE OF CALIFORNIA is not California (republic of). The corporate United States came into existence in 1871. Law was passed making it possible for the leadership of Union States to create corporate STATES. Thus, the STATE OF CALIFORNIA came into being, and since it came into existence under the laws of the corporate United States (the District of Columbia), the corporate STATE OF CALIFORNIA is an entity of that jurisdiction and also responsible for the National Debt. However, the Constitution mandates that a republican form of government be maintained in every State of this **Union**. Well, the STATE OF CALIFORNIA is not a State of this Union, but the California Republic is, and the republic of California still exists, however, the offices are vacant. And now you know why the California Division of Highways no longer exists and has been replaced by the CALIFORNIA **DEPARTMENT OF** TRANSPORTATION. Virtually all of today's Government is DEPARTMENTS, part of becoming commercial, for profit. With a search of Dun & Bradstreet, you will find the STATE OF CALIFORNIA listed as publically traded for profit. And now you know why the Federal Government wants to do away with the Post Office (an institution of the republic) and replace it with the UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE. When we have comprehension, the dots begin to connect.

California as the State. You will get back “The Judicial Counsel of California” followed by giving the address of Glenn County Superior Court. A while back when I did this search, it also said DBA (Doing Business As) Glenn County Superior Court, but that nicety is no longer listed. As we get more intelligent, they go a greater distance to keep us confused and ignorant.

Therefore, the *Sovereign Citizen* is in a commercial court, administering the bankruptcy of the United States! Was the *Sovereign Citizen* engaged in a commercial activity or exercising an *unalienable right* to travel? Commercial courts deal with *commercial laws*, and *commercial beings*, or *persons* (another commercial being). A *commercial being* is a *United States citizen*. Commercial beings *legally exist*. Is there any evidence that a *Sovereign Citizen* legally exists? Is there a registered birth certification? Is there evidence of a social security account? Is there a contract with government being held in due-course? If not, there is no evidence of legal existence, depriving the court of jurisdiction. A *Sovereign Citizen* lawfully⁵ exists, he (or she) does not legally exist.

But what happens if the court is determined to operate criminally and proceed anyway? Well, aren't we due a trial by a jury of our peers? The peers of a *Sovereign Citizen* are other *Sovereign Citizens*, not United States citizens who have citizenship in, and an obligation to, a foreign government, in a foreign-jurisdiction (Washington, District of Columbia).

And this would be true for virtually any proceeding claiming a violation of commercial law, and today, virtually all law is commercial. Commercial law is intended to ring the cash register in bankruptcy and to provide a measure of control over the economic slaves (U.S. citizens). Yes, there may be commercial laws regarding injury, but any activity resulting in an injury to another may be addressed quite adequately by the law of the republic of these United States of America. It is the laws and events in which there is no injured party that is the financial lifeblood of the current justice system.

In order to file a complaint or action against you, the individual, including police officer or other government agent, must have STANDING to do so. In order to have standing, the *complaining party* must allege the violation of *legal right*, resulting in *injury, fairly traceable to the defendant* and will *likely be redressed by a favorable ruling*. Without these elements, there is no standing, and no case. But, sometimes the courts will ignore the rule of law and proceed criminally if the defendant does not contest or dispute.

Examine the case of Joseph Salvati who was prosecuted and convicted of murder by police and prosecution who knew the man was innocent. Joseph Salvati spent **thirty-years in prison** before this criminal behavior by government agents came to light. So do not, for one minute, think that the justice system, and people in it, are not fully capable of criminal acts!

⁵ The term “lawfully” is a term of the law of the republic, the common law. The term “legally” is a term of corporate commercial law, the *uniform commercial code*. The **Banking Relief Act** is what made the uniform commercial code the dominate law form today.

The one thing that the *Sovereign Citizen*, nor any other American can predict, *is how criminal the people who populate the justice system will be*. Some have, and others will ignore their own laws to get a conviction on a commercial crime. They have been known to prosecute to conviction, knowing that the defendant was innocent and withhold, from the defense, the exculpatory evidence that would establish the defendant as innocent. It is so important that potential jurors have knowledge of these facts as well as knowledge regarding the status of the *Sovereign Citizen*. The only thing we have to protect us from criminal government is each other. Freedom, or slavery, both rest in our hands and the choices we make.

More to come so stay tuned.

CITIZENSHIP: What Likely Happens and a Little History

© May 2013

Fifth in a series

by Michael Keehn

mhkeehn@gmail.com

mhkeehn.tripod.com

This series is being presented because the FBI has been tasked by leadership, via the Department of Justice, to target the *Sovereign Citizen* as a growing *domestic threat*.

