

THE CORE PROBLEM

by Michael H. Keehn
an *Outside the Box* educational document
February 10, 2012 – Updated June 25, 2012

Note: [Blue links](#) take the reader to an internet site.

As is often the case in my writings, this information is not generally in the mainstream, nor is it the *conventional wisdom*. This information is intended to provide an opportunity to think, analyze, and do independent research. Before beginning an examination of *the core problem* I have thought it prudent to take a brief look at the concept of *Authoritarianism*.

Authoritarians:

Authoritarians who read *The Core Problem* may well feel threatened because it will require *individual analysis, independent thinking, and individual responsibility*. For those authoritarians, it will not be the *conventional wisdom* that comes from *their authority*, whoever that may be. An authoritarian, who has openly committed himself, or herself, to another person's point of view, may possibly find their selves a bit embarrassed. I suspect that the hard-core authoritarian will discontinue reading this document as soon as he (or she) is able to see where it's going. FREEDOM is not a place for an individual who cannot, or will not, think for their self, who cannot, or will not take responsibility for their self. In short, this construction is not for the individual who needs the government to be their parent.

Those who are not authoritarians, yet, have believed the conventional wisdom by public school, mainstream misleadia and government, may find something new and enlightening in *The Core Problem* to consider, who knows? This information is for the individual who is not intellectually *locked up* by the adoption of a value system that allows someone else to do their thinking for them, as is the case of the authoritarian. This construction is for the free and independent thinker, and this country certainly needs more of them. And should some authoritarians begin thinking and analyzing along the way, so much the better. And so we begin.

As we begin, allow me to take the time to make the point that it is imperative that we comprehend the path we have traveled, as a people, to get to the stage as it is set today. Understanding our path and past events allows us to see *the core problem* clearly, and perhaps provides the means to better solve our problem(s) from a perspective of elevated comprehension. The path, as I found it, is very interesting.

The Path Begins:

Simply put, *THE CORE PROBLEM* is the national debt. Some Americans think that we owe this debt to ourselves. We do not! It is owed to a cartel of private individuals through the banks they own. The privately owned Federal Reserve Bank is central to this issue here in the United States, and in a global sense, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and World Bank are included. Now, the *case* I make may be total fantasy. If you think so, feel free to do your own research, you might be surprised at what additional information you will uncover.

This construction will travel many avenues of history, yet they all relate to *the core problem*, the national debt. I believe all the information presented in this series to be crucial to comprehending all problems we face in the United States today.

Exploring a little history, the Declaration of Independence was written when we were still, legally, under English rule. Therefore, does it have any standing at law? Since we intend to use this document in legal settings, it might be important to know the answer to this question. Yet, our public school system has never informed us on this matter, at least they never informed me. Give it some thought before continuing.

If you answered “yes, the Declaration of Independence does have standing in law”, you are correct. But there is an even more important question, “*how did it gain standing?*” Again, think about it for a minute before continuing.

The answer to how the declaration of Independence gained standing in law is simple, “*UNDER A TEST OF ARMS.*” We Americans said it, then we picked up our guns and we made it stick. Thus was born the republic of these united States of America and the freedom that republic brought with it. And it is for this reason that the “right to bear arms” is in the “Bill of Rights.” This *right* really has nothing to do with hunting for food, or shooting targets as recreation.

And while we are discussing the “Bill of Rights” a little history may be interesting. Although the “Bill of Rights” are actually *Amendments* to the Constitution, they are considered part of the *Organic Constitution* while all amendments after the tenth are not. This is because several states withheld ratification of the Constitution contingent upon the proposal, debate and adoption of the *Bill of Rights*. Twelve amendments were proposed, ten of them were ratified, and then the Constitution became ratified.

However, the *Bill of Rights* was preceded by a preamble of three paragraphs which I’ve yet to see in a public school text book. The first paragraph is of particular interest and is presented here for your consideration.

“The Conventions of a number of the States having at the time of their adopting the Constitution, expressed a desire, in order to prevent misconstruction or abuse of its powers, that further declaratory and restrictive clauses should be added: And as extending

the ground of public confidence in the Government, will best insure the beneficent ends of its institution.”

“...in order to prevent misconstruction or abuse of its powers.” Clearly, the men considering the adoption of the Constitution do not trust the honor of those who comprise government leadership, or who may comprise government leadership in the future, and are taking the steps they feel is necessary to chain that leadership to the *law of the land* (the constitution) and prevent the exercise of uncontrolled federal police power throughout the Union of the republic of these united States of America.

And if you're new to my writings, the lowercase “u” on “united” is not an accident or oversight, it is intentional and proper English in order to identify the republic from the corporate United States. The term “united” is an adjective as used above, and in identifying the corporate United States, it is part of the name and as such, is capitalized. We will learn more about this as we progress.

The Declaration of Independence is most likely the first document to have lawful standing because of gaining standing under a test of arms.

Since the word “republic” was used above, and yet our public schools and mainstream misleadia constantly refer to our system of government as a Democracy, perhaps it is worth our time to know the difference. Reading from the Constitution:

“The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a republican form of government...” – Constitution of these united States of America

As we can see, the Constitution *mandates* that a republican form of government be maintained in each state of the Union. It does not give Congress any latitude in this matter. Do we think that since this is MANDATED it might be important? Well, to know this answer, we need to know the difference between a republic and a democracy. My bet is that almost none of us know the difference, thank you public school system.

In short, “democracy” is majority rule. It is mob rule. It is two wolves and one sheep sitting down to discuss what's for dinner, and the sheep loses every time. Democracy gives the majority control over the minority, which is to say, control of your life and your property. That's democracy.

In a republic, any power or authority claimed by government is conferred upon that government by the people, individually. And since, in this republic, we are all created equal in the eyes of the law, none of us has anymore power or *authority* to confer upon government than anybody else.

Therefore, if I do not have the authority to go into your wallet, take out a hundred dollars, and give it to whomever I feel is needy, then I cannot confer that authority upon government. If government is doing this, it is doing so by contract or by fraud and deception, and that will

eventually be explored. Therefore, in a republic, the sheep, as a minority, is not a loser because the wolf majority does not have the *authority* to make the sheep their dinner. The lives and property of the minority is safe from the majority in a republic, including the hundred bucks in your wallet. And therein lays the most defining quality of a republic in contrast to a democracy.

The question that may arise here is, *OK, if this is true, then how does government do all the things that fly right in the face of this asserted truism?* All things in time, this question will eventually be answered.

The Country Starts in Debt:

These united States of America began in debt from the Revolutionary War. It should be remembered that the states were *independent nations* and that the constitution **did not** create a country, it formed a Union, a Union of *several independent nation states*. After the *War of Independence* our creditors were hounding us for payment and we needed to pay them off, therefore we began shopping for someone to pay our creditors, become our sole creditor and give us the necessary time to pay our debt.

And unbelievably, England, the very country we had just warred with, became our creditor, and paid off all the other creditors. However, the loan to pay our debts required collateral. The collateral for the loan was all the property owned by the Federal Government, the territories and especially Washington, District of Columbia, (the *District* as the constitution refers to it). What makes this *unbelievable* is not that England offered, it is that we accepted the offer. England was smart in making this loan, they were betting we wouldn't pay, and they would eventually become the owners of this country and its central government.

Let's talk a moment about *The District*. *The District* [of Columbia] is a special case for a number of reasons, but chief among these is that they control our school curriculum through the *Department of Education*, and this department makes certain we know very little about *the District*, and its true status. *The District* is a jurisdiction onto itself. It is not inside the republic of these united States of America, it is outside. It is not inclusive, it is exclusive. It is a foreign jurisdiction to the republic.

The Constitution gave congress *exclusive legislative authority* over this jurisdiction. This gave congress a dual character. In one character, Congress can make constitutional laws for these united States of America, and in the other character, they can make *any law* they wish, constitutional or un-constitutional, for *the District*. *The District* would include anything owned by the Federal Government. Guam, Wake, Virgin Islands, Puerto Rico, for example. Or any place subject to its jurisdiction.

So England became our creditor, and the collateral was all the property owned by the Federal Government. A stipulation of the loan was the creation of a central bank to hold the titles to the

collateral. Therefore, the First National Bank of America was chartered by congress for twenty years in 1791. The bank was eighty percent English owned, and 20% American owned.

The property titles were placed in the Bank for safe keeping. In not too many years, the bank was one-hundred-percent English owned. But in 1811 the twenty-year charter was up, and Congress did not renew the charter, and the Bank closed. Needless to say, this made England very unhappy, and they sent military forces over to America to register their unhappiness. Thus, the United States entered into another war with England, the War of 1812. For those educated in public schools, this should now demonstrate exactly how serious a “national debt” is in the scheme of things.

The English invaded Washington, D.C., burned down the white house, burned down the President’s personal house, entered the Federal Courts, found their titles to the collateral property, and took possession of those titles. Congress evidently decided it would be a good idea to renew the bank charter, and did. The bank reopened, and the titles were again placed in the bank. The English were then happy and went home.

Time went on, and again, the time was approaching when it would be necessary to renew the charter on the bank holding the collateral titles since the leadership, we Americans had elected, had not yet paid off the debt. The English, having been to the rodeo once with the dishonorable behavior of the leadership of the United States, began an early push to renew the bank charter. Andrew Jackson was President, and he pressured the states to cough up the money to pay the debt. He was successful, and the United States, for the first and only time, was debt free.

But as time went out there evolved some dissent in Congress. The southern States felt they were being railroaded by the northern States in Congress. To make matters worse, England, our former creditor, sent in its agents and provocateurs to stir up trouble, distrust and dissension, and they were successful. Eventually the Southern Delegates walked out of Congress.

This is where things begin to get really interesting. When the southern delegates walked out of Congress, there was no longer a quorum to conduct business. Under the parliamentary law of Congress, the only vote they could take, was to set a date to reconvene. They didn’t do this, which means they adjourned “sine die.” “Sine die” means “without day”. With no date set to reconvene a session of Congress, that legislative body legally ceased to exist. And Congress is the only body in the United States that can legally declare war.

Therefore, when Abraham Lincoln declared war against the Southern States, he did so illegally. Technically the United States ceased to exist when no date was set to reconvene Congress and that legislative body dissolved. Since the constitution of these united States of America establishes three branches of government, and one branch just dissolved, the other branches were then without the legislative controls to continue their roles and Lincoln’s Presidency ceased to exist as well. And even if it had not, he still had no legal authority to declare war, he could only do so criminally.