Previously, I made the point that a *Sovereign Citizen* who travels in his conveyance of the day (car) will likely get a citation for doing so from some well-intended knight (policeman) who does not know that he (or she) is simply enforcing private commercial law (actually commercial corporate rules), to which the *Sovereign Citizen* has no contractual obligation. I also made the point that the *Sovereign Citizen* will most likely mount a challenge to the jurisdiction of the court founded in the fact that there is no evidence that the *Sovereign Citizen* legally exits by virtue of the fact that there is no government issued birth certification (certificate), drivers license, nor is there a Social Security account, obligating the *sovereign individual* to corporate commercial law, by and through contract.

Here's the deal when we go to court. There is a question before the court to be answered, for example, did you *drive* without a *drivers license*, did you *operate* your *automobile* without *registration*, did you *murder* another individual? And the proceedings will answer the question. For the uninformed, the *italicized-underlined* words above are commercial terms.

Here's the rub for the court. If the court chooses to recognize that the *Sovereign Citizen* is not obligated to *commercial law* and dismisses a *Sovereign Citizen's* case on these merits, then the court will be showing those sheeple, who are awake, that the gate is open and there is a means of escape. Now, does the court want to do this? NO, because the court is first and foremost involved in the administration of the bankruptcy of the United States, not justice. Therefore, an honorable court will most likely find a way to dismiss the charges in the *interest of justice* without identifying what the *interest* is, thus blocking the sheeple from seeing that the gate is open.

At this juncture, and to avoid future embarrassment, the *Sovereign Citizen's* name may well be entered into some government computer so that the next time one of our knights (police) stop this individual, the dispatcher will be able to inform the knight that this individual is not to be detained and/or issued a citation for a non-injurious commercial violation. If government does not do this, then it opens the door for either additional embarrassment, or having to proceed criminally.

In U.S. vs. JOHNSON (76 Fed, Supp. 538), Federal District Court Judge James Alger Fee ruled that...

"The privilege against self-incrimination is neither accorded to the passive resistant, not to the person who is ignorant of his rights, nor to one who is indifferent thereto. It is a

FIGHTING clause. Its benefits can be retained only by sustained COMBAT. It cannot be claimed by attorney or solicitor. It is valid only when insisted upon by a BELLIGERENT claimant in person."

Now, being *belligerent* in court does not mean being rude or caustic. It means that you do not give in on the points and merits of your defense, which includes your *rights*, even if threatened with contempt of court. This is the *combat* being referenced by Judge Fee in the above language. You will also note that your rights (meaning especially *unalienable rights* as opposed to civil rights) cannot be claimed by your attorney. Your unalienable rights can only be claimed by you. And if you don't know what they are, you can't claim them!

Employers who embrace freedom and the principles that so many Americans died to provide for all of us including the employer, should support employees who stand up for themselves, and in so doing, stand up for the rights and freedoms of all. This means that if an employee goes to jail for contempt, defending his freedom and rights, the employer should not take punitive action against such an employee. Maybe the employer should show real support and consider giving the employee sick leave or vacation time so that he is able to take home a full pay check.

And we, the consumer public, should consider supporting such an employer with needed shopping and purchases. It is a step in retaliation against a government who has entered into a conspiracy to deprive the people of these united States of America of their birthright, freedom and liberty.

OK, I believe that completes the explanation of issues set forth in the previous column, let's take a look at a little history.

Remembering:

"We, therefore, the Representatives of the United States of America, in General Congress, Assembled, appealing to the Supreme Judge of the world for the rectitude of our intentions, do, in the name, and by authority of the good people of these Colonies..." – Declaration of Independence.

At the close of the Revolutionary War the King of England abdicated his claim to the colonies. And because of the language above, his power as the Sovereign King, source of all law and authority, devolved to the people of the colonies, who then became the sovereigns in a government of *self rule*. Our founding fathers embodied this self-governance principle in the Constitution where they set forth this language:

"No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury..." – Constitution **for** the united States of America, NOT of the United States of America.

This was we the people retaining to ourselves the power and authority to hold each other accountable. That power was NOT being conferred upon government for government to become

a tyrant, that's why government leadership needs for us to sign a contract. They need for us to contract our freedom and unalienable rights aside, and since they control the curriculum of public schools, they're pretty good at it.

After the Revolutionary War the *sovereign people* formed States followed by forming the republic of these united States of America, *a Union* of several independent nation States, a UNION, not a country, and that has been forgotten in today's public school curriculum.

There is more to come so tune in next week.

CITIZENSHIP: A Little Comprehension of Freedom

© May 2013

Sixth in a series

by Michael Keehn

mhkeehn@gmail.com

mhkeehn.tripod.com

This series is being presented because the FBI has been tasked by leadership, via the Department of Justice, to target the *Sovereign Citizen* as a growing *domestic threat*.