Lincoln issues the first Executive Order, which is in form, legislation with force of law. This is an action not supported by the Constitution. This first Executive Order forced those delegates of Congress available back into session under the bayonet or, if your prefer, the barrel of a rifle. Therefore, Congress was not setting as a properly constituted body.

On September 15, 1863, President Lincoln imposed *Congressionally*-authorized martial law, by a congress not properly constituted and setting in session under a bayonet. Therefore, President Lincoln, holding a rifle barrel to the heads of Congress not constituted, coerced Congress into passing a measure to give Lincoln the power and authority he wanted. An illegal power and authority, acquired under threat of violence and coercion.

Because of the public school system, most people of today believe the Civil War was about the issue of slavery, and nothing could be further from the truth. It was a war about States Rights, and when the north won the war, the northern States lost their rights along with the southern States. Ignorance does have a price.

And again, because of public education, most of us believe Lincoln issued the Emancipation Proclamation to free the slaves, and that is misleading because it is false. Lincoln's Emancipation Proclamation targeted only southern States where he had no authority and did not include northern States, which also had slavery where he possibly did have authority. The Emancipation Proclamation was strictly a measure to stir up trouble in the south to support the war effort. Lincoln had no compassion for the slave, he was only using them to win the war he had declared on the southern States.

So, the Civil War was fought and the South lost. I believe that Lincoln may have intended to restore the republic to its former status, but was assassinated before he could do so. Therefore, we will never know.

Lincoln Assassinated:

As matters would have it, during his Presidency, Lincoln needed money to carry on the war with the southern States. He applied for a loan with the international bankers, and they wanted 24 to 36 percent-interest.

Let us remember that those international bankers who finance war have no allegiance to either side, they only have allegiance to their own goals. To the banker financing a war, the true value of a conflict is in the debt it creates. This is why the international bankers endeavor to create conflict, and then finance both sides. Then it doesn't matter who wins the war, the banker always wins through the debt owed by both countries or all countries engaged in the war. If the debtor doesn't pay, the banker takes possession of their natural resources and through taxes, their productivity (labor). The people of the country become economic slaves to the payment of the debt through *income* and other taxes, leadership places the people in a trap from which they

cannot escape. Sound familiar?

Outraged at the interest rates, Lincoln declined to accept. Instead, Lincoln asked Congress, who was no longer a properly constituted legislative body, to authorize the issuance of full legal tender *treasury notes*. Congress complied and the notes were issued, later to become known as “Lincoln Green Backs.” This, for a time, deprived the international bankers of the stranglehold they wanted on the United States. [Lincoln Greenbacks web-link](#)

Getting off on a *sidetrack* for just a moment, it’s probably worth noting that President John F. Kennedy did essentially the same thing as Lincoln and issued an executive order to begin the printing of non-interest bearing *Treasury Notes* as a replacement for the interest bearing Federal Reserve Note (the source of our debt). President Kennedy was assassinated ten days later. Despite all the baloney fed to the American people to lead them to believe that lone assassin Lee Harvey Oswald was behind this event, do not think that the real cause and source of this assassination has escaped the notice of any and all Presidents and Congressional members since. [Kennedy & the issuance of Treasury Notes](#)

Five days after Robert E. Lee (Confederate States) surrendered to General Grant (Union Army), President Lincoln was assassinated in Ford Theater by an actor, John Wilkes Booth. Booth shot Lincoln in the back of the head. When Booth's diary was recovered by *Stanton's troops*, it was delivered to Stanton. Stanton was *Secretary of War* and would much later be revealed of one of the *assassination conspirators*. When Booth’s diary was later produced during the investigation, eighteen pages had been ripped out. These pages, containing names, would be later found in the attic of one of Stanton's descendants.

From Booth's trunk, a coded message was found shortly after the assassination that linked him directly to Judah P. Benjamin, the Civil War campaign manager in the South for the House of Rothschild (an international banker). When the war ended, the key to the code was found in Benjamin's possession. Therefore, there is a direct assassination link to the Rothschild Banking Dynasty, one of the owner’s of the present day Federal Reserve Bank. The plot thickens.

So Lincoln was assassinated before he could terminate the martial-law he had declared. And neither has any President of the United States since that time. Therefore, Congress is still setting as a legislative body not properly constituted, under Lincoln’s illegally declared martial-law and the bayonet (or rifle) that supports it. This, unfortunately, is working to the benefit of the creditor of the United States (the elite bankers).

The national debt that came out of the Civil War was due and payable in 1871. Lincoln could pay internal debts, for example, pay the Northern Troops, with Lincoln Greenbacks, but the international suppliers of war materials want gold and silver. In 1871, the leadership Americans had elected failed to pay the debt, and we defaulted. In order to acquire a loan, to purchase war materials, there had to be collateral. England, our creditor, then collected the collateral, which was all the property owned by the Federal Government, including Washington, *District of Columbia*. It was all done very quietly so as to not upset the sheeple.

England, now the new sovereign of *the District* [of Columbia], forced incorporation on *the District* [16 United States Statutes at Large 419, FORTY FIRST CONGRESS SESSION III, CHAPTER 62, 1871, CHAP. LXII]. Thus was born a new, privately owned British corporation, it was named “THE UNITED STATES.” Yes, the word “The” is included in the name, a name that is intended to trick us, all of us, especially the *code enforcers* throughout the country.

A word about the *constitution* and the above Act. The above Act is titled, “*An act to provide a Government for the District of Columbia.*” As stated, it is a corporate government, which is not authorized by the *organic Constitution*. What is authorized by the constitution is this:

The Congress shall have power to... exercise exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever, over such district (not exceeding ten miles square) as may, by cession of particular States, and the acceptance of Congress, become the seat of the Government of the United States, and to exercise like authority over all places purchased by the consent of the legislature of the State in which the same shall be, for the erection of forts, magazines, arsenals, dockyards, and other needful Buildings” – United States Constitution, Article I, Clause 18

So Congress was given *exclusive legislative authority* over *the District of Columbia*. Since Congress was granted *exclusive legislative authority* over this jurisdiction, it would appear that they can make any law they want in this jurisdiction, without regard as to whether or not such law is constitutional in nature.

I italicize and bold *the District* because this is how the Constitution refers to this jurisdiction. A jurisdiction outside the republic of these united States of America.

My research finds that the corporate government created for *the District* is a British owned corporation, incorporated for commercial purposes, and should not be confused with the *republic of these united States of America*. They are completely separate and distinct from one-another. And it is the job of the *code enforcers* to enforce *corporate, commercial laws* for this foreign owned corporation, and we will learn more about this as this document unfolds.

As matters now stand, there are three *United States* defined in law, a fact not generally taught by the public school systems. One might wonder why, it does seem like it might be important.

“United States. This term has several meanings. It may be merely the name of a sovereign occupying the position analogous to that of other sovereigns in a family of nations, it may designate territory over which sovereignty of United States extends, or it may be collective name of the states which are united by and under the Constitution. *Hooven & Allison Co. v. Evatt*, U.S. Ohio, 324 U.S. 652, 65 S.Ct. 870, 880, 89 L.Ed. 1252.” – [Blacks Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition]

“It may be merely the name of a sovereign occupying the position analogous to that of other sovereigns in a family of nations...” Defines the Union States, like Delaware, Connecticut, California, Texas, etc.

“it may designate territory over which sovereignty of United States extends...” Defines the British owned corporate United States, the jurisdiction of *the District* [of Columbia].

“or it may be collective name of the states which are united by and under the Constitution.” Defines the republic of these united States of America.

Therefore, if you are claiming “United States citizenship”, it might be good to know of which United States you are claiming to be a citizen. It changes your status and the body of law to which you are subject. That’s why we pay attention to “THE” as in *THE* United States (corporate) and “THESE” as in *these* united States of America (republic). We need to comprehend that the language of the law is very technical, and capitalization is monumentally important. When John Quincy, Adams is spelled JOHN QUINCY ADAMS, the capitalization may change the status of the individual from *man of the land* to an *artificial person*. This is why comprehending the English language is so important! As such, it will be a good idea to know which “United States” is being referenced before signing some government form under penalty-of-perjury?

Some of us may have read the Constitution and know that there is no provision for a corporate Federal Government. But when the law has already been broken so much by this point, there is evidently no turning back, either that, or no one in a position of leadership or law enforcement cares. And further, because the public school system is controlled by the *Department of Education*, it has dutifully kept us ignorant.

We the people have mostly continued to trust our leadership and protectors (code enforcers) in years past. But for the past few years, there is evolving an increased level of knowledge and comprehension, therefore, trust in leadership and those code enforcers who are supposed to protect us, is beginning to wane. It is waning because neither the leadership or code enforcers are protecting *the people*, they are *subjecting the people*.

Subject [defined]. One who is under the dominion of a monarch or reigning prince; one who owes allegiance to a government or ruling power, is subject to its laws, and enjoys its protection. – Oxford English Dictionary

By now you should be getting a little *upset* by all the deception that has been perpetrated, and hopefully, the code enforcers reading this are beginning to comprehend their puppet status. But it’s going to get worse.

I notice that the definition of the term “United States” set forth in *Blacks Law Dictionary - Sixth Edition*, does not appear in Black’s Law Dictionary, Seventh Edition. Therefore, if you want to see the definition for yourself, you will be required to look in the Sixth-Edition. I have also noticed that as *we the people* begin to develop comprehension, legal definitions either change, or sometimes disappear in later versions of the law dictionary. Money is a great example.

Look up the term “money” in Black’s Sixth-Edition, and then look up the same term in Black’s Seventh-Edition, you’ll be quite surprised. Another term to look up in Black’s Sixth Edition is

“person”. The definition of “person” will probably overwhelm you, it takes eight-hundred-two-words to define “person”. I think it would be unwise to go into court claiming to be a “person”. You be the judge, look it up and decide for yourself.

When our unconstitutionally seated congressional leadership borrowed money, it was an opportunity for the international bankers to pick the sweetest plum in the world. Bankers never loan money unless there is some guarantee the bank will not suffer loss, and the same was true back then. When the leadership of these united States of America defaulted on the debt in 1871, the banking elite took control of the collateral which was all the property owned by the Federal Government, including Washington, D.C.