The first tenant of freedom is that you must be responsible for yourself. If you cannot be responsible for yourself, you cannot be free, only a slave to someone's will as it is forced upon you by virtue of your choice of servitude. We need to comprehend the difference between municipal corporation rules and law. Examples of corporation rules are the *seat belt rule*, the *child seat rule*, the *motorcycle helmet rule*, the *riding your bicycle in the skate board park rule*, the *truancy rule*, the *concealed carry rule*, the *open carry rule*, the *weapon in car rule*, the *open container rule*, etc., etc., etc.

Municipal corporation rules are generally easy to identify because there is often no injured party, in other words, no one is harmed. Some corporation rules do have an injured party, and this makes it a little confusing, because when there is an injured party, they can look like law. These corporate rules are intended to ring the cash register in bankruptcy. But there is an even better test for corporation rules. As an example...

If you cannot go into my wallet, take out a hundred dollars and give it to whomever you feel is needy, then you cannot confer such a power on government, for you do not possess it. Therefore, if government is doing this, then the action is un-constitutional law, which is to say municipal corporate rules enforced through contract, not law. Oh, they like to call their rules law, but they are not law. Neither is CODE the law. The code is the administrating agency's interpretation of the unconstitutional commercial statute (rule). It's all accomplished under contractual fraud and deception⁶.

Because the *Sovereign Citizen* has no contracts with government, it follows that he has no so-called safety net provided by government's social programs. There is no welfare, disability, food stamps, Social Security retirement, Medicare, Medical, or any other social program available to

⁶ In our republic, any power or authority claimed by government, is a power or authority that has been conferred upon government by we the people. And since we the people are all equal in the eyes of the law, none of us has any more power and authority to confer than anyone else. Therefore, we all have exactly the same power and authority to confer upon government. Thus, if an individual does not have the power and authority to compel a specific performance upon others as an individual, then he cannot confer that power or authority upon government. This is why government needs the people to sign a contract, setting aside their unalienable rights, and obligating themselves to corporation rules. And the two dominant documents in obligating the people to corporation rules are the registered Birth Certificate and the opening of a Social Security account. The Birth Certificate is registered with the Department of Commerce, generally through the Bureau of Vital Statistics. Savvy mothers do not allow either of these actions when they give birth.

the *Sovereign Citizen*. Remember, the first tenant of freedom is to be responsible for our self. If you cannot be responsible for yourself, you cannot be free, only a slave to someone's will as it is forced upon you by virtue of your choice of servitude, by and through contractual obligation. If we need a government parent to be responsible for us, we cannot be free, period. When we have a parent, the parent makes the rules.

For the *Sovereign Citizen* his safety-net is his (or her) family, friends and/or community, same as it was when the only citizenship in these united States of America was Sovereign Citizenship. It is family, friends, and community who will come to the rescue if that becomes needed. As a result, it becomes necessary for the *Sovereign Citizen* to be cautious and not injure himself and/or provide his own insurance. But again, this is the way we conducted our lives before the evolvement of social programs to wipe our bottoms for us.

Sovereign Citizenship depends on our mind-set. Do we want a life in which we have a government parent who is responsible for us, or do we want to be free and responsible for ourselves? We are either going to be dependent for help on those who love us and care for us or we are going to be dependent on government who does not care for anybody, visible by their mismanagement of this country's economy to a point where they are now severely cutting back on medical care for the elderly United States citizens.

But there are benefits to being a *Sovereign Citizen*. Because the *Sovereign Citizen* has no contractual obligations to government, he has no obligation to the payment of the national debt incurred by the corporate United States, thus, no income tax obligation whose sole purpose is perpetual income tax collection to service the growing interest on the national debt.

The total United States debt now standing at approximately 59.6 trillion dollars. Removing those over 65 years of age and those under 20 years of age, this amounts to approximately two-hundred-fifteen-thousand-five-hundred-dollars (\$215,500) per tax payer and grows larger with each passing year. But unlike the United States citizen, who is an income tax-payer, the *Sovereign Citizen* may keep all that he labors for.

So let's make a listing of some of those items to which the Sovereign Citizen has no obligation: Drivers License; Marriage License⁷; vehicle registration; any occupational license; building permit; occupy permit; building code; building inspection; well drilling permit; windmill permit; scale permit; business license for those types of businesses existing before 1868, which is almost all business (food; agriculture; textiles; fabrication; construction; repairs; accounting; bookkeeping; general or department store; equipment operator; teacher - oh, it is likely you will need a license or credential to work in a commercial government institution, like a school); gun possession/carry

⁷ The marriage license is a corporate government creation. Since a license is permission by competent authority to do what is otherwise illegal, a trespass or a tort (i.e. illegal) then marriage in the eyes of corporate government is an illegal activity. But under the laws of the republic, you may engage in a common law marriage. This may be formalized through ceremony, or may be as simple as a man and woman agreeing to be man and wife, entering such into the family bible (a lawful record), having a couple of witnesses sign the bible, and then cohabiting as man and wife. It is a marriage in the eyes of God, not the eyes of a corporation.

permits, laws, rules; and virtually all commercial law (corporation rules). The *Sovereign Citizen* is subject to the laws of the republic of these united States of America.

Additionally, the *Sovereign Citizen* has no obligation to the commercial military draft laws of the corporate United States. When government leadership made a choice to create a corporation and abandon its lawful obligation to the Constitution, which mandates that a republican form of government be maintained in all States of this union, it shot itself in the foot believing that they could control our education so well that we would never come to comprehend the fraud and deception that had been perpetrated on us or what had been done to us. And for a long time leadership was right, but now there are a lot of Americans who comprehend this fraud, accomplished under deception⁸, and are reclaiming their status. Thus, Government sees the *growing domestic threat of the Sovereign Citizen*, the original Citizen of this country.

There are so many of these corporate commercial laws (rules) to which the *Sovereign Citizen* is not obligated that it would take a library to list them all, but the list above should give the reader some idea on where to begin comprehension of the concept.

In the next column, we'll explore the contractual obligation of the United States corporate citizen and see if there might be some relief. If we can establish that this whole contractual obligation issue was accomplished under fraud and deception, well, who knows? If government continues to operate criminally, we certainly cannot continue to say, *land of the free*.

⁸ The FRAUD and DECEPTION is accomplished by a failure of *full disclosure*, which is an element of a valid contract. Government leadership has not told anyone that when they join Social Security that they would be subjecting themselves to the laws (corporation rules) of a foreign-jurisdiction. Leadership has not told anyone applying for Social Security that they are agreeing to become an *income tax payer* and assume the responsibility for the National Debt. This is why leadership maintains that *ignorance of the law is no excuse*. Well, it's not law, it's corporation rules. It's corporation rules that leadership wants to apply to everyone so that the productivity of everyone becomes an asset that leadership can tap for their benefit.

Banks control government(s) through debt. It is the intent of the banks to make everyone, whether they be nations or individuals, SLAVES TO DEBT!

CITIZENSHIP: The United States Citizen

© May 2013

Seventh in a series

by Michael Keehn

mhkeehn@gmail.com

mhkeehn.tripod.com

This series is being presented because the FBI has been tasked by leadership, via the Department of Justice, to target the *Sovereign Citizen* as a growing *domestic threat*.

But what about the United States citizen who entered into contracts with government. It is difficult to come to grips with the fact that we contract for our own abuse by government, but it is excusable since government leadership controls our curriculum in public schools. In public school we learn nothing about the enslaving contracts government offers us.

Well, there are some elements to a valid and binding contract. 1) Offer by a person qualified to make the contract [remembering that a corporation is a person]; 2) Acceptance by party qualified to make and accept contracts; 3) Bargain or agreement, and *full disclosure* with complete understanding by both parties; 4) Consideration exchanged; 5) Must have the element of time to make the contract lawful; 6) Both parties must be sui-juris; that is, of lawful age, usually 21 years old. For confirmation of the preceding, one may look in the book *Law of Contracts* by John Calamari and Joseph Perillo and find these six crucial elements for a valid Contract.

Let's take item #3, *full disclosure*, for this is the heart of the *fraud and deception*.

Was it made clear that Social Security participation is a contract with a private insurance agency, or did you believe this was a de-jure government program? While attending the government's public schools, were you taught to read and comprehend contracts? Did the word "contract" appear on the Social Security application?

Was the Citizen informed that he contractually obligated himself to a jurisdiction foreign to the republic of these United States of America when he joined Social Security? Is the citizen informed that he would be obligating himself to a body of private corporate commercial law foreign to the republic of these united States of America? Was he informed that he would be contracting his unalienable rights aside, leaving him with only *civil rights*, which are created by law, regulated by law, taken away by law (this is why the fourteenth amendment to the constitution seems to parrot some of the fourth, fifth and sixth amendments of the Bill of Rights, it's for the new class of citizen government leadership has created)? Was the Citizen informed that he would be changing his status of citizenship? If not, the alleged contract was accomplished under fraud by virtue of deception. Leadership knows we cannot be free and stupid at the same time, and leadership makes certain we remain ignorant and stupid.