It was collected by our creditor (England), but the debt still existed. And by virtue of the security agreement (the Constitution), the debt defaulted to the other “United States”, the Union States (see the definition of “United States” above). In defaulting on the payment of the debt created by the Civil War the door was now open to the remaking of the country. And that’s why the corporate United States was created, to benefit the elite, not the people.

With Lincoln’s Martial Law in effect, the Constitution was mostly set aside and ceased being a total impediment to those Bankers who want to control everything. I have noticed there is some discussion that a new Constitution was created, but I’ve not been able to confirm this. Be that as it may, with the Constitution set aside, the President, the Congress (still setting unconstitutionally at the will of the President), and the Courts all presumed that they were free to remake the country into anything they wanted. What a great opportunity for a creditor of the United States.

The code Lincoln constructed under General Orders No. 100 during the Civil War, unlawfully extended Article I, Section 8, Clauses 17 & 18, which pertain to *the District* of Columbia, beyond the boundaries of Washington, D.C. as established by the constitution, into the several states. The idea was to create the means to make unconstitutional laws within the Union States.

In 1868 the Fourteenth Amendment to the constitution was ratified under the bayonet (martial law), and created a new class of citizenship (*citizen of “The United States”*) which also aided in expansion of the jurisdiction of Washington, District of Columbia, into the Union States. General Orders No. 100 also brought the Laws of War, as well as International Law, to American soil, and the new Martial Law government Lincoln created became the presumed conqueror of the Union States as well as *the people* of the United States, and the land. This is important to comprehend because in a few years, both *the people and the land* would become collateral.

In general, the Union States, like California, New York, etc., eventually became a corporate Franchise of the Federal Corporation (“The United States”) when the State enacted the “Field code” into law. The “Field Code” was the common law codified, and was first adopted by New York, to be followed by California in 1872. While California was admitted to the Union under the 1849 California Constitution, a new STATE OF CALIFORNIA constitution came into existence in 1979. And this alone would support the argument that a new *Constitution of the United States* was created when *the District* of Columbia became the corporate United States. The republic of

California does not equal the STATE OF CALIFORNIA, a corporate franchise of *the District*. And California was not admitted to the Union twice, so the second constitution is for the corporate STATE OF CALIFORNIA and corporate citizens, whomever they might be.

These municipal corporate States that were created are entities of the Federal Government, the British corporation, since they are a corporate-franchise of the (corporate) Federal Government. These federal franchises now have federal identities, such as CA for California instead of Calif. NV for Nevada instead of Nev., etc.

Today, these corporate franchises have ZIP codes. ZIP = Zone Improvement Plan, more specifically, Federal Zone Improvement Plan. If you have a ZIP code then you have a federal identification number, and this might be evidence you are in a Federal Zone, and subject to unconstitutional Federal, District of Columbia, corporate law. This knowledge might also help one comprehend why there is a Post Office of these united States of America (republic), and a United States Postal Service (corporate). The Post Office might be the last institution of the republic still in operation at this time (February 14, 2012).

For about one-hundred-sixty-years now, martial law has never ended during which time the nation has been under Military Law of the Commander-in-Chief the entire time, all kept secret from the American people. Question: "Whom does our elected leadership represent?" Hint: "It's not us!" And this is true from the cities and counties all the way through Congress to the Presidency.

All of this deception was, someday, going to return to *snake-bite* us. It happened in the 1930's and it is what's happening now. Many Americans sacrificed their lives for our freedom and the *organic Constitution*, perhaps it's time we paid homage to their efforts and sacrifices. Any un-maintained freedom soon fails to be a freedom. We are already an economic-slave by virtue of more and more of our productivity taken from us in taxes with each passing year, and if this is our goal, we are already there and going deeper. However if our goal is freedom and prosperity for ourselves, our children, our grand-children, and future generations, then it is time to pop our heads out into the sunshine and begin doing something different. After all, it is we who are in control.

OK, so the United States defaulted on the payment of the debt, and now the Union States (the other United States) were on the hook to pay the debt. Research indicates it was due and payable in 1909, and wouldn't you know it, the leadership we elect defaulted again, benefitting the creditor of the United States. *Default* is exactly what the creditor was hoping for.

We asked for more time, and that was granted with stipulations. It was granted because the creditor was virtually a hundred-percent certain that we would default again. One stipulation for the time extension was the creation of a central bank. Meeting this stipulation as set forth in 1909, legislation establishing the *privately owned* Federal Reserve Bank was enacted in December of 1913. Thus, a private banking system was given control over the finances, economy and money of the United States (corporate). But our leadership got a twenty-year time extension to pay our debt.

“The Congress shall have power to... coin money, regulate the value thereof, and of foreign coin, and fix the standard of weights and measures...” – United States Constitution, Article I, Section 8, Clause 5.

And... “No State shall enter into any treaty, alliance, or confederation; grant letters of marque and reprisal; coin money; emit bills of credit; make anything but gold and silver coin a tender in payment of debts” – United States constitution, Article I, Section 10, Clause 5.

Notice that the Constitution does not give Congress the power to turn over the finances, economy and creation of legal tender in the payment of debt to any privately owned bank, including the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank. And it certainly does not provide for *Federal Reserve Notes* to be legal tender in *payment of debts*. Pay particular attention to the phrase “*payment of debts*.” It’s going to come back and haunt us later. But when government has been operating criminally for so long and digging a hole in which to bury the American people, the only option is to operate even more criminally and dig deeper, exactly as desired by the creditor of the United States. What the creditor wants, the creditor gets.

Moving forward in time, it’s now 1929 and the twenty-year time extension has ended. The debt is now due and payable by the Union States. And, as the creditor predicted, the leadership *we elect* defaults, failing to pay our debt once again. Does anyone believe that we can be dishonorable and free at the same time? If you do not pay your debts, do you think you can be free? Or do you think you might become an *economic slave* with a life of obligation? Economic slave obligation, not only for yourself, but for your children, grand-children and future generations! It’s good to be an ignorant, un-educated people. Good for the creditor, that is.

So here we are, it’s 1929 and the Great Depression is arriving, evidently due to the contraction of the M1 money supply by the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank. Not surprising when we don’t pay our debts and a privately owned bank, owned by the creditor, is in control of our money. We haven’t operated under lawful civil authority since Lincoln declared Martial Law, but it’s going to get worse.

In the several years preceding the Great Depression, the creditor of the United States had allowed us to have a brief period of prosperity and we were drunk on what we believed to be our own success as a nation. But now the creditor decided the party was over and some very sobering times were arriving. The United States went bankrupt in 1933 and was declared so by President Roosevelt by and through his Executive Orders 6073, 6102, 6111, and 6262.

Since the leadership we elected had bankrupted the United States and once again defaulted, failing to pay the debt on its due date, the creditor of the United States forced our errant legislators to implement certain measures the creditor felt appropriate. Enter the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933. Its impact on our lives and this country **cannot** be overstated. It is the source of virtually all unconstitutional law in effect today. It is the cause and reason that our entire legal system and processes were overhauled in the 1930’s. It is the foundation for the administration of the bankruptcy of the United States, and like the 14th Amendment, the Banking Relief Act

changed our status.

Seemingly strange on initial examination, the Banking Relief Act contained the language of the Trading with the Enemy Act of October 6, 1917. In 1917 the United States was dealing with World War I, and it was recognized that there were enemies of this country doing business within our borders. The Trading with the Enemy Act of 1917 gave the President total authoritarian control over the commercial activities of an identified enemy of the United States.

So, what was the purpose or benefit of including the language of the Trading with the Enemy Act of 1917, in the Banking Relief Act of 1933? To understand the benefit to both the creditor and Congress, we must look at the exclusionary language in the 1917 Trading with the Enemy Act.

One piece of exclusionary language reads, “Credits in any form, except credits for transactions to be executed wholly within the United States.” – Trading with the Enemy Act.

This language excluded domestic transactions from the operation of the law. Therefore, your purchase of a lawn mower, since it was a transaction executed wholly within the United States, was not a transaction subject to the Trading with the Enemy Act.

Another exclusion reads, “Except citizens of the United States.” – Trading with the Enemy Act, section (c).

This language recognized that a citizen of the United States is not an enemy of the United States, and not subject to the operation of the law. OK, that’s two very important exclusions from the operation of the Trading with the Enemy Act. Remember them, because CHANGE is coming. When the Trading with the Enemy Act language was brought forth and made part of the Banking Relief Act, the *exclusionary language* was amended and became...

“by any person living within the United States or subject to the jurisdiction thereof.”

No longer were domestic transactions and U.S. citizens excluded from the operation of this act of WAR. And with this language, WE, YOU and I, and every other U. S. citizen became an identified enemy of the United States, giving government the authority to regulate us in our every commercial activity. We have an ACT OF WAR applied against us, and this includes government agents, department heads, government clerks, judges, attorneys, prosecutors, policemen and policewomen, FBI and BATF agents, everyone, we are all an identified enemy of the United States.

This has, sadly, made "The UNITED STATES" (corporate) our enemy as well. And with the FEMA concentration camps, and the new *National Defense Authorization Act*, they are preparing to deal with their enemy, and it’s not a bunch of Arabs in foreign countries. For those who do not know, the *National Defense Authorization Act* provides for the indefinite detainment of United States citizens with no hearing, with no charges, and with no Habeas Corpus.

Having the United States (corporate) as our enemy is probably not the way we would want things to play out, but it is the current state of matters as engineered by the leadership of this country. They are evidently content on being our enemy while viewing us as their enemy. Therefore, when we read, "TO PROTECT AND SERVE" on the side of a police car, some of us will ask ourselves, "whom are they protecting and serving?"

Since the 1933 Banking Relief Act provided regulatory control of the commercial activity of an identified enemy, and we became an identified enemy, it has only been necessary to convert all of our normal and regular activities into a *commercial activity* in order to regulate us in everything we do. And this is exactly what has happened. Driving to the store or school is a commercial activity. Our marriage is a commercial activity since we were foolish enough to acquire a license, making the government a third party to our marriage. Our relationship with our children is commercial by virtue of the government issued birth certification we signed. Why do we think the courts can take our children from us and place those children wherever the court (government) wants them placed? Our job is a commercial activity, subject to taxes. Planting and cutting trees on our own property is a commercial activity. Pouring a concrete patio is a commercial activity. Re-roofing our home so it doesn't leak is a commercial activity. Virtually every law in effect is a commercial law, intended to ring the government cash register.