Item #4, *consideration given*: The contract must also be conscionable which means there must be an exchange of approximate equal value. Was the United States citizen informed of his benefits

certain and his obligation *certain*, including his obligation for paying on the national debt which leadership makes larger with each and every passing year, making this debt impossible to pay by virtue of never paying the principle down? Was it made clear that this obligation to the payment of the national debt is the equivalent of economic-enslavement, remembering that *slavery* by itself is illegal, let alone *slavery* by fraud and deception? If not, would we conclude that there is likely some fraud and deception in this contractual arrangement? Which would nullify the contract.

Was his parent's acquisition of a registered birth certification, which would make their child a United States citizen and obligate him (or her) to a mountain of corporate commercial law and the National Debt, done with full disclosure or were the parents intentionally left uninformed? Has the United States citizen been erroneously told by uninformed and ignorant employers that he couldn't work for them without a Social Security number (actually a slave's Income Tax Identification)? Did the Department of Education's control over public-school-curriculum prevent education of these matters? Has government leadership failed to provide any comprehensive, specific and easily accessed on-line documentation regarding this subject, or has it been left buried in a mountain of laws that would take years to read? If so, there might be fraud and deception.

Unfortunately, today's administration of justice often breaks their own laws and rules, knowing they are breaking them, and proceeds against the individual anyway, knowing they are not administering justice but are instead administering the bankruptcy of the United States by unconstitutionally acquiring funds and monies from the people. For the United States citizen, being subjected to un-constitutional law and treated unjustly is part of being an economic slave.

Is it possible, even likely, that after the civil war, the *victorious Northern States*, via their control of Congress, forced passage of the "*Reconstruction Act*" to create Federal territories & Military Districts to run through the United States and thereby forced the Union States to create corporate States like the STATE OF CALIFORNIA, or STATE OF YOUNAMEIT, which are Federal citizens under the Fourteenth Amendment?

Would this also allow the creation of *federal zones* like CA instead of Calif., or AZ instead of Ariz., or WA instead of Wash.? Would that allow the creation of numerically identified federal zones such as 95988 (Willows), 95926 (Chico), 96021 (Corning), 95939 (Elk Creek), 95943 (Glenn), 95920 (Butte City), 95963 (Orland) ostensibly set forth to help with postal deliveries?

ZIP CODE. ZIP = Zone Improvement Plan, more specifically, *FEDERAL ZONE* Improvement Plan. This places all the two-letter States, and five-digit numeric identities and five-digit-plus-four addresses under Federal domination. All of this was accomplished by and through one gigantic conspiracy under the umbrella of fraud and deception, with the mainstream media doing its absolute best to hide the deceptively criminal activity. Could this be the reason that leadership wants to do away with the Post Office (an institution of the republic) and replace it with the United States Postal Service (a commercial corporate institution)?

As we are now aware, the Department of Justice only wants to discuss the *conspiracy* of others as

though there is no conspiracy to economically enslave the people of the United States, as though no conspiracy to subvert and destroy the Organic Constitution exists in the leadership of the Federal government.

And this is why citizenship status is so important and yet, not taught in public schools, which we must remember are corporate government institutions. It all hinges on government leadership making every business, every corporation, every individual, **A FEDERAL PERSON**, or if you prefer, **A FEDERAL CITIZEN** under the Fourteenth Amendment, subject to the will of leadership who is, in turn, controlled by the people who hold the national debt (the creditor, otherwise known as Bankers), and has made us an enemy of the United States (Banking Relief Act) and a slave by and through economic obligation to the payment of the debt they've created, and all of this obligation accomplished by contract. The Federal Government has no authority over a *man or woman of the land who bleeds*⁹. Leadership claims authority over *legal-fictions* known as United States citizens. They keep us ignorant by keeping our teachers ignorant. If teachers actually comprehended what's been done they might start teaching. One would hope so.

It is this governmental conspiracy which is making it impossible for farmers, for irrigation districts, for hospitals and medical providers, for canal authorities, for truckers, for education, for retail, for tractor companies, for manufacturing, for restaurants, to survive. Our economy and prosperity is going south as this governmental conspiracy has engineered, and I doubt that anyone is exempt.

⁹ Man or woman who bleeds. Why is this important? It is important because a United States citizen is viewed as a *legal fiction* by government. And a *legal-fiction* does not bleed. If you bleed, this would establish you as a *flesh and blood human being*, a man or woman of the land. This is why many *sovereign citizens* place a bloody right thumb print on any document they sign. They are making certain they cannot be identified as a legal fiction by any government agent or agency. Many *sovereign citizens* sign their names John-Quincy: Adams, using appropriate uppercase and lowercase lettering, placing a hyphen between their Christian name and a colon before their Surname. And more and more, are printing their name instead of signing in cursive. The Surname is owned by the family, not the individual, thus the colon. The hyphen identifies the name of the individual, in this case, John-Quincy. This form, along with a right thumb print in blood, identifies the individual as a *man or woman of the land*, and not a legal-fiction.