Habeas Corpus (Latin: "you may have the body") is a writ, or legal action, through which a prisoner can be released from unlawful detention, that is, detention lacking sufficient cause or evidence.

Habeas Corpus stands as a remedy against tyranny. It is tyrants who suspend Habeas Corpus.

All law today is commercial, and it is all intended to ring the government cash register in bankruptcy. It has nothing to do with our safety, although they try to sell that concept to us. All law today has essentially two foundations for its existence. One is to ring the government cash register as already stated, and the other is to protect THEIR tax paying asset, otherwise known as United States citizens. And this is where they try to convince you how concerned they are about your safety. The point here is that government leadership has no concern about your safety, they just don't want their tax paying assets injured or killed.

Let's think about it, for example, the "seat belt law." Currently, the government will financially injure you [ring the cash register] through a traffic "ticket" if you fail to wear your seat belt. Yet they could accomplish the same thing by withholding government paid medical care if you were injured while not wearing the seat belt. This would maintain the FREEDOM that we all pay horrendous taxes to maintain and enhance. But some people might exercise their FREEDOM and opt not to wear the seat belt, and possibly be killed, which would result in the loss of the "tax paying asset." And in the eyes of government leadership it is the "tax paying asset" you represent that is the true value of your body, not you as a human being. We're going to get into this deeper as we travel along.

The Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933, mentioned in the last column, also provides for the issuance of the currency (Federal Reserve Note) that we use today and call money. It is not money, but it is a currency. The section of the act which authorizes the currency reads as follows:

"Upon the deposit with the Treasurer of the United States; (a) any direct obligation of the United States; (b) any notes, drafts, bills of exchange or bankers acceptances acquired under the provisions of this act, that any Federal Reserve Bank making such deposits in the manner prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury, shall be entitled to receive from the Comptroller of the Currency, circulating notes in blank, duly registered and countersigned." – Banking Relief Act, March 9, 1933, Title 4

“(a) any direct obligation of the United States” equals public debt.

“(b) any notes, drafts, bills of exchange or bankers acceptances” equals private debt.

Therefore, the currency is issued upon the deposit of DEBT, both public and private. Debt gives the banker (creditor) control. You finance \$250,000 for a home and sign a “bankers acceptance” for the loan, creating a private debt of \$250,000. When that DEBT is put on deposit, the Federal Reserve Bank issues \$250,000 in currency back to the bank from which you received the loan. The bank is paid back within 24-hours on your signature. And that \$250,000 just became part of the national debt upon which interest is paid. Federal Reserve Notes are DEBT-CURRENCY.

But, as you pay back your loan, this currency is retired from the national debt. However, the federal government sells bonds to finance itself annually. Most of these are purchased by the Federal Reserve Bank, and this PUBLIC DEBT provides for the issuance of currency in the amount of all bonds issued, raising the PRINCIPLE amount owed by “*we the people*” through our United States (corporate) government leadership.

The PRINCIPLE of this debt is never paid or reduced by the leadership we elect and grows larger with each passing year. This, in turn, means that the interest on the debt increases annually, which means that more and more and more of our productivity, as well as future productivity of our children and grand-children, must be taken from us in income and other taxes annually. It’s a trap from which no United States citizen can escape so long as the leadership *we elect* continues this system. Under this system WE WILL, EVENTUALLY, BE DESTITUTE, except the elite. Every day that we keep a Federal Reserve Note, it loses value and purchasing power. It is worth less than the day before.

OK, how much purchasing power has been lost? We can measure the loss with a comparison to gold (real money). The purchasing power of gold remains relatively constant over time. If we had enough gold to build a home in 1960, and we still had that gold, we could still build that home. That’s because the purchasing power of gold is relatively constant. If we had enough Federal Reserve Notes to build a home in 1960, and we still had those Federal Reserve Notes, we couldn’t pour the foundation.

Over the past six months gold has topped out at about \$1900 per ounce. When I was attending

high school in 1962, gold was \$32 an ounce. This means that a one-dollar-Federal-Reserve-Note of today has about 1.68 cents the purchasing power it did when I was in high school. Put another way, if in 1962 you had a job as a Safeway grocery clerk and was paid \$2.25 an hour wage, and that wage had kept pace with the devaluation of the Federal Reserve Note, that same job today would pay \$133.59 an hour today, and you would have the same purchasing power that you did in 1962. The taking of your productivity couldn't be made any more clear than to give you less and less purchasing power with each passing year. All made possible by the leadership *we elect* who continually give themselves enormous raises to offset the devaluation of the dollar they create. Mean while, our military, police and almost all American's work for peanuts.

And where does the Federal Reserve Bank get the currency? They print it, it's just ink on paper. It probably costs the Federal Reserve a couple of cents to print a Federal Reserve Note regardless of the denomination or value of the note, whether it's a dollar-bill or a hundred-dollar-bill. In other words, the currency (not money) is created out of thin air and is based on the deposit of debt. *Monetizing debt* is one of the greatest scams of the banking elite. In any setting we would call this counterfeiting. But what the heck, when leadership is this far down the criminal road, what's a little more criminal behavior? And although the law governing the issuance of the currency has been amended and reads a little different today, the currency is still issued upon the deposit of debt.

And now we need to know what money is:

"Money. In the usual and ordinary acceptance it means coins and paper currency used as circulating medium of exchange, and does not embrace notes, bonds, evidences of debt, or other personal or real estate." - Blacks Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition.

Since Federal Reserve Notes contain *evidence of debt* and is a *note*, it does not qualify as MONEY. "*Currency*" which would qualify as money would be *Silver Certificates* and *Gold Certificates*. Money is gold and silver coin, or *certificates* which represent *gold* or *silver* coin. And those who are close to my age, will remember the *silver certificates* that went out of circulation in the 1960's. That *one-dollar-silver-certificate* could be turned into the bank for one dollar in silver coin.

This is where we develop comprehension of the term "*payment*". The Federal Reserve Note is not money since it is neither gold or silver coin, and it does not represent money, it is *debt currency*. Therefore I ask, *can you pay a debt with a debt?* Well, do you think you can pay a debt with a debt? NO, you cannot. And HJR-192 (HJR = House Joint Resolution) provides that you cannot pay a debt, you can only *discharge* a debt. And you discharge debt through exchange of Federal Reserve Notes, therefore the *debt* is never paid, it is only *discharged*.

Now, since you cannot pay for anything, do you think you can own anything? No, you cannot.

I know that some of you may be wondering if I have lost my marbles. Let's see... In reference to the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933 and from the congressional record we read:

"Under the new law the money is issued to the banks in return for Government obligations, bills of exchange, drafts, notes, trade acceptances, and banker's acceptances. The money will be worth 100 cents on the dollar, because it is backed by the credit of the Nation. IT WILL REPRESENT A MORTGAGE ON ALL THE HOMES AND OTHER PROPERTY OF ALL THE PEOPLE IN THE NATION." - Congressional record, March 9, 1933, House, Congressman Patman, 73rd Congress, Special Session, Volume 77, part 1, page 83.

As you can see for yourself, all of the property, your entire country has been mortgaged to the *creditor* of the United States for *ink on paper* (Federal Reserve Notes – the national debt), and we, you and I, hold legal title to nothing. If, in your view, you believe you own your home or property because it's paid for, well, you do not hold legal title, you hold equitable title. Equitable title gives you use of the item, but also makes you responsible for all the fees associated with equitable ownership, like property taxes, annual registration, smog certificates, etc. The only things you can claim to own is something akin to a hamburger, and that's only after you've eaten it and it's in your stomach. Everything else of substance and value, and this includes your productivity, is the property of the *creditor elite*, given to them by the people we elect.

We have now dealt with several detrimental aspects of the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933, but there is more. The Banking Relief Act did more than make us an identified enemy of the United States. It also made a dictator of the President.

"The actions, regulations, rules, licenses, orders and proclamations heretofore or hereafter taken, promulgated, made, or issued by the President of the United States or the Secretary of the Treasury since March 4, 1933 pursuant to the authority conferred by subdivision (b) of section 5 of the Act of October 6, 1917, as amended, are hereby approved and confirmed." – Title I, Section 1, Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933

The reference to the *Act of October 6, 1917* is of course, a reference to the *Trading with the Enemy Act*.

As you can see for yourself, the Congress of 1933 has given approval to any Executive Order, rule, license, or proclamation issued by the President or Secretary of Treasury after March 9, 1933 (hereafter). The President of the United States, or Secretary of Treasury, have been given the power and authority to do anything they want through order or proclamation, and it's already approved by Congress without ever knowing what that order or proclamation might be. Two offices (individuals) with dictatorial powers have been created! What a wonderful way to run a country.

Did you notice anything unusual or out of the ordinary in the Banking Relief Act language above? If not, read it again before going further and see if anything unusual jumps out at you.

What most people will not notice is the fact that this language goes out of its way to identify the President as the President of *the United States*, but then identifies the Treasury Secretary as only that, and NOT the Secretary of Treasury of the United States.

In this language, the American people are expected, actually trained and educated by the public school system, to ignorantly infer it is a reference to the Secretary of Treasury of the United States when it is not. Therefore, in the Banking Relief Act, Congress has conferred unconstitutional dictatorial power upon an individual not elected by the people of the United States.

Previously, I have made clear that “The United States” is corporate, and completely separate from the republic of *these united States of America*. Now we need to know the location of “The United States” (corporate).

Nineteen Corpus Juris Secundum 541 location of United States: The United States is located in the District of Columbia.

You will notice that it is not the 50 Union States, that’s because they are referring to the corporate United States, located in Washington D. C, a jurisdiction which is not inside the republic of these united States of America. It is not inclusive, it is exclusive. And once again this has given Congress a dual character. In one character they can make law for the republic of these united States of America, and in the other character, they can make laws for *the United States* (corporate).

Now, if the *Secretary of Treasury* is not the Secretary of Treasury of the United States, then who is this individual?

“Secretary of the Treasury” of Puerto Rico was appointed as RECEIVER over the bankrupt United States in reorganization plan #26, in 1950. [Title 5, section 903, Public Law 94-564](#): *The Secretary of Treasury of Puerto Rico*, title 27, code of federal regulations, section 251.11, the title “Secretary of the Treasury” is a euphemistic abbreviation of the actual title “Secretary of the Treasury of Puerto Rico.”

Let us remember that the United States [corporate] owns Puerto Rico. Also let us remember that the United States was declared Bankrupt in 1933, and as you can see, has entered receivership in which the creditor is taking control of the collateral that has been provided to secure the loan to the United States.

At this point in time, payment of the debt had fallen on the *other United States*, the Union States, which had been overlaid by corporate franchises of the District of Columbia, like THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THE STATE OF NEVADA, THE STATE OF OREGON, etc., all municipal corporations. Therefore the collateral for the debt was all the property held by these corporate States, which is all the property within the State. Why do you think you pay property taxes? It’s because you don’t own it. Property taxes are a *feudal duty*.

feudal: of, relating to, or having the characteristics of a medieval fee – Merriam Websters online dictionary

feudalism: the system of political organization prevailing in Europe from the 9th to about the 15th centuries having as its basis the relation of lord to vassal with all land held in fee and as chief characteristics homage, the service of tenants under arms and in court, wardship, and forfeiture – Merriam Websters online dictionary

vassal: In the feudal system, one holding lands from a superior on conditions of homage and allegiance; a feudatory; a tenant in fee. – Oxford English Dictionary

“Whenever in the Judgment of the Secretary of the Treasury such action is necessary to protect the currency system of the United States, the Secretary of the Treasury in his discretion, may require any or all individuals, partnerships, associations or corporations to pay and deliver to the Treasurer of the United States any or all Gold Coin, Gold Bullion, and Gold Certificates owned by such individuals, partnerships, associations or corporations. And whoever shall not comply with the provisions of this act shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or if a natural person may in addition to such fine be imprisoned for a year not exceeding ten years.” – Order of the *SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY* — Requiring the delivery of gold coin, gold bullion, and gold certificates to the *TREASURER OF THE UNITED STATES*, December 28, 1933.

This “Order” references both the *Secretary of Treasury* and the *Treasurer of the United States*, two separate offices. This the language that allowed for the taking (stealing) of our gold, the wealth & health of our nation. And, of course, the EMERGENCY which was the foundation for the passage of the Banking Relief Act, was the people coming to the banks claim their deposited gold to make ends meet during the depression.

The evolution of the Banking Relief Act did not begin with Franklin Delano Roosevelt (FDR), it actually began with President Herbert Hoover, who preceded Roosevelt. Hoover, aware of the banking problems, had written a letter to the Federal Reserve Bank Board of Directors, asking what might be done about the current crisis in Banking. Here, in part, is the response Hoover received.

“Whereas in the opinion of the Board of Directors of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, the continued and increasing withdrawal of currency and gold from the banks of the country has now created a national EMERGENCY... Whereas it is provided in Section (5)(b) of the Act of October 6, 1917 [The Trading with the Enemy Act] as amended, the President may investigate, regulate, prohibit, under such rule and regulations as he may prescribe, by means of license or otherwise, any transactions in foreign exchange, export or earmarking of gold or silver coin or bullion, or currency, * * * ” – Board of Directors, Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

There is the EMERGENCY and the Federal Reserve Bank Board of Directors is where the idea to use the *Trading with the Enemy Act* against the people of the United States originated. And remember, all local banks are members of the Federal Reserve Banking System. The people of the United States, in order to make ends meet in the financial depression of the 1930's, were

withdrawing their deposits of gold and currency. THEIR gold and THEIR currency. And *currency* back then, was *gold certificates*, which were redeemable in gold. So, why was an EMERGENCY created by the people withdrawing their money from the banks where they had deposited it for SAFE KEEPING?

It was because the creditor, through his Federal Reserve Banking system, had taken possession of our gold, moved it out of the country or into hiding, and had no intention of returning it since our government, and the people we elect, weren't paying the debt they created, ostensibly on our behalf. Thus, the people withdrawing their gold created a BANKING EMERGENCY for the GangBanksters, and for [gangster] Congress since their misdeeds created this monumental thieving. And in the conclusion of their response, the Federal Reserve Bank Board is suggesting to Hoover, that he implement the *Trading with the Enemy Act*, an act of war, against the people of the United States.

May we now ask, *is it the people who comprehend and resist this evil perpetrated against us all who are dangerous radicals and extremists, or is it the people who conceived and implemented this aggression against the people of the United States?*

Hoover read the response, of course, but decided it was neither necessary nor appropriate, and so declines to implement the measures proposed by the Federal Reserve Board.

However, on March 4, 1933, Franklin Roosevelt is elected President, and from his inaugural speech we hear:

*"I am prepared under my Constitutional duty to recommend the measures that a stricken nation in the midst of a stricken world may require. These measures, or such other measures as congress may build out of its experience and wisdom, I shall seek, within my Constitutional Authority, to bring to speedy adoption. But in the event that Congress shall fail to take one of these two courses, and in the event that the NATIONAL EMERGENCY is still critical, I shall not evade the clear course of duty that will then confront me. I shall ask Congress for the one remaining instrument to meet the crises - broad executive power to **wage a war** against the Emergency. As great as the power that would be given to me if we were in fact invaded by a foreign foe."*

Sound familiar? Like the WAR on poverty, the WAR on drugs, the WAR on terrorism. Declaring a WAR on a concept is the means to declare an EMERGENCY, which then provides for the implementation of un-constitutional law, and setting the constitution aside. In other words, it provides the means to implement dictatorial powers of control over the people of the United States.

You've got to hand it to them. The oversight, management and policies of the President(s), and legislators is what brought about a "*stricken nation in the midst of a stricken world*", and they use the crises they engineer to make the people an enemy of the United States and at the same time making the people into an economic slave, taking away their freedom and prosperity. The people are essentially in a debtor's prison (see text box). And further, the *enemy* status of the people has been elevated following the attacks on the World Trade Center on September 11, 2001. And, if one has done his homework, it's pretty hard not to conclude that this event was an inside job, the same as the Reichstag fire.

A *debtors' prison* is a prison for those who are unable to pay debt. Prior to the mid 19th century debtors' prisons were a common way to deal with unpaid debt.

Debt bondage was common in ancient Mediterranean societies, including Greek city-states. Economic crises in Athens around 600 BC had resulted in so many Athenians sold into slavery that Solon enacted the *seisachtheia* which banned the practice of debt bondage and returned Athenians to their land as free men...

Under the early Roman Republic, a person could pledge himself as a collateral for a loan, in a type of contract called *Nexum*. **If he failed to pay, he was liable to become his creditor's slave.** This practice was outlawed in 326 BC by the *Lex Poetelia Papiria*.

But it goes on in the United States today. You are free only so long as you pay the fees assessed and assigned to you.

In the text box you can see that in the *early Roman Republic*, an individual could pledge himself as collateral for a loan. This is what has occurred here in the United States, the individual has pledged himself and his productivity as collateral for the loan we call the national debt. And it was Congress who created the debt, and then created the means for the individual to pledge himself and his productivity as the collateral through the creation of *Social Security* and the *registered birth certification* (birth certificate). It is these documents which, when signed by the individual, become the contract the Romans called *Nexum*. Congress created the debt and gave us a choice of being responsible for the payment on the interest, or not being responsible. And we chose to be responsible by virtue of affixing our signature to government contracts. Accepting any benefit from government will obligate the individual to the *Nexum* (contract). By the way, *Military Service* is considered a benefit.

And mounting to a point of being virtually unpayable, the debt has been the catalyst for the taking of freedom since the time of declared bankruptcy to the point where we are viewed as a potential terrorist if we have bumper stickers supporting a third party candidate (see MIAC analysis). And today we have once again become a "*stricken nation in the midst of a stricken world*", exactly as engineered by the people who want totalitarian control of our lives, productivity and prosperity for their own selfish benefit, as well as the lives of the common people in every other country.

The financial bean jar is nearly empty, and with over three hundred million people in the United States, it looks like the majority of us are willing to give up our last vestige of freedom to any bureaucrat who will guarantee us our one-three-hundred-millionth-slice of that last bean.

We should probably take note that we have been operating under a financial EMERGENCY since 1933, now seventy-nine years, and the management of this financial EMERGENCY by our elected leadership has only led to an even worse and more detrimental financial situation for the

people of the United States. I'm not certain but do you think they should be allowed to continue to manage this country?

Some of us may recall that we have been told by our elected leadership that they do NOT read the bills they vote on. That's because the *creditor* has already determined how they are going to vote. How many are going to vote in favor and how many are going to vote in opposition. That way it looks to the American people that there was some disagreement in the vote when there never was any disagreement.

And when Congress voted the Banking Relief Act in, the congressmen didn't even have a copy of the bill before-hand to read and familiarize themselves. It wasn't necessary, consequently it was read to them from the clerk's desk. And it was passed by the leadership the people elected. It is the same for all bills today.

Since the money (gold) of the people of the United States had been stolen from them through the language of the Banking Relief Act, it would be necessary to create a new (counterfeit) currency, thus, the Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933 also authorizes the counterfeit currency we use today, and this was covered previously but it is repeated in the text box for quick reference.

"Upon the deposit with the Treasurer of the United States; (a) any direct obligation of the United States; (b) any notes, drafts, bills of exchange or bankers acceptances acquired under the provisions of this act, that any Federal Reserve Bank making such deposits in the manner prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury, shall be entitled to receive from the Comptroller of the Currency, circulating notes in blank, duly registered and countersigned." – Banking Relief Act, March 9, 1933, Title 4

But is worth noting that if you look up the term "money" in Black's Sixth Edition, which is the one referenced in the previous definition of *money*, and you look of the term "money" in Blacks seventh edition, you will find that the definition of money has been greatly expanded in Black's seventh edition. It's simply another trick by government, through the decisions of its bankruptcy courts, to call something "money" that is not really money. So don't be misled. Remember, government is a corporation (for profit). Therefore, if the corporation wants to call *elephant excrement* "money", Black's law dictionary will dutifully report that *elephant excrement* is "money".

By now, the reader should realize that as you become more informed, more sophisticated and more intelligent, there will be considerable effort put forth to confuse and mislead the informed individual. Therefore, when Black's sixth edition definition of "money" is compared with their seventh edition definition, it becomes abundantly clear that someone is putting a lot of effort into confusing us. But we're intelligent, and we're not going to be confused and misled. And it's not Black's that is trying to confuse us, they simply take the definitions from case law.