CITIZENSHIP: Some Thoughts and Ideas

© May 2013

Eighth in a series

by Michael Keehn

mhkeehn@gmail.com

mhkeehn.tripod.com

This series is being presented because the FBI has been tasked by leadership, via the Department of Justice, to target the *Sovereign Citizen* as a growing *domestic threat*.

“The distinction between state citizenship and national citizenship is recognized and perpetuated in the Fourteenth Amendment and cases adjudicated thereunder. Thus, Justice Miller speaking for the Supreme Court in the Slaughter-House Cases, says: ‘Not only may a man be a citizen of the United States without being a citizen of a state, but an important element is necessary to convert the former to the latter. He must reside within the state to make him a citizen of it, but it is only necessary that he should be born or naturalized in the United States to be a citizen of the Union.’ ” – MODERN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - The Individual and the Government; Chester J. Antieau; p. 652

The problem in dealing with a criminal government rests, to a great extent, in those within government who know they are operating criminally and do not care. They don’t care because they know the government is going to protect their criminal activity and behavior. We saw this in the murder of Vicki Weaver by a government agent. Vicki Weaver had harmed no one, was not armed, and was holding her ten-month old baby when Lon Horiuchi, an FBI sniper, shot Vicki in the face with a sniper’s rifle and killed her. He would later be promoted, not arrested and convicted. And the truth is that this behavior, on many different levels, goes on all the time in the sphere of Government.

Let us do some *outside the box thinking* and see if we might come up with ideas how we can deal with government when it is compelling performance on un-constitutional law, in other words, when it is acting criminally. Let us begin with the case of a fellow I know who lives in a Northern California community. The CITY OF YOUNAMEIT notified this individual, let’s call him Sam (not his real name), he had an obligation to prune the trees along the street. Well, there are a number of problems with this right out of the starting-gate. Sam responded to the CITY noting these facts.

First, Sam pays considerable taxes to the CITY OF YOUNAMEIT for all kinds of road and street maintenance. Second, the CITY OF YOUNAMEIT is the owner of these trees along the street as is the case in most communities. In such communities, you cannot cut down nor remove these trees without permission from the CITY OF YOUNAMEIT. Since the CITY requires these trees to be planted and owns the trees, they are the one’s responsible for them. Thirdly, Sam was willing to maintain the trees for the CITY, but he wanted to be paid for his maintenance activities. Fourth, Sam wanted the CITY to provide medical and disability insurance on him should he be

injured maintaining CITY trees. Fifth, he also wanted a life insurance policy making his wife the beneficiary should he be killed in this maintenance activity. Sam never heard another word from the CITY OF YOUNAMEIT.

Another man, we'll call him Jake, was notified by the CITY OF YOUNAMEIT that he had an obligation to replace the un-even concrete sidewalk on his property. Jake's property had trees planted along the street as required by the CITY OF YOUNAMEIT, and the sidewalk was on the inside or house-side of the trees. First, the faulty sidewalk construction was approved by the CITY OF YOUNAMEIT when installed.

Second, it was the trees, with their root growth, that was the cause of the current sidewalk condition and the CITY OF YOUNAMEIT owns those trees.

Third, Jake has been watering the CITY'S trees for many years now and should submit a bill to the CITY OF YOUNAMEIT as owner of those trees, for the water and costs involved in their watering.

Fourth, there is a Supreme Court Decision, *United States v. Clearfield Trust*, 318 U.S. 363, in which the Supreme Court set forth that when government enters into commerce with its citizens, it loses its sovereignty status and takes on the character of a *private corporation*, becoming no different than a *mere private person*. What this means to the average Joe is that if government is going to compel a *specific performance* (like replacing a sidewalk), then that government, like any private corporation or private person, *must be the holder in-due-course of a contract or commercial agreement, signed by one upon whom performance is-being compelled, and must be willing to enter said-contract into evidence in court in order to enforce their demands in court*. Jake never heard from the CITY again.

What these two examples show is that government leadership knows they are playing a game of criminal poker, and their hand has just been called. So, before it becomes real-expensive, they fold and throw in their hand of cards. However, because we were educated in public schools it's a poker game they usually win because we the sheeple don't know how to think, how to write, or how do defend ourselves, and so we generally lose the poker hand to the unconstitutional law being applied to us. If a matter is important enough for the government to prosecute, it should be important enough for you to defend.

And we have an example of this right here in Glenn County. Dale Roy Bogart, who we know as "Doc" Bogart, is the owner of Orland Sand & Gravel in Orland. Doc was attacked by the County Planning Department, headed up by one Dan Obermeyer. Doc managed to prevail in an administrative hearing of the County Planning Commission.