It's all commercial, and it's all about administering the bankruptcy, so brace yourself for escalating abuse.

Agriculture and the Farmer:

The Banking Relief Act was passed under the declaration of an EMERGENCY and the *Rule of Necessity*. The *Rule of Necessity* is a paramount rule of law. “Necessitas vincit legem” is a Latin maxim meaning “*necessity overrules the law*”. In other words, *necessity knows no law*. We all know it is against the law to kill someone. However, if the killing was done in defense of our lives or the lives of our family, then it was done as a *necessity*, which, when this is established, allows us to continue in freedom, i.e., *necessity knows no law*.

Here in the United States however, “*necessity*” is the tool of tyrants who want to run the country unconstitutionally under the declaration of an *emergency* for the benefit of themselves and the creditor of the United States. In the case of the Banking Relief Act, we find the declaration of “*necessity*” in the enabling clause of the Act.

“Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, that the Congress hereby declares that a serious *emergency* exists and that it is imperatively *necessary* speedily to put into effect remedies of uniform national application.” - 1933 Banking Relief Act

There it is, EMERGENCY and NECESSITY have been declared. This act can now be passed into law, setting aside the constitution that so many Americans have died to defend, and which our leadership is sworn to *protect and defend*, the very leadership who continuously finds ways to set it aside and nullify its application. With the language above, leadership is setting the stage to run the country un-constitutionally and avoid their responsibilities under the constitution, and this act has now (February 21, 2012) been effectively permitting that for seventy-nine-years. Kind of makes one wonder why our military servicemen are fighting and dying.

“I think that of all the damnable heresies that have ever been suggested in connection with the constitution, the doctrine of '*emergency*' is the worst. *It means that when Congress declares an emergency, there is no Constitution*. This means its death. It is the doctrine that the German Chancellor [Adolph Hitler] is invoking today [1933] in the dying hours of the Parliamentary Body of the German Republic. Namely that because of an emergency, it should grant to the German Chancellor the power to pass any law, even though that law *contradicts* the Constitution of the German Republic. Chancellor Hitler is at least frank about it. We pay the constitution lip service but the result is the same.” – Congressman Beck debating the Farm Bill in 1933

And since 1933, law after law has been passed that *contradicts the Constitution of the republic of these United States of America*. Just take a look at where we are at today in the United States. Virtually every law out there is a *contradiction of the constitution* because there is no injured party and no verified complaint (remembering that constitutional government does not qualify as an injured party).

The 1933 *Farm Bill* was an important piece of legislation in the bankruptcy of the United States.

"The present acute economic *EMERGENCY*, being in part the consequence of a severe and increasing disparity between the prices of Agriculture and other commodities [engineered by leadership], which disparity has largely destroyed the purchasing power of the farmers [as engineered] for industrial products, has broken down the orderly exchange of commodities and has seriously impaired the agricultural assets supporting the **NATIONAL CREDIT STRUCTURE** [the bankruptcy]. It is hereby declared that these conditions in the basic industry of agriculture has affected transactions in agricultural commodities with a NATIONAL PUBLIC INTEREST, have burdened and obstructed normal currents of commerce in such commodities and has rendered imperative the immediate enactment of Title 1 of this act." – Agricultural Adjustment Act of May 12, 1933, two months after the Banking Relief Act

Notice that the *emergency* has broadened to now include agriculture. What agriculture has actually *burdened and obstructed*, is the take-over of the farm property for the benefit of the creditor of the United States in bankruptcy. The language above is paving the way to place this nation's agricultural and farm property into collateral assets that can be included in the declared bankruptcy. All those agricultural assets become necessary to support the *national credit structure* (the debt) of the United States (corporate). And those *agricultural assets* (property) now become the property of the creditor in receivership of the United States. The farmer has *equitable title*, but not *legal title*. *Equitable title* makes the farmer responsible for all the fees and levies, yet provides the creditor with income and control.

Millions of acres of farm land will help provide the necessary collateral to go into debt even further while still in bankruptcy. Also note that Agriculture now has a *national public interest* and as such it was *placed into public service*. *Public service* is deceptive wording used for placing something into the service of the creditor of the United States. With this act Congress mortgaged virtually every square inch of our country to corrupt bankers (the creditor). From a previous column allow me to bring this forward as a reminder of the reach of the Banking Relief Act.

"Under the new law the money is issued to the banks in return for Government obligations, bills of exchange, drafts, notes, trade acceptances, and banker's acceptances. The money will be worth 100 cents on the dollar, because it is backed by the credit of the Nation. **It will represent a mortgage on all the homes and other property of all the people in the nation.**" - Congressional record, March 9, 1933, House, Congressman Patman, 73rd Congress, Special Session, Volume 77, part 1, page 83

And now the agricultural assets have suffered the same fate of being mortgaged to the *creditor elite* to support the *credit structure* of the United States (corporate).

In debate over the Agricultural Adjustment Act Congressman Beck had this to say:

"But the Constitution of the United States, as a restraining influence in keeping the United States Government within the carefully prescribed channels of power, is moribund, if not dead. We are witnessing its death agonies, for when this bill becomes a law, if unhappily it becomes a law, there is no longer any workable Constitution to keep the Federal Government within the limits of its constitutional powers."

Do we think that our congressional leadership cared if the Constitution was being destroyed? Not only **no**, but **HELL NO!!!** And so it was passed by the same people who took an oath to *protect and defend the Constitution against all enemies, both foreign and domestic*. Well, they are the *domestic enemy* of the Constitution, simply because it contains the provisions to protect us, the American people, against them, the Congressional and Presidential leadership.

Let's take a look at what the Supreme Court had to say about the *Agricultural Adjustment Act*? In *United States v. Butler*, the court said:

"A tax in the general understanding and in the strict constitutional sense is an exaction for the support of government. This term does not connote the expropriation of money from one group to be expended for another as a necessary means in a plan of regulation, such as the plan for regulating agricultural production set up in the Agricultural Adjustment Act... The regulation of the Farmers Activities under the statute, though in form subject to his own will is in fact coercion through economic pressure. His right of choice is illusory. Even if the farmer's consent were purely voluntary, the Act would stand no better. At best it is a scheme for purchasing, with Federal Funds, submission to Federal Regulation of the subject reserved to the States....

If the novel view of the *general welfare clause*, now advanced in support of the tax, were accepted, that clause would not only allow congress to supplant the states in the regulation of agriculture and *all other industries as well*, but would furnish the means whereby all the other provisions of the constitution, sedulously framed to limit the powers of the United States and preserve the powers of the States, could be broken down. The independence of the individual States obliterated *and the United States converted into a central government exercising uncontrolled police power throughout the Union, superseding all local controls over local concerns.*" – *United States v. Butler*

Using extraordinarily good judgment, the Supreme Court struck down the Emergency Powers. But if we examine our history we see that President Roosevelt (a true benefactor of the *creditor*) went to work to STACK THE COURT. Through DEATH and RESIGNATION, by 1937, the court was stacked! And shortly thereafter these cases were reversed and *emergency powers rule* was reestablished. What the creditor wants, the creditor gets. The quote below references the date March 9, 1933, this is, of course, a reference to the Banking Relief Act passed on that date.

"Since March the 9th, 1933, the United States has been in a state of declared national emergency... Under the powers delegated by these [emergency powers] statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens" – Senate Report 93-549, 1973

Noting the date of this report is 1973, thirty years later, the *statutes* to which this refers is the statutes passed pursuant to the powers and authorities granted by the *Banking Relief Act* of 1933. What the report is saying is that the President can do any damned un-constitutional, dictatorial thing he wants.

Moving Forward to the 1960's:

A quick review:

“The actions, regulations, rules, licenses, orders and proclamations heretofore or hereafter taken, promulgated, made, or issued by the President of the United States or the Secretary of the Treasury since March 4, 1933 pursuant to the authority conferred by subdivision (b) of section 5 of the Act of October 6, 1917, as amended, are hereby approved and confirmed.” – Title I, Section 1, Banking Relief Act of March 9, 1933

If you recall, the *Secretary of Treasury* mentioned here is not the Secretary of Treasury of the United States.

“Secretary of the Treasury” of Puerto Rico was appointed as RECEIVER over the bankrupt United States in reorganization plan #26, in 1950. [Title 5, section 903, Public Law 94-564: The Secretary of Treasury of Puerto Rico](#), title 27, code of federal regulations, section 251.11, the title “Secretary of the Treasury” is a euphemistic abbreviation of the actual title “Secretary of the Treasury of Puerto Rico.”

In March of 1964, the *Secretary of Treasury* ended redemption on the *silver certificates* in circulation. *Silver certificates* were a currency in circulation here in the United States at that time, and could be redeemed in real-silver coin at the banks. Silver coin also disappeared at this time and we began seeing the *sandwich coins* which no longer contained silver, and this is the coin in circulation today.

So our republic of these united States of America was set aside and replaced with “THE UNITED STATES”, a private British owned corporation, with the first default on the debt in 1871. We lost our ability to try criminal matters and England, the new owner of the now incorporated Washington, District of Columbia (the corporate United States), brought in its private copyrighted law, quietly filling the law void, and slowly but surely we saw the *common law* set aside, which is the law of the republic. And we lost all the property held by the Federal

Government at this time.

Then, in the 1933 declaration of bankruptcy, we lost our gold and in 1964 we lost our silver coin. We can no longer own property or anything of value because it has all been mortgaged to the creditor by the people we elect to represent us. We could no longer pay a debt, we can only *discharge a debt* as provided by HJR192. We lost our currency and were given *interest bearing* Federal Reserve Notes which loose purchasing power every day we keep them. That is, every day we keep them, they will buy less than the day before. The problem is not them, it's us. We're the ones putting these people in office, we're the ones who let them determine the campaign issues, which are almost always emotional bull-shot. Political campaigns of today are soap-operas for idiots. We need to make the paying of the debt a campaign issue? We need to get our candidate to sign a pledge to begin paying off the debt, to reducing the debt at least 5% each year, to not increase the debt, ever again!