But, government, criminal that it is, doesn't like defeat, and Doc was soon attacked by California Fish & Game under Fish & Game law. He had twelve-misdemeanor counts filed against both the corporation and himself, each carrying a possible one year in the county jail for Doc and a huge fine for the corporation.

The charges were all the same, differing only by time and date. It was as though Doc was robbing a bank and had to make twelve trips in, and out, of the bank to haul out the loot, and the government charged him with twelve bank-robberies. What a bunch of dishonorable, unethical, criminal behavior, otherwise known as *bull-shot*.

But, to the government's chagrin, Doc chose to defend. While it is law that the corporation be represented by an attorney, Doc chose to defend him self and not rely on an attorney. In a jury trial, the jury found eight to four in favor of acquittal. A mistrial was declared.

The government, spending our money, is never defeated, and so they filed again. This time there were seventeen misdemeanor charges filed against Doc and the corporation (Orland Sand & Gravel). The first twelve were a repeat of the first trial, alleging that Stony Creek was entered without a valid streambed alteration agreement with Fish & Game, and five more charges were added, alleging that Doc had a valid streambed alteration agreement with Fish & Game, but violated the agreement. Figure that one out!

It turns out that Orland Sand & Gravel owns the property where the gravel removal took place. Therefore, Doc was moving his own gravel around on his own property. This is an activity that has been going on at Orland Sand & Gravel since at least 1925. In Doc's mind, this was a *property rights issue*, therefore, he was not only standing up for himself, he was standing up for every property owner in the County.

So do not think that government, when it is operating unconstitutionally which it to say criminally, cannot be successfully confronted. All it takes is a little backbone and a little effort. "The courtroom is the battlefield. The Judge is your enemy and your attorney is an enemy spy" – Michael Brown. The courtroom is a stage, and everyone is an actor. It is good to remember this if defending one's self.

CITIZENSHIP: Analyzing A Look at the Government's Side

© May 2013

Ninth in a series

by Michael Keehn

mhkeehn@gmail.com

mhkeehn.tripod.com

This series is being presented because the FBI has been tasked by leadership, via the Department of Justice, to target the *Sovereign Citizen* as a growing *domestic threat*.

Now that we comprehend that obligation to corporate commercial law is by virtue of contract, and that the *Sovereign Citizen* has no contracts with government to obligate him to such laws (actually corporation rules) as *income tax, permits, licenses*, etc., we can take a more informed look at the government's position.

“Sovereign Citizens can be an investigative challenge — much of their personal identification information, such as birth certificates, driver's licenses, or vehicle tags are fraudulent documents” – 10 tips and tactics for investigating Sovereign Citizens

A true *Sovereign Citizen* does not have a government issued birth certification, nor drivers license, nor vehicle registration along with *tax identification numbers* that we think of as *license plates*. They *travel* (not drive) as a matter of unalienable right in the *conveyance of the day* whether that *conveyance* be by foot, by horse, by ox, by wagon, by buggy, by carriage, or by car (which is a carriage). As a *sovereign*, no different that the *sovereignty* held by the King of England before conferring his *sovereignty* on the people of these united States of America, the people have the same prerogative as the King formerly did. Only a person who is not *sovereign* would present any fraudulent documentation (Chief say: *Probably a bad idea*). Be it known that the family bible, from the beginning of this country, is a lawful record of births, deaths and marriages.

“Law enforcement officers across the country are experiencing a growing number of contacts with Sovereign Citizens — individuals and groups who possess a strong anti-government ideology.” – 10 tips and tactics for investigating Sovereign Citizens

Sovereign Citizens are not anti-government, they are anti-criminal-government, as we should all be. There is a difference.

“If someone challenges... their ideology, the behavior of these sovereign-citizen extremists quickly can escalate to violence.” – *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin*, Sovereign Citizens - A Growing Domestic Threat to Law Enforcement

Let us reflect on this from the *Sovereign Citizen's* point of view. How many times have we seen police become violent when *their ideology* is questioned? We have seen peaceful people pushed to the ground and violently beaten to the ground by *law enforcement*. We have seen many police

become violent when their *ideology* and *behavior* is questioned. Do we need to discuss the 80-plus people who were murdered by *law enforcement* at Waco, Texas, twenty-two of which were children? Do we need to discuss the murder of 14-year-old Sammy Weaver and his mother, Vicki Weaver, two people who had harmed no one, and a family who relocated to a remote region of Idaho to live a peaceful life?

Do we need to discuss Derek Hale?