How? President John Kennedy issued an executive order to begin the printing of *non-interest bearing Treasury Notes* as a replacement for the *interest bearing Federal Reserve Note*. Ten days later he was assassinated. Can we see how serious this issue is? The treachery has always been right in front of us. But, this is how control is taken away from the private international bankers.

So here we are, using our productivity to, we believe, pay for our home with Federal Reserve Notes, which really represents an unseen mortgage on our home. We borrowed Federal Reserve Notes to buy the home, which added to our National Debt, and meanwhile, the public salary tax act of 1939 became the income tax laws, and we pay income tax on the interest of the National Debt.

So we are making interest payments on our home, then paying income tax to cover the interest on the National Debt, of which our home is a part, and we don't own anything. And the whole time we think we're free, when we are really just an economic-slave, obligated to a life time of paying on the debt, unable to do much of anything without a license or permit from government. It's good to be the creditor of the United States, demonstrably a nation of mostly ignorant people who are unwilling to put out the effort to think. Isn't this just the most insidious scheme to defraud the people of the United States out of their country, and indeed, all the people of the world, out of their prosperity?

It's the same scheme in virtually every country, and when the leadership of a country doesn't want to play ball with this *debt/enslavement* scheme of the *new world order* creditors, that is the banking elite, our military will be used to bring the errant country into line. It is past time that *we the people* realize that the U.S. military is not *our military*, it is the military of *the creditor*, the banking elite. The *banking elite* (creditor) simply exercises their control over the leadership of the United States and main stream misleadia (news papers, magazines, radio, television) to set the stage and present the necessary illusions (lies) for a military action against an uncooperative country. With the minds of the American people psychologically profiled, we then send our fathers, sons, brothers, and now women, over there and kill the people of the uncooperative

country. *Necessary illusions* (lies) like weapons of mass destruction, weapons of mass destruction, weapons of mass destruction... repeated over and over and over again. Bull shot, it's *weapons of mass deception* that was used to attack and kill the Iraqi people. And it's being done in our name. The point is that the creditor elite is very dangerous to all human life for it means absolutely nothing to them, except maybe their own lives, certainly not yours and mine, and especially Iraqi's.

The Banking Relief Act altered our entire legal system, allowed for the confiscation of our wealth (gold) by the elite banksters (creditors) of the U. S., allowed for the taking over of all our property, prevents us from owning anything, makes us an economic slave to paying interest on the ever increasing debt, forever, gave us debt currency and provides the power and authority to implement unconstitutional law. Now, federal agents can enter our house and search it without a search warrant. We can be detained without cause. We're subject to *Homeland Security* checks at airports that are like being molested. What more could we ask for? It's exactly what we've been voting for, and in many cases, dying for. It must be just what we want for ourselves and children. RIGHT?

The Banking Relief Act, and all other acts and laws passed pursuant to it, suffer the same fate as the Banking Relief Act itself. It was passed under the declaration of an EMERGENCY and may only continue so long as the EMERGENCY continues, unless, of course, the government should decide to break the law even further. Congress was either not bright enough, or more likely, was deceptive enough, not to retain to themselves the power and authority to terminate the EMERGENCY. That power rests with the President. And we've had however many Republican and Democrat Presidents since 1933, and not one of them has seen fit to terminate the EMERGENCY and return the country back to *lawful civil authority*. And why should they, it gives them dictatorial power?

When we see the President on television, there is a *gold fringe flag* on a pole beside the podium. That is not the flag of the republic of these united States of America. WHY? Because it is not defined in the laws of these united States of America, it is defined in Army regulations. It is the flag of the Commander in Chief of all Armed Forces under War and Emergency powers. If the President were operating under *lawful civil authority*, he would fly the flag of *lawful civil authority*, the red, white and blue without any gold fringe, without any gold eagle, spire, or cannon ball on the pole. Those are all military flags. Are we beginning to get it?

Commercial Venue:

I've said, now several times, it's all commercial. So, how commercial is it? Dun and Bradstreet is a service which lists entities which are publically traded for profit, and provides financial trade information. So we are going to use Dun and Bradstreet to see what we might find. As we begin our examination, let us remember that the United States is in bankruptcy, and since 1933, the courts are administering the bankruptcy of the United States through the application of unconstitutional commercial law, all intended to ring the Government cash register.

I live in Glenn County, California, so we begin our Dun and Bradstreet adventure with a search for *Glenn County Superior Court*, finding *The Judicial Council of California – also traded as Glenn County Superior Court*. So the Superior Court of Glenn County is publically traded for profit as are all the other Superior Courts.

All crimes are commercial and have a *commercial value*. This *commercial value* is one of the primary ways government finances itself in bankruptcy. When convicted, the defendant has a bond assigned to them, which is sold on a securities and exchange. This means that the government agency, whether it be the Planning Department, Parks and Recreation, FBI, BATF, Fish & Game, Sheriff's Office, or City Police, along with the court, have an obligation to provide an investor with a profit through the application of unconstitutional commercial law and this obligation comes before justice because it's financing the Bankrupt United States. This is why a criminal conviction is bonded and why it's so important to have a never ending supply of arrests on everything from murder, to riding your bicycle in the skate board park, to missing school. This obligation to an investor is an irreconcilable conflict of interest. Justice will come in second to the obligation the court has to the investor and to administering the bankruptcy. Looking further, what else do we find?

On the same page, we find the COUNTY OF GLENN, another municipal corporation which is not "Glenn County", and which includes the Sheriff's Department. So yes, the Sheriff's Department is also part of the commercial corporation which is publically traded for profit. Let us not forget that the Arizona Supreme Court has ruled that police have no obligation to protect you, but they do have an obligation to throw us into the *legal meat grinder* for the purpose of commercial profit so that government can continue to operate in bankruptcy.

And please, I'm not beating up the police here because they were educated in the same public schools as the rest of us, so it is highly unlikely that they have any previous knowledge of these matters. But they will notice that many citizens are arrested, charged and convicted, when there is *NO INJURED PARTY*. In other words, they will notice people are convicted of crimes in which no one was injured or hurt. It's all commercial for profit in bankruptcy, extracting fines from the convicted and selling bonds to extract money from investors.

Next on Dun & Bradstreet, we find the CITY OF WILLOWS (the seat of county government in THE COUNTY OF GLENN, which is not Willows, it's another corporation, publically traded for profit. And most people who live in the CITY OF WILLOWS thought it was their city since they reside there.

Moving on we find the Supreme Court of California with the same irreconcilable conflict of interest with first serving the creditor and the bankruptcy, and then administering justice. And in the world of commercial law, justice loses almost every time.

Then we find the United States District Court with the same irreconcilable conflict of interest.

Then the Supreme Court of the United States with the same irreconcilable conflict of interest.

Followed by finding Congress, also publically traded for profit, with the same irreconcilable conflict of interest. What the *creditor* wants, the *creditor* gets.

On and on it goes. We find the California Highway Patrol, California Department of Education, California Department of Fish and Game, the Democratic Party, the Republican Party, the Department of Defense, the Department of Energy, Department of Education, Department of the Interior, Department of Justice, Department of Transportation, THE UNITED STATES (corporate), the Department of Conservation... on and on the list goes. And every one of these has an obligation to an investor that comes before serving the people of the United States and in each and every case, it is an irreconcilable conflict of interest.

THE UNITED STATES incorporated for profit in 1871, and it has been very profitable for the creditor. And make no mistake about it, "THE UNITED STATES" is a private corporation.

The problem the United States had from the start was at least two things: 1) how to extend their jurisdiction outside the ten-mile-square boundary of *the District of Columbia*, and how to make the people subject to *their law*. You see, the government cannot control *men and women of the land who bleed* (bleeding is a sign that you are not a *legal fiction*). Therefore, the trick was to create a *legal fiction* (the strawman) and trick the people into believing this *legal fiction* is really them. With that belief, the people will put their life, property and equity on the line for the actions taken by government against the *legal fiction*.

And this was the beauty of the *birth certification scheme*. The government created (issued) birth certification is registered with the Department of Commerce through the Bureau of Vital Statistics (most States, in some States the registering department may vary). In the process a *legal fiction* is created, with a name that sounds just like yours. Therefore, the legal fiction for John Quincy, Adams, is JOHN QUINCY ADAMS, or maybe JOHN Q ADAMS. Typically we see this *legal fiction* on our drivers license and credit card.

It is this legal fiction that can have a credit card and can deal in commerce, a natural person man or woman of the land who bleeds, probably can only deal in business. With the creation of the legal fiction, the government issues a very high dollar bond, the exact amount of which is not known to this writer today, but was, from memory, about \$600,000 fifteen-or-so-years-ago. The exact amount will vary as the dollar continues to decline in value. And some investor buys the *birth certificate* bond on a securities and exchange.

It is the strawman, or legal fiction, that is employed. It is the legal fiction who receives a pay check with an all capitals name on it. It is the legal fiction who opens a bank account for depositing his all capitals name paycheck. It is the all capitals legal fiction that appears on the bank statement each month. It is the legal fiction that has an income tax obligation. And it is the legal fiction that is subject to all the unconstitutional laws of the various municipal corporations from THE UNITED STATES to the COUNTY OF YOUNAMEIT, all the way down to the CITY OF YOUNAMEIT.

And it's all accomplished through contract. Yep, it's *your signature* on the birth certification that enslaved your children. It's *your signature* on the application for a Social Security Account. It's *your signature* on an application for a business license (permission to do what is illegal). It's *your signature* on the application for a permit to have an air compressor. It's *your signature* on the application to have a scale. Actually, you are signing as AGENT for your strawman, but when you sign, you apply a *naked signature* to the application. You do not sign, "*John Quincy: Adams, as agent*", do you? In all of these permits and/or licenses, it is the all-capitals strawman's name that appears, not *John Quincy: Adams*. The colon separates the individuals name (John Quincy) from the *surname* or *family name*. You own the name John Quincy, but you don't own the surname, that belongs to the family, thus the colon.

"A **surname** is a name added to a *given name* and is part of a personal name. In many cases, a surname is a family name." - Wikipedia

And now you know why you're not taught anything about contracts, and contracting in public school. The creditor controls the curriculum through *his* Department of Education, and he's going to make certain you only know what he wants you to know. And any teacher who would attempt to provide actual education, will probably be at risk of losing his (or her) job. Teachers are only to provide enough education to turn out a good slave. Education is not the government's job, it's yours. It's your job to get an education despite the public school system. As my son puts it...

"The first freedom of every human is freedom of the mind, the great free will. Essential to this is education. Mankind must accept education as an individual responsibility. Turning over the responsibility for your personal education to another is to surrender your free will. By controlling what you can learn, others control you as neatly as a shepherd herds sheep. If mankind cannot accept the personal responsibility for self education, then I am wrong and mankind deserves to be treated as sheep, both shorn and slaughtered, while in the interim herded by a few smart dogs that lick the shepherd's hands.

The second freedom of every human is to rise up against oppression, whether it comes from the lowly hands of a common thief or from the high hands of the so called sovereigns. All of the other great gifts of freedom start here, the headwaters of liberty. " - Michael C. Keehn

These words did not grow, or come into existence in my home, I pulled them off my sons website. Unfortunately, my son, like many sons, did not grow up with his father. Even so, these words made this father very proud of his son. He gets it, probably better than I.

And for a contract to be valid it must have these six elements: 1) Offer by a person qualified to make the contract; 2) Acceptance by party qualified to make and accept the contract; 3) Bargain or agreement *and full disclosure and complete understanding by both parties*; 4) Consideration given [it must be conscionable]; 5) Must have the element of time to make the contract lawful; 6)

Both parties must be sui juris [that is, of lawful age, usually 21 years old]. – *Law of Contracts* by John Calamari and Joseph Perillo

All this by virtue of your signature. And now you have one very powerful tool to protect your freedom and the freedom of your children, if there is sufficient backbone to use it, and begin turning things around. If you cannot be responsible for yourself, you cannot be free, only a slave to your government parent.

If one is to examine their contracts with government, they will find that the contract generally fails on one or more of these six elements of a valid contract. The most obvious is *full disclosure*. Did you know that when you signed for a Social Security account, you would be subjecting yourself, or your child to the corporate laws of the corporate United States, a foreign jurisdiction, and all the other municipal corporations as well? NO, that was not made clear to us. So, yes, it's all accomplished by and through fraud and deception.

I have been asked how the problem might be fixed. You know, I really don't have a clue and anything I share here is not to be construed as legal advice. I think that, when I say to anyone, *THIS IS HOW TO SOLVE YOUR PROBLEM*, there is potential to cause the individual to stop thinking and I don't want to do that. I'm certain that my thoughts on the matter are certainly not the only solution, or the best solution, or maybe they're not even a solution at all. The solution might well be forming in somebody's mind as they read this material and begin to comprehend the problem before them, and I do not want to discourage independent thinking.

But perhaps we could start by not signing contracts with government. Or, if we do sign an agreement with government, we might write under our name "*as agent, without prejudice*". Since our name is most likely spelled in all capital letters or not spelled properly, including our full middle name separated from the first name with a comma, it would be prudent to sign *as agent* since the name on the document is not you, and *you are* acting as the *agent* for the *legal fiction* government has created. Additionally, *without prejudice* will preserve all your *common law* rights and remedy's.

If you apply for a "drivers license" and sign your name as outlined above, you may find the Department of Motor Vehicles refuses to accept your filed papers and signature and refuse to issue you a "drivers license". A *drivers license* is for a *legal fiction*, not a man or woman of the land who bleeds. If the DMV refuses to issue you a *drivers license* because of your signature, it's OK, you can't force them to contract with you, but you now have evidence that you are not subject to their private corporate commercial rules (laws they call them). And you would also have evidence that you have made a *good faith effort* to acquire a *drivers license* for the legal fiction government has created, but they didn't want to issue one.

That does not mean that you cannot travel in your *carriage* or *conveyance of the day* (car), it only means that you cannot drive. Therefore, when you are behind the wheel of your car, you are not driving, you are traveling, which is a protected right. *Driving* is a commercial term. And you would not have a *passenger seat*, *passengers* pay for transport, therefore you would have a *guest*

seat. It is all a bunch of language tricks, as I said, *fraud and deception*. It's like asking someone if they are a citizen of the United States, and not one public school teaches us that there are three United States in law, as we learned earlier.

The definition of person, which would seem to be straight forward, is an 802-word definition in my Blacks Law Dictionary. Somebody is trying to trick somebody, i.e., they are trying to trick YOU! And for many years the tricks have been working. Whether they continue to work is up to us. It is probably not a good idea to go into court claiming to be ***a person***. Additionally, you will not be able to predict how criminal they are going to be in order to ring the government cash register. Government is already so far down the road of criminal behavior through fraud there is probably no turning back unless the American people become sufficiently educated and force a return to *lawful civil authority*. This *war and emergency powers authority* is the pits.

If we were to stop getting government issued registered birth certificates on our new born children, they will not be subject to commercial law. Now, what does this mean? It means that they have no attachment to the laws of the various municipal corporations. All incorporated CITY OF, COUNTY OF and STATE OF are all incorporated under the laws traceable to ***the District of Columbia***, making all of them an entity of the corporate United States. An individual (new born) with no contractual connection to the corporate structure is a citizen of the *republic of these united States of America ONLY*, and has no contractual obligation to any commercial rules, laws or statutes (which are commercial in nature).

This means that when the child grows up, he will have no taxable income, which means no obligation to the bankruptcy of the United States. Income tax laws come from the jurisdiction of Washington, District of Corruption. And with no contract, he has no obligation to this law. You might be wondering how he will find work. He only need file an IRS W8 form with an employer. This form is a *certificate of foreign status*, which is not hard to comprehend. Almost all businesses today are licensed with the CITY OF, COUNTY OF or STATE OF YOUNAMEIT. Thus, all licensed businesses are in the jurisdiction of ***the District of Corruption***, which is a jurisdiction outside the republic, in other words, foreign to the republic. Therefore, our citizen of the republic is foreign to the jurisdiction in which the business is licensed.

Our citizen of the republic may well not have a drivers license or license plates on his car since these are commercial laws to which he is not subject. This does not mean he will never be cited or arrested, but he will have an appearance in court.

There is something here I wish to emphasize. When you appear for arraignment, the charges will be read to you, and you will be asked if you *understand the charges*. You think you are being asked if you *comprehend* the charges, you ARE NOT! You are being asked if you *will stand under (understand) the charges!* If you say, "yes your Honor, I understand the charges", then you have put yourself at risk with your own ignorance. Your answer should be, "Your Honor, I *comprehend the charges, I do not stand under the charges*."

Now, when you go to court, there is a question before the court. Did you drive without a license?

Did you drive without current registration? Did you murder this individual? And the proceedings are going to answer the question.

Well, here comes our citizen of the republic. Since he is not subject to commercial law, the first thing he's going to do is mount a challenge to the jurisdiction. Everything stops until the question of jurisdiction has been established. But our citizen of the republic doesn't *legally exist*. There is no birth certification, there is not Social Security account, consequently, there is no evidence of legal existence. He *lawfully exists* under the law of the republic, but does not *legally exist* under the commercial laws of the corporate structure.

Now, does the judge want to answer the question of jurisdiction? No, he does not, not generally. Because if he allows this matter of jurisdiction to play out to a conclusion, other sheeple may see that the gate is open, and they too may begin escaping their economic slave status.

The second thing that comes into play, is a trial with a jury of our peers. Well, the *PEERS* of a citizen of the republic of these united States of America, is other citizens of the republic of these united States of America, not foreign citizens of "THE UNITED STATES" (corporate). And if people are citizens of the republic of these united States of America, it is likely they understand the corruption and fraud taking place.

And of course, you have all the power. It's in your vote. The people are the ones who elect the office holders who have created this problem. It's the people who return them to office after increasing, and never reducing, the debt. The American people have all the power they need, if they will only set aside their greed and selfishness. To fix what is wrong in this country, it will take a capacity to think of someone other than our self. And then to vote for people who think of someone other than their self and lining their pockets.

Many American's tell everyone how much they love their children or grand-children. And that's true right up to the point where they have to expend some effort and become responsible, then all that love seems to evaporate. So, if you are unwilling to do what is necessary to prevent economic slave status for your children, do not tell anyone how much you love them.

It might be wise to remember that under the Constitution, the people were never to vote for their federal representation in Congress. They voted for their representatives in the State Legislature. It was the people's job to know the hearts and minds of those they sent to the State Legislature, and it was the State Legislators who were responsible for picking the States representation in Washington, D. C. And if those representatives in Washington were not doing their job, then it was the job of the State Legislators to recall them and send someone else. The constitution does provide that you can vote for President. But in no case do you register to vote, this is commercial law, to be avoided.

When you're dealing with a government agency, or government agent, the one thing you cannot predict is... HOW CRIMINAL THEY ARE GOING TO BE. And it is wise to keep this in mind. Any time you're attacked by a government agent or agency, you've already lost. You will

immediately enter into *damage control*. It comes down to a question of how much they are going to be able to extract from your life to support their bankruptcy. And how much they get is a result of how intelligent, informed and proactive you are. And I can guarantee that you're not going to reduce the consequences by turning up the power to the speakers in your car. You will reduce the consequences when you turn up the brain power.

The serious reader/researcher may wish to review:

1) The *slaughterhouse cases* (120 US 141). This supreme court decision goes some distance in identifying the differences between United States citizenship and State Citizenship (citizen of the republic).

2) Another document probably worthy of reading is, *Sui Juris... pardon me but #5*, authored by Nord Davis Jr. These documents are not the end of your study, but they will get you started.

And this, ladies and gentlemen, concludes my case that *the core problem* of all that is wrong in the United States, IS THE DEBT! If we do not solve this problem, it does not matter what other problem we might solve, it's not going to make any difference. It is up to you, my fellow Americans, to determine whether, or not, I have made my case. And it is in your capable hands that the future of this country rests. Whatever becomes our plight, it is the result of the choices we make, the action we take, or fail to take. In the end, no blame is allowed. If we want peace, prosperity, and freedom, it rests in our choices. Will we decide to be responsible for our self and wipe our own butt, or will we choose to be a child, needing a government parent to direct us, tell us what to do and wipe our butt for us? In the end, we must pop a cork on a bottle of champagne and celebrate for getting exactly what we vote for.

THE PROBLEM IS NOT THEM, IT'S US!

THE MARK OF A STUPID MAN IS NOT THAT HE DOES NOT KNOW,
IT IS THAT HE DOES NOT WANT TO KNOW! – Michael Herbert, Keehn