“The practice of police ‘accountability’ generally consists of using money stolen at gunpoint to buy off victims and survivors of officially sanctioned criminal violence. Few better examples can be found than the \$975,000 settlement paid by the City of Wilmington, Delaware, to Elaine Hale, whose husband Derek was murdered by Wilmington Police on November 6, 2006.” – Coda to a Killing: No Justice for Derek Hale by William Norman Grigg.

Derek Hale was *house-sitting* for a friend when he was deliberately (not mistakenly) attacked by Wilmington police, tased with two or more tasers, which incapacitated Derek, and when he was not able to get his hand out of his sweatshirt pocket due to the high-voltage electric shocks being delivered by the Tasers, a *Detective Brown* stepped forward and shot Derek, who was flopping around on the front porch like a fish out of water. It is because government has become publically traded for profit¹⁰ that no criminal justice is available to victims like Derek Hale and his family.

Clearly and by virtue of agreeing to a \$975,000 settlement, leadership knew that this killing was not justified and most likely murder, otherwise they would not have been willing to settle for nearly a million bucks. It is seldom that peaceful people, going about the business of life and not harming anybody, are the root cause of violence. All too often it is those responsible for enforcing corporate commercial unconstitutional law who resort to violence.

“Since 2000, lone-offender sovereign-citizen extremists have killed six law enforcement officers.” – *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin*; Sovereign Citizens - A Growing Domestic Threat to Law Enforcement

And how many Americans have been murdered by *Law Enforcement* or died while in detention

¹⁰ With the passage of the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933, the door opened for Government to become *publically traded for profit*. Dun & Bradstreet, an online service listing entities which are *publically traded for profit*, may be searched for any Government Office, Agency, Department or Bureau, and it will be revealed that the whole of Government is *publically traded for profit*. However, there may be Offices that do not appear to be listed, for example a Sheriff's Office. However, it should be remembered that the *Office of Sheriff* is under the County of YOUNAMEIT, and the county will be listed, so do not be misled. This creates a conflict of interest. Take the Superior Court of the County of YOUNAMEIT. The Court has a fiduciary obligation to the administration of justice, however they also have an obligation to an investor. Thus, an irreconcilable conflict of interest is created between an obligation to the administration of justice and an obligation to the creditor, and the obligation to the creditor generally trumps the obligation to the administration of justice. This is why prosecutors have knowingly prosecuted people they knew to be innocent of murder with the knowing assistance of police and FBI. This is why convictions are packaged and sold on a securities and exchange!

after being arrested, who were not a threat and had harmed no one? Well, the statistics on this question are virtually impossible to find. For one, there is no comprehensive private service counting the people killed unjustly by police, nor is there any trustworthy government accounting. But there is also the problem that neither police, nor any other government agency police the police. In other words, if a policeman's partner unjustly shoots a United States citizen, they both lie or concoct a story to justify the shooting, and it is almost never challenged by any official investigator or individual who might be prosecuting.

I watched several of the on-line videos of the Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) cop shooting Oscar Grant, who was unarmed and face down on the floor when shot in the back. What I did not see was any officer present disarming the murderer and arresting him on the spot. If the shooter had been anyone other than a policeman, all police who witnessed the shooting would clearly see that a murder had just taken place and acted appropriately.

Alleged without confirmation: For those of us that remember the *stand-off between government and the Montana Freeman*, it has been alleged that citizens observed, one dark night, two large *Howitzer canons* being moved into a gravel quarry, placing the canons below ground level to reduce noise of their firing. It is further alleged that a 5-mile radius around the canons was evacuated. The idea was evidently to shoot an artillery shell eighteen-miles, into the Freeman's location, killing them and then claim they blew themselves up making bombs. As I said, I've not been able to confirm this allegation but I don't disbelieve it given government's behavior going back to the Gulf of Tonkin lie, which escalated the Vietnam War, resulting in the deaths of four-million Asians and 56,000 Americans. It appears to me that we will kill Arabs, we will kill Asians, we will Kill Americans, we will kill anyone who doesn't want to accept leadership's plans for New World Order / One World Government.

The *Sovereign Citizen* has his reality base in the history and laws of this country before it became an un-constitutional, law breaking police state. And the government has its reality based in the agenda it currently has to control, dominate, and subject everyone in the United States, and by all appearances, everyone in the world. The difference is that the *Sovereign Citizen* is perfectly willing to live his reality, go about the business of life and not engage in harming anyone.

With Government it is the exact opposite. Government is determined to dominate the population of the United States with their corporate commercial unconstitutional laws using whatever force and violence necessary to accomplish this goal.

In the end, all we have to protect ourselves from such a government is each other. And that protection begins with acquiring knowledge that can be used when sitting on a jury. When we sit on a jury, we need to ask ourselves, *who has been injured?* Remembering that government, as a legal-fiction, does not qualify for injury